



7680 Windbridge Drive • Sacramento, CA 95831 • 916.395.4650 • Grades K-6 Judy Farina, Principal judy-montgomery@scusd.edu http://matsuyama.scusd.edu/

2017-18 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2018-19 School Year

Mission Statement:

Growing Hearts, Growing Minds, Growing Leaders

School Description:

Matsuyama is a California Distinguished School located in the Pocket community and is home to 632 K-6th grade students. The school is richly diverse and is reflective of the socio-economic and ethnic diversity of Sacramento City Unified School District. Matsuyama is a high performing school and provides GATE services to qualified students. The school has a rich history of parent involvement and has a high rate of parent volunteerism. The school prides itself on a robust after-school program that includes robotics, drum line, band and orchestra, art, science, chess, early engineers, and dance classes.

Matsuyama has a strong emphasis on social emotional learning. The foundation of that philosophy is the "Leader in Me". All students and staff practice the 7 habits of leaders and focus on building strong leadership skills.

Sacramento City Unified School District

Sacramento City Unified School District 5735 47th Avenue Sacramento, CA 95824 (916) 643-7400 www.scusd.edu

District Governing Board Jessie Ryan, President, Area 7 Darrel Woo, 1st VP, Area 6 Michael Minnick, 2nd VP, Area 4 Lisa Murawski, Area 1 Leticia Garcia, Area 2

Christina Pritchett, Area 3 Mai Vang, Area 5 Rachel Halbo, Student Member

District Administration

Jorge Aguilar Superintendent Lisa Allen Deputy Superintendent Iris Taylor, EdD Chief Academic Officer

John Quinto Chief Business Officer

Cancy McArn Chief Human Resources Officer Alex Barrios

Chief Communication Officer Cathy Allen

Chief Operations Officer Vincent Harris

Chief Continuous Improvement & Accountability Officer Elliot Lopez

> Chief Information Officer Tu Moua

Instructional Assistant Superintendent

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at <u>https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/</u>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web
 page at <u>https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/</u>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

| 2017-18 Student Enrollment by Grade Level | | | |
|---|-----|--|--|
| Grade Level Number of Students | | | |
| Kindergarten | 88 | | |
| Grade 1 | 69 | | |
| Grade 2 | 93 | | |
| Grade 3 | 94 | | |
| Grade 4 | 91 | | |
| Grade 5 | 92 | | |
| Grade 6 | 83 | | |
| Total Enrollment | 610 | | |

| 2017-18 Student Enrollment by Group | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------|--|--|--|
| Group Percent of Total Enrollment | | | | |
| Black or African American | 13.6 | | | |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0.2 | | | |
| Asian | 21.0 | | | |
| Filipino | 6.4 | | | |
| Hispanic or Latino | 28.9 | | | |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 0.8 | | | |
| White | 15.7 | | | |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 46.7 | | | |
| English Learners | 8.7 | | | |
| Students with Disabilities | 12.6 | | | |
| Foster Youth | 0.0 | | | |

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
 School facilities are maintained in good repair

| Teacher Credentials | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|-------|--|--|
| Matsuyama Elementary School | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | | |
| With Full Credential | 24 | 22 | 25 | | |
| Without Full Credential | 1 | 3 | 3 | | |
| Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Sacramento City Unified School District | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | | |
| With Full Credential | • | • | 2007 | | |
| Without Full Credential | • | • | 116 | | |
| Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence | • | • | 0 | | |

| Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|--|--|
| Matsuyama Elementary School | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | | |
| Teachers of English Learners | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Total Teacher Misassignments | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Vacant Teacher Positions | 0 | 1 | 0 | | |

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

*Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2018-19)

The district instructional material evaluation committee, consisted of members representing teachers, administrators, other staff, students, parents/guardians, and community, ensures that the selected textbooks, technology-based materials and other educational materials effectively support the district's adopted courses of study and meet current curricular goals and California Content Standards. Teachers, students, parents/guardians and community members have the opportunity to review and provide input about the instructional materials being considered for adoption. Recommendations for the adoption and/or withdrawal of instructional materials by the instructional material evaluation committee are presented to the Board by the Superintendent or designee and include documentation supporting the recommendation. In some instances, the considered textbooks and/or instructional materials are piloted for a period of time before being recommended for adoption to the Board of Education.

| | Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: Feb | ruarv. 2018 |
|------------------------|--|--|
| Core Curriculum Area | Textbooks and Instructional M | |
| Reading/Language Arts | Benchmark Advance Texts for English Language Developme Benchmark Advance English Language Kinder 2017 Adopted | ent 1st 2017 Adopted 2018 ent 2nd 2017 Adopted 2018 ent 3rd 2017 Adopted 2018 ent 4th 2017 Adopted 2018 ent 5th 2017 Adopted 2018 ent 6th 2017 Adopted 2018 d 2018 |
| | Benchmark Advance English Language 2nd 2017AdoptedBenchmark Advance English Language 3rd 2017AdoptedBenchmark Advance English Language 4th 2017AdoptedBenchmark Advance English Language 5th 2017AdoptedBenchmark Advance English Language 5th 2017AdoptedBenchmark Advance English Language 6th 2017Adopted | 2018 2018 2018 2018 |
| | The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: | Yes 0% |
| Mathematics | EnVision Math Grade Kinder 2015 Adopted 2014 EnVision Math Grade 1 2015 Adopted 2014 EnVision Math Grade 2 2015 Adopted 2014 EnVision Math Grade 3 2015 Adopted 2014 EnVision Math Grade 4 2015 Adopted 2014 EnVision Math Grade 5 2015 Adopted 2014 EnVision Math Grade 6 2015 Adopted 2014 | |
| | The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: | Yes 0% |
| Science | Science Macmillan : Kinder 2008 Adopted 2008 Science Macmillan : 1 2008 Adopted 2008 Science Macmillan : 2 2008 Adopted 2008 Science Macmillan : 3 2008 Adopted 2008 Science Macmillan : 4 2008 Adopted 2008 Science Macmillan : 5 2008 Adopted 2008 Earth science: 6 2007 Adopted 2007 | |
| | The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: | Yes 0% |
| History-Social Science | We learn together : Kinder 2007 Adopted 2006Family and friends : 1st 2007 Adopted 2006People and places: 2 2007 Adopted 2006California Communities: 3 2007 Adopted 2006Our Golden State: 4 2007 Adopted 2006Making a new nation: 5 2007 Adopted 2006Ancient Civilizations: 6 2007 Adopted 2006 | 070 |
| | The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: | Yes 0% |

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Matsuyama Elementary School, built in 1993, received Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) overall repair rating score of "exemplary" from its most recent facility inspection in June 2018. The district governing Board has adopted cleanliness standards for all district schools to ensure that all schools are clean, safe and functional. The district Operations Department takes great pride following a work order process that provides efficient and timely services to all the sites. The principal works directly with the site custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure that the school is clean and safe.

The passage of Measure Q, the district's 2012 general obligation bond, provided facility upgrade funding to all district schools. The district performed Quick Strike projects during the summer of 2014, which allowed schools to pick from one of the following improvements: 1) marquees, 2) closed-circuit television (CCTV) surveillance, 3) exterior painting, or 4) seal coating and striping of parking lots or playgrounds. Fire alarms at all the district schools were upgraded. Additional 10 million dollars have been allocated for safety and security upgrades, which are expected to begin in 2019.

Funding from the federal government through Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) provided for technology infrastructure improvements to the district's wireless connectivity. The improvements, which are expected to be completed by the end of 2019, will increase classroom internet access and digital voice communication.

| School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 6/12/2018 | | | | | |
|---|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| System Inspected Repair Status Repair Status Action Taken or Plann | | | | | |
| Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer | Good | | | | |
| Interior: Interior Surfaces | Good | | | | |
| Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation | Good | | | | |
| Electrical: Electrical | Good | | | | |
| Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains | Good | | | | |
| Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials | Good | | | | |
| Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs | Good | | | | |
| External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences | Good | | | | |
| Overall Rating | Exemplary | | | | |

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

| 2017-18 CAASPP Results for All Students | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|-------|----------|-------|-------|--|
| Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11) | | | | | | | |
| Subject | Sch | ool | Dist | District | | State | |
| | 16-17 | 17-18 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 16-17 | 17-18 | |
| ELA | 56.0 | 59.0 | 39.0 | 40.0 | 48.0 | 50.0 | |
| Math | 52.0 | 52.0 | 31.0 | 32.0 | 37.0 | 38.0 | |

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter

Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

| CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards) | | | | | | |
| Subject | School District State | | | | ite | |
| | 16-17 | 17-18 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 16-17 | 17-18 |
| Science | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: The 2016–17 and 2017–18 data are not available. The CDE is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The CAST was pilot-tested in spring 2017 and field-tested in spring 2018. The CAST will be administered operationally during the 2018–19 school year. The CAA for Science was pilot-tested for two years (i.e., 2016–17 and 2017–18) and the CAA for Science will be field-tested in 2018–19.

Note: Science test results include the CAST and the CAA for Science. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the CAST plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA for Science divided by the total number of students who participated on both assessments.

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education

| Grade | 2017-18 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards | | | | |
|-------|---|--------|--------|--|--|
| Level | 4 of 6 | 5 of 6 | 6 of 6 | | |
| 5 | 22.7 | 25.0 | 28.4 | | |

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

| School Year 2017-18 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven | | | | | | |
|---|-----|-----|--------|-------|--|--|
| Student Group Total Number Percent Percent Enrollment Tested Tested Met or Exceed | | | | | | |
| All Students | 364 | 357 | 98.08 | 59.10 | | |
| Male | 193 | 189 | 97.93 | 55.56 | | |
| Female | 171 | 168 | 98.25 | 63.10 | | |
| Black or African American | 51 | 49 | 96.08 | 32.65 | | |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | | | | | | |
| Asian | 70 | 68 | 97.14 | 73.53 | | |
| Filipino | 22 | 22 | 100.00 | 77.27 | | |
| Hispanic or Latino | 107 | 107 | 100.00 | 50.47 | | |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | | | | | | |
| White | 61 | 58 | 95.08 | 63.79 | | |
| Two or More Races | 50 | 50 | 100.00 | 70.00 | | |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 171 | 168 | 98.25 | 47.62 | | |
| English Learners | 60 | 58 | 96.67 | 44.83 | | |
| Students with Disabilities | 73 | 72 | 98.63 | 30.56 | | |
| Foster Youth | | | | | | |

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

-Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

-Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

| School Year 2017-18 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven | | | | | | |
|---|-----|-----|-------|-------|--|--|
| Student Group Total Number Percent Percent Enrollment Tested Tested Met or Exceed | | | | | | |
| All Students | 364 | 357 | 98.08 | 51.82 | | |
| Male | 193 | 188 | 97.41 | 51.6 | | |
| Female | 171 | 169 | 98.83 | 52.07 | | |
| Black or African American | 51 | 49 | 96.08 | 26.53 | | |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | | | | | | |
| Asian | 70 | 70 | 100 | 64.29 | | |
| Filipino | 22 | 22 | 100 | 54.55 | | |
| Hispanic or Latino | 107 | 106 | 99.07 | 38.68 | | |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | | | | | | |
| White | 61 | 58 | 95.08 | 60.34 | | |
| Two or More Races | 50 | 49 | 98 | 77.55 | | |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 171 | 167 | 97.66 | 35.93 | | |
| English Learners | 60 | 60 | 100 | 40 | | |
| Students with Disabilities | 73 | 72 | 98.63 | 25 | | |
| Foster Youth | | | | | | |

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

-Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

-Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2018-19)

Parent Participation is an integral part of the educational program at Matsuyama Elementary School. Parents are active participants in the decision making process via the School Site Council (SSC), the English Learner Advisory Council (ELAC), Gifted and Talented Education (GATE) Advisory Committee, and the Parent Teacher Association (PTA). Under the current PTA President, Tiffanie Yee, Matsuyama's PTA membership has soared to nearly 500 members. The Matsuyama PTA sponsors fundraisers such as the Family Dance, Harvest Festival, and Cherry Blossom Festival. The Matsuyama Mighty Men organization is a group of fathers that provide man-power for all school events and activities. The funds raised by the PTA support our Extended Day Enrichment Programs such as Band, Orchestra, Robotics, Drum Line, Chess, Mad Science, art classes, as well as classroom activities/materials and educational school-wide assemblies.

Parents are strongly encouraged to attend Back-To-School Night to meet their child's teacher, learn about the grade level Common Core Standards, the curriculum, classroom rules and procedures, and expectations for the school year. We encourage parents and the school community to attend the annual Harvest Festival, Cherry Blossom Festival, and other school community events. Open House is held each year in the spring. Parents, friends and family are invited to come and visit the school and classrooms to see student work proudly displayed. Parents, grandparents, aunts and uncles participate in a monthly "Lunch with a Leader". The school recognizes that parents play an important role in motivating their children to succeed. Parents, adult family members, and community involvement. Volunteers spend countless hours assisting in the classroom, chaperoning on field trips, supervising students on the playground, mentoring in the classroom, etc. Students receive "brag tags" for parent involvemnt and student participation in school activities.

Good communication with our families is important to us. Parents receive regular communication through REMIND, Infinite Campus messages on the telephone, classroom notes /newsletters, Facebook and a well-developed school website.

For more information, contact the following persons and phone numbers:

| Tiffanie Yee, Parent Teacher Association President | (916) 395-4650 |
|--|----------------|
| Leilani Aguinaldo, School Site Council Chairperson | (916) 395-4650 |
| Rosa Munoz, English Learner Advisory Council Chairperson | (916) 395-4650 |
| Judy Farina, Principal (91 | 6) 395-4650 |

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

Sacramento City Unified School District Comprehensive Safe School Plan 2018-2019

| Section 1 Crisis Communication Flow |
|--|
| Section 2Site Level Emergency Procedures: |
| (a) Lockdown Response |
| (b) Fire |
| (c) Bomb Threat, ATF Bomb Threat Checklist |
| (d) Active Shooter |
| (e) Chemical Accident |
| (f) Severe Weather / Loss of Power (City of Sacramento) |
| Section 3Earthquake Emergency Procedures |
| Section 4 Site Level Use of Schools as a Community Shelter ** |
| Section 5District Policies Related to Safety and Missing Student Protocol: |
| (a) Board Policy 5021: Custodial & Parent Rights |
| (b) Mandated Child Abuse Reporting |
| (c) Suicide Risk Assessment |
| (d) Missing Student Protocol |
| Section 6Bullying Policies and Procedures |
| Section 7Dangerous Student Notification / Email Notifications Made by IT Dept. |
| Section 8Wellness Plan |
| Section 9District Handbook |
| ** To be determined by The American Red Cross and the Office of Emergency Services |
| |

| Section 10Component I: Social Climate | |
|---|---|
| Component II: Physical Climate/Campus | |
| Section 11Site Level Incident Command System (ICS) roles and ICS Team | |
| Section 12 Site Level Communication Procedures | |
| Section 12 (a)Emergency Phone Tree | |
| Section 13Before and After School Programs - Coordinators and Contact | Numbers / Days and Hours of Operation on Campus |
| Section 14Site Level Safe Ingress & Egress Procedures and Route Maps | |
| Section 15Site Level Family Reunification Plans | |
| Section 15(a) Reunification Logs | |
| Section 16* Site Level Provisions for Students/Staff with Special Needs | |
| Section 16(a)Site Evacuation for Persons With Special Needs | |
| Section 17School Site Safety Committee Member List and Approval of CSS | SP |
| Section 18Staff / School Handbook | |
| Section 19Site Map (Please Label All Rooms) | |
| Section 20OPTIONAL-Additional Site-Specific Safety Information | |

Confidential

The Comprehensive Safe School Plans for the Sacramento City Unified School District are revised annually to ensure the contents of the plans are uniform and updated to address the components set forth in Section 32282 of the 2018 California Education Code. This plan is a work in progress as the Safe Schools Office and the Sacramento Police Department continue to seek the most current information and advice from subject matter experts.

| Suspensions and Expulsions | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|
| School | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | | | |
| Suspensions Rate | 3.8 | 2.4 | 3.9 | | | |
| Expulsions Rate | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | |
| District | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | | | |
| Suspensions Rate | 5.8 | 6.3 | 6.2 | | | |
| Expulsions Rate | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | |
| State | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | | | |
| Suspensions Rate | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 | | | |
| Expulsions Rate | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | | | |

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

| Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) | | | | |
|---|--------|--|--|--|
| Academic Counselor | 0 | | | |
| Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development) | 0 | | | |
| Library Media Teacher (Librarian) | 0 | | | |
| Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional) | 0.4750 | | | |
| Psychologist | 0 | | | |
| Social Worker | 0 | | | |
| Nurse | 0.02 | | | |
| Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist | 1.0 | | | |
| Resource Specialist (non-teaching) | 0 | | | |
| Other | 0.95 | | | |
| Average Number of Students per Staff Me | mber | | | |
| Academic Counselor | 0 | | | |

One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

| | Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|---|-------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | | verage Class Si | -0 | Number of Classrooms* | | | | | | | | |
| Grade | A | Average Class Size 1-20 21-32 | | | 1-20 | | | | 33+ | | | |
| | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 |
| к | 29 | 24 | 22 | | | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 | | | |
| 1 | 28 | 23 | 23 | | | | 3 | 4 | 3 | | | |
| 2 | 28 | 23 | 23 | | | | 3 | 4 | 4 | | | |
| 3 | 25 | 23 | 23 | 1 | | | 3 | 4 | 4 | | | |
| 4 | 33 | 30 | 23 | | | 1 | | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | |
| 5 | 24 | 29 | 31 | 1 | | | 3 | 3 | 3 | | | |
| 6 | 165 | 133 | 151 | | 1 | | | 3 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 |

Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Professional Development provided for Teachers

To realize the vision of Sacramento City Unified School District, which is to fully prepare all students for college and career, it is imperative that the district provides numerous opportunities to expand the professional repertoire of its administrators and teachers.

To that end, the district has crafted a high quality professional program that is focused on Literacy (including ELA Common Core Standards), English Language Learners, Differentiation of Instruction, Data Inquiry, Culturally and Linguistically Teaching and Learning, and Conceptual Understanding of Mathematics. The learning opportunities afforded through this program deeply align with the needs of our individual schools, as well as our strategic plan, and connect to every aspect of school practice: thinking curriculum, intentional instructional practices, assessment for learning, and lesson design.

Professional learning opportunities are offered during school hours and outside of contracted hours. In addition to on-site coaching and regular professional learning opportunities, a designated collaborative Thursday time provides the opportunity for teachers to engage in collaborative professional learning at their respective schools focusing on deepening their content knowledge, strengthening their instructional repertoire, improving their assessment strategies, and fostering a shared sense of responsibility.

| FY 2016-17 Teacher and Administrative Salaries | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Category | District Amount | State Average for Districts In Same Category | | | | |
| Beginning Teacher Salary | \$43,472 | \$47,903 | | | | |
| Mid-Range Teacher Salary | \$62,117 | \$74,481 | | | | |
| Highest Teacher Salary | \$93,765 | \$98,269 | | | | |
| Average Principal Salary (ES) | \$120,748 | \$123,495 | | | | |
| Average Principal Salary (MS) | \$127,364 | \$129,482 | | | | |
| Average Principal Salary (HS) | \$139,247 | \$142,414 | | | | |
| Superintendent Salary | \$290,000 | \$271,429 | | | | |
| Percent of District Budget | | | | | | |
| Teacher Salaries | 31.0 | 35.0 | | | | |
| Administrative Salaries | 5.0 | 5.0 | | | | |

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at <u>www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/</u>.

| FY 2016-17 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|------------|--------------|-------------------|--|--|
| Laural | Exp | Average | | | | |
| Level | Total | Restricted | Unrestricted | Teacher Salary | | |
| School Site | \$6,825 | \$752 | \$6,073 | \$84,963 | | |
| District | * | * | \$6,048 | \$72,113 | | |
| State | • • | | \$7,125 | \$80,764 | | |
| Percent Diffe | erence: School | -13.0 | 18.4 | | | |
| Percent Diffe | -21.2 | 6.5 | | | | |
| Colle with A do not require data | | | | | | |

Cells with \blacklozenge do not require data.

The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that will be reported on 2018-19 report cards.

Types of Services Funded

SCUSD and district schools provide supplemental programs and services through categorical funds and other sources to support the needs of all students, including GATE, students with disabilities and English learners. Programs and services such as enrichment program, family engagement activities, supplemental instructional materials, and academic, social and emotional support programs help our students achieve grade level readiness, mastery of the California Common Core State Standards (CCSS), and college and career readiness.

Matsuyama Elementary School is proud of the following programs:

- Leader in Me
- School-wide assemblies
- Push-In and Pull-Out Intervention program
- Extended Day Program consists of Reading and Math remediation at every grade level
- ELD Tutorial Programs
- Enrichment Programs such as Drum Line, Orchestra, Band, Math, Engineering and Science Achievement (MESA), Math/Science Club, Art Wise Directed Drawing, Firefly Art, Gifted and Talented Education (GATE), Robotics, Chess, Early Engineers, Mad Science, and Coding.
- Regular video conferences with our friends attending Sakura Elementary School in Matsuyama, Japan

Please check with your child's principal to receive information regarding the services that are specific to your child's school.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <u>https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/</u> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.