SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT Sacramento, California

MEASURE I GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS PERFORMANCE AUDIT June 30, 2012

SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT Sacramento, California

MEASURE I GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS PERFORMANCE AUDIT June 30, 2012

CONTENTS

| REPORT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS | 1 |
|---|---|
| BACKGROUND: | |
| LEGISLATIVE HISTORY | 2 |
| SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT MEASURE I GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS | 2 |
| PERFORMANCE AUDIT: | |
| OBJECTIVES | 3 |
| SCOPE | 3 |
| METHODOLOGY | 3 |
| CONCLUSIONS | 3 |
| ATTACHMENT A – SCHEDULE OF SPECIFIC EXPENDITURES TESTED | 4 |





REPORT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

Board of Education Sacramento City Unified School District Sacramento, California

We have conducted a performance audit of the Sacramento City Unified School District (the "District") Measure I General Obligation Bond funds for the year ended June 30, 2012.

We conducted our performance audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our conclusion based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Our audit was limited to the objectives listed on page 3 of this report which includes determining the compliance with the performance requirements for the Proposition 39 Measure I General Obligation Bonds under the applicable provisions of Section 1(b)(3)(C) of Article XIIIA of the California Constitution and Proposition 39 as they apply to the bonds and the net proceeds thereof. Management is responsible for Sacramento City Unified School District's compliance with those requirements.

Solely to assist us in planning and performing our performance audit, we obtained an understanding of the internal controls of Sacramento City Unified School District to determine if internal controls were adequate to help ensure the District's compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39, as specified by Section 1(b)(3)(C) of Article XIIIA of the California Constitution. Accordingly, we do not express any assurance on the internal control.

The results of our procedures indicated that, in all significant respects, Sacramento City Unified School District expended Measure I General Obligation Bond funds for the year ended June 30, 2012 only for the specific projects developed by the District's Governing Board and approved by the voters, in accordance with the requirements of Proposition 39, as specified by Section 1(b)(3)(C) of Article XIIIA of the California Constitution.

Crowe Horwash LLP

Crowe Horwath LLP

Sacramento, California January 23, 2013

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

On November 7, 2000, California voters approved Proposition 39, the Smaller Classes, Safer Schools and Financial Accountability Act. Proposition 39 amended portions of the California Constitution to provide for the issuance of general obligation bonds by school districts, "for the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation or replacement of school facilities, including the furnishing and equipping of school facilities, or the acquisition or lease of real property for school facilities," upon approval by 55% of the electorate.

Education Code Section 15278 provides additional accountability measures:

- 1. A requirement that the school district establish and appoint members to an independent citizens' oversight committee.
- A requirement that the school district expend bond funds only for the purposes described in Section 1(b)(3) of Article XIII A of the California Constitution, and ensuring that no funds are used for any teacher or administrative salaries or other school operating expenses.
- 3. A requirement to conduct an annual independent performance audit required by Section 1(b)(3)C of Article XIII A of the California Constitution.
- 4. A requirement to conduct an annual independent financial audit required by Section 1(b)(3)D of Article XIII A of the California Constitution.

SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT MEASURE I GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS

On November 5, 2002, the electorate of Sacramento City Unified School District approved the \$225 million "Measure I" General Obligation Bonds with greater than 55% of the qualified votes in favor. A summary of the text of the ballot language was as follows:

"To alleviate overcrowding, renovate, repair, replace; acquire, and construct school buildings, meet fire/health/safety standards, provide wiring for computers, and provide needed mechanical improvements, shall the Sacramento City Unified School District issue \$225,000,000 in bonds at the lowest interest rates possible and appoint a citizens' oversight committee and an independent auditor to assure that funds are spent only on school and classroom improvements and for no other purpose?"

On March 1, 2003, the District issued Series 2002 Measure I General Obligation Bonds, Series A in the amount of \$80,000,000 to construct, repair and expand local schools. The Bonds accrue interest up to a maximum of 5.0% per annum from the date of issuance and are payable on February 1 and August 1 of each year until maturity.

On July 1, 2005, the District issued Series 2002 Measure I General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005 in the amount of \$80,000,000 to construct, repair and expand local schools. The Bonds accrue interest up to a maximum of 5.0% per annum from the date of issuance and are payable on February 1 and August 1 of each year until maturity.

On October 25, 2007, the District issued Series 2002 Measure I General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007 in the amount of \$64,997,966 to construct, repair and expand local schools. The Bonds accrue interest up to a maximum of 5.0% per annum from the date of issuance and are payable on February 1 and August 1 of each year until maturity.

The financial activity related to the Measure I General Obligation Bonds is recorded in the District's Financial Activity Reports for Fund 21 and 22, which are combined to comprise Fund 21 (Building Fund) in the District's audited financial statements for year ended June 30, 2012.

OBJECTIVES

The objective of our performance audit was to determine that the District expended Measure I General Obligation Bond funds for the year ended June 30, 2012 only for the purposes approved by the voters and only on the specific projects developed by the District's Board of Trustees, in accordance with the requirements of Proposition 39, as specified by Section 1(b)(3)C of Article XIII A of the California Constitution.

SCOPE

The District provided to us a list of all Measure I General Obligation Bond projects and specific expenditures for the year ended June 30, 2012 (the "List"). A total of \$22,668,086 in expenditures from July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012 were identified.

METHODOLOGY

We performed the following procedures to the List of Measure I General Obligation Bond project expenditures for the year ended June 30, 2012:

- Interviewed District management related to controls over planning, bidding, contracting, expenditure of bond funds and financial reporting have been put in place and are working as documented.
- Documented District procedures and controls over planning, bidding, contracting, expenditure of bond funds and financial reporting.
- Performed test to determine that the District controls over planning, bidding, contracting, expenditure of bond funds and financial reporting have been put in place and are working as documented.
- · Verified the mathematical accuracy of the expenditures list.
- Reconciled the list to total bond expenditures as reported by the District in the District's audited financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2012, presented as the Building Fund.
- Selected a sample of 67 expenditures totaling \$16,509,612 from Measure I. The sample was selected to provide a representation across specific construction projects, vendors and expenditure amounts. Verified that the expenditures were for authorized projects developed by the District's Governing Board.

CONCLUSION

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, Sacramento City Unified School District expended Measure I General Obligation Bond funds for the year ended June 30, 2012 only for the specific projects developed by the District's Board of Education and approved by the voters and only on the specific projects developed by the District's Board of Trustees, in accordance with the requirements of Proposition 39, as specified by Section 1(b)(3)C of Article XIII A of the California Constitution.

SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF SPECIFIC EXPENDITURES TESTED

| | Vendor | Date | Amount |
|----|------------------------|------------|--------------|
| 1 | David Engineering | 8/18/2011 | 185,431.55 |
| 2 | Seward L Schred | 12/1/2011 | 143,806.86 |
| 3 | Seward L Schred | 6/30/2012 | 135,866.14 |
| 4 | Landmark Modernization | 8/18/2011 | 126,061.56 |
| 5 | Landmark Modernization | 9/192011 | 647,370.18 |
| 6 | Mechanics Bank | 8/12/2011 | 223,834.84 |
| 7 | OC Jones and Son | 8/12/2011 | 2,014,513.49 |
| 8 | Mechanics Bank | 10/13/2011 | 337,973.88 |
| 9 | OC Jones and Son | 10/13/2011 | 916,582.70 |
| 10 | OC Jones and Son | 10/31/2011 | 2,124,944.39 |
| 11 | Turner Construction | 8/18/2011 | 561,160.35 |
| 12 | Turner Construction | 9/23/2011 | 743,938.98 |
| 13 | Turner Construction | 10/13/2011 | 618,436.15 |
| 14 | Turner Construction | 11/17/2011 | 1,100,452.05 |
| 15 | Turner Construction | 12/15/2011 | 1,020,878.39 |
| 16 | Turner Construction | 1/26/2012 | 653,437.66 |
| 17 | Turner Construction | 2/23/2012 | 288,256.12 |
| 18 | Turner Construction | 6/30/2012 | 1,192,366.34 |
| 19 | AMS.Net | 3/8/2012 | 154,174.56 |
| 20 | AMS.Net | 3/8/2012 | 393,223.66 |
| 21 | AMS.Net | 3/8/2012 | 142,990.50 |
| 22 | Turner Construction | 9/23/2011 | 189,367.41 |
| 23 | Turner Construction | 10/13/2011 | 157,421.04 |
| 24 | Turner Construction | 11/17/2011 | 280,116.72 |
| 25 | Turner Construction | 12/15/2011 | 259,861.49 |
| | | | |

SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF SPECIFIC EXPENDITURES TESTED

| 26 | Turner Construction | 1/26/2012 | 166,330.58 |
|----|----------------------|------------|------------|
| 27 | Turner Construction | 6/30/2012 | 215,256.36 |
| 28 | AMS.Net | 3/8/2012 | 142,990.50 |
| 29 | NTD Architects Inc. | 3/15/2012 | 185,805.00 |
| 30 | NTD Architects Inc. | 4/19/2012 | 544,627.50 |
| 31 | NTD Architects Inc. | 5/31/2012 | 300,417.50 |
| 32 | Grainger Inc. | 11/9/2011 | 2,101.40 |
| 33 | Gary Doupnik MF | 11/17/2011 | 2,305.88 |
| 34 | Trimark Economy | 11/3/2011 | 21,137.32 |
| 35 | Precision Cleaning | 11/3/2011 | 1,660.22 |
| 36 | Athletic Supply | 12/22/2011 | 6,779.92 |
| 37 | ADI | 8/4/2011 | 1,599.27 |
| 38 | All Phase Electrical | 12/1/2011 | 5,581.45 |
| 39 | Grainger Inc. | 2/23/2012 | 1,908.47 |
| 40 | Made In The Shade | 12/22/2011 | 2,080.00 |
| 41 | Airborne Security | 12/1/2011 | 8,208.00 |
| 42 | Frazee Paint | 3/15/2012 | 1,377.82 |
| 43 | Laugenour and Meikle | 6/30/2012 | 1,610.00 |
| 44 | Grainger Inc. | 10/13/2011 | 370.06 |
| 45 | Oneto Metal Pro | 8/1/2011 | 7,095.34 |
| 46 | Frazee Paint | 9/8/2011 | 7,454.04 |
| 47 | Dunn-Edwards Paints | 9/19/2011 | 3,131.93 |
| 48 | Grainger Inc. | 10/6/2011 | 1,621.06 |
| 49 | Glidden Professional | 10/20/2011 | 1,530.93 |
| 50 | Warehouse Paint | 12/22/2011 | 4,290.32 |
| | | | |

SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF SPECIFIC EXPENDITURES TESTED

| 51 | Del Paso Pipe & Steel | 12/22/2011 | 1,915.54 |
|----|-------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| 52 | Neff Rental Inc. | 1/12/2012 | 1,686.41 |
| 53 | Neff Rental Inc. | 1/12/2012 | 1,434.12 |
| 54 | E Rozakis Restor | 1/26/2012 | 12,500.00 |
| 55 | JM Sealcoat & Paving | 9/2/2011 | 6,750.00 |
| 56 | Capitol Builders | 10/13/2011 | 23,809.00 |
| 57 | Laugenour and Meikle | 6/30/2012 | 1,671.70 |
| 58 | E Rozakis Restor | 9/19/2011 | 2,500.00 |
| 59 | Herlache and Son | 9/29/2011 | 29,700.00 |
| 60 | JM Sealcoat & Paving | 8/4/2011 | 26,860.00 |
| 61 | Oneto Metal Pro | 6/30/2012 | 16,367.23 |
| 62 | Olympic Supply | 7/15/2011 | 1,030.69 |
| 63 | Fischer Tile and Marble | 8/12/2011 | 6,307.00 |
| 64 | Beals Alliance Inc. | 4/26/2012 | 2,579.15 |
| 65 | WLC Architects Inc. | 1/26/2012 | 28,140.10 |
| 66 | Stafford King Wiese | 12/8/2011 | 80,000.00 |
| 67 | HMC Architects | 1/19/2012 | 16,622.88 |
| | | | \$16,509,611.70 |