



SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD OF EDUCATION

Agenda Item# 12.1d

Meeting Date: April 8, 2021

Subject: Approve Minutes of the March 4, 2021, Board of Education Meeting

- Information Item Only
- Approval on Consent Agenda
- Conference (for discussion only)
- Conference/First Reading (Action Anticipated: _____)
- Conference/Action
- Action
- Public Hearing

Division: Superintendent's Office

Recommendation: Approve Minutes of the March 4, 2021, Board of Education Meeting.

Background/Rationale: None

Financial Considerations: None

LCAP Goal(s): Family and Community Empowerment

Documents Attached:

1. Minutes of the March 4, 2021, Board of Education Regular Meeting

<p>Estimated Time of Presentation: N/A Submitted by: Jorge A. Aguilar, Superintendent Approved by: N/A</p>



Putting
Children
First

BOARD OF EDUCATION MEETING AND WORKSHOP

Board of Education Members

Christina Pritchett, President (Trustee Area 3)
Lisa Murawski, Vice President (Trustee Area 1)
Darrel Woo, Second Vice President (Trustee Area 6)
Leticia Garcia (Trustee Area 2)
Jamee Villa (Trustee Area 4)
Chinua Rhodes (Trustee Area 5)
Lavinia Grace Phillips (Trustee Area 7)
Isa Sheikh, Student Member

Thursday, March 4, 2021

4:30 p.m. Closed Session

6:00 p.m. Open Session

Serna Center

Community Conference Rooms
5735 47th Avenue
Sacramento, CA 95824
(See Notice to the Public Below)

AGENDA

2020/21-25

1.0 OPEN SESSION / CALL TO ORDER / ROLL CALL

NOTICE OF PUBLIC ATTENDANCE BY LIVESTREAM

Members of the public who wish to attend the meeting may do so by livestream at: <https://www.scusd.edu/post/watch-meeting-live>. No physical location of the meeting will be provided to the public.

The meeting was called to order at 4:34 p.m. by President Pritchett, and roll was taken.

Members Present:

*President Christina Pritchett (for Closed Session)
Vice President Lisa Murawski
Second Vice President Darrel Woo
Leticia Garcia
Lavinia Grace Phillips
Chinua Rhodes
Jamee Villa*

Members Absent:

*President Christina Pritchett (for Open Session)
Student Member Isa Sheikh arrived at 6:00 p.m. for Open Session.*

2.0 ANNOUNCEMENT AND PUBLIC COMMENT REGARDING ITEMS TO BE DISCUSSED IN CLOSED SESSION

NOTICE OF PUBLIC COMMENT AND DEADLINE FOR SUBMISSION:

Public comment may be (1) emailed to publiccomment@scusd.edu; (2) submitted in writing, identifying the matter number and the name of the public member at the URL <https://tinyurl.com/SCUSDcommentMarch4>; or (3) using the same URL, submitting a request for oral comment only when the matter is called, instead of written comment. Regardless of the method by which public comment is submitted, including a request for oral comment, the submission deadline for closed and open session items shall be no later than noon, March 4. Individual public comment shall be no more than two minutes in length on each agenda item. The Board shall limit the total time for public comment on each agenda item, including communications and organizational reports, to 15 minutes in length. With Board consent, the President may increase or decrease the length of time allowed for public comment, depending on the agenda item and the number of public comments.

*Public Comment on Closed Session:
David Burrus
Mo Kashmiri*

3.0 CLOSED SESSION

While the Brown Act creates broad public access rights to the meetings of the Board of Education, it also recognizes the legitimate need to conduct some of its meetings outside of the public eye. Closed session meetings are specifically defined and limited in scope. They primarily involve personnel issues, pending litigation, labor negotiations, and real property matters.

3.1 Government Code 54956.9 - Conference with Legal Counsel:

- a) Existing litigation pursuant to subdivision (d)(1) of Government Code section 54956.9 (OAH Case No. 2020120456; Superior Court Case No. 34-2019-80003106-CU-WM-GDS)*
- b) Significant exposure to litigation pursuant to subdivision (d)(2) of Government Code section 54956.9 (One Potential Case)*

3.2 Government Code 54957.6 (a) and (b) Negotiations/Collective Bargaining SCTA SEIU, TCS, Teamsters, UPE, Non-Represented/Confidential Management (District Representative Pam Manwiller)

3.3 Government Code 54957 – Public Employee Discipline/Dismissal/Release/Reassignment

3.4 Education Code 35146 – The Board will hear staff recommendations on the following student expulsion re-entry:

- a) Expulsion #8, 2019-20*

4.0 CALL BACK TO ORDER/PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

4.1 The Pledge of Allegiance

4.2 Broadcast Statement

4.3 Stellar Student – Elyar Mirzaeian, a 5th Grade Student at Isador Cohen Elementary School was introduced by Vice President Murawski, and Andrez

Castillejo, a 5th Grade student at Parkway Elementary School was introduced by Member Rhodes

5.0 ANNOUNCEMENT OF ACTION TAKEN IN CLOSED SESSION

Counsel Anne Collins announced that Resolution No. 2020/2021-D, release of seven Certificated administrative employees, was approved unanimously by a vote of 7-0.

6.0 AGENDA ADOPTION

Vice President Murawski asked for a motion to adopt the agenda. A motion was made to approve by Second Vice President Woo and seconded by Member Villa. The Board voted unanimously to adopt the agenda 6-0 with President Pritchett absent.

7.0 SPECIAL PRESENTATION

*7.1 Revised Board Policy BP 3100: Business and Non-Instructional Operations (Reserves and Use of One Time Funds) (Rose Ramos) **Action (Roll Call Vote)***

Chief Business Officer Rose Ramos presented by going over the proposed board policy, the benefits of it, and data regarding financial status.

*Public Comment:
Amanda Connolly
Daniel Darby*

Board Comments:

Vice President Murawski said she is excited to be in a position to adopt this board policy.

Member Rhodes asked for the current reserve balance, and Ms. Ramos said it is projected as of first interim for the current year at 9.71 percent or \$62 million dollars. She noted that we are required to report out the following two years as well.

Second Vice President Woo made a motion to approve, and Member Garcia seconded. The motion passed 6-0 with President Pritchett absent.

*7.2 Conditions for Readiness for School Re-Opening Update and Adopt Re-Opening Plan (Various Departments) **Action (Roll Call Vote)***

Leilani Aguinaldo, Director at School Services of California, Raoul Bozio, In-House Counsel, Nathaniel Browning, Director of Facilities, Diana Flores, Director of Nutrition Services, Victoria Flores, Director of Student Support and Health Services, Vincent Harris, Chief of Continuous Improvement and Accountability, Doug Huscher, Assistant Superintendent of Student Support Services, Manpreet Kaur, Director of Youth Development, Mai Xi Lee, Director of Social Emotional Learning, Keyshun Marshall,

Coordinator of Risk Management, Cancy McArn Chief Human Resources Officer, Leigh Sata, Interim Assistant Superintendent of Operations, Chad Sweitzer, Assistant Superintendent, Matt Turkie, Assistant Superintendent of Curriculum and Instruction, and Jeff Winn, Facilities Management Manager, presented the update.

Public Comment:

Amanda Connolly

Jason Spann

Andrea Mummert

Mo Kashmiri

John Meyers

Gwynne Coburn

Kimberly Buchholz

Eric Schranz

Andrea Arneson

Lisa Lindberg

Daniel Darby

Cyd Jaghory

Torrey Sullivan

Lena Williams

Nikki Milevsky

Natasha Martin Lerner

Melissa Jernigan

Tiffany Colasanti

Carrie Claremon

Jennifer Martin-Gallardo

Tiffany Colasanti

Aimee O'Brien

Stephanie Seery-Murphy

Peter Castles

David Rice

Amy Geiser

Kevin Jacobsen

Alison Alexander

Yvette Castro

Rowena Gray

Jennifer Baker

Andrea Mummert

Janet Miller

Shirley Landis

Amy Strimling

Melissa Noble

Sunny Lombardi

Alina Cervantes

Rebecca Cunningham

Jennifer Pierre

Ben Gravitz

Kara Synhorst

Julie Souliere

Jennifer Lahr

*Dustin Heron
Sonya Moreno
Talyn Wong
Laura Randles
Alison French-Tubo
David Drelinger*

Board Comments:

Member Phillips asked if the District will focus on students in adversely affected areas when the County moves to red. Superintendent Aguilar said that the recommendation being made does not account for this; he said we think the measures we have put in place would still abide by County public health guidance and the guidance of other entities as well. Member Phillips said that there are trust issues with the medical profession and the school district in some particular areas; she is concerned with black and brown children. Superintendent Aguilar said that, depending upon Board action tonight, the District is scheduled to send out surveys to see how many families want their children to participate in in-person instruction.

Student Member Sheikh asked for the reasoning for the three hour school day. Superintendent Aguilar said it is a model being seen across the entire region, but he is open to hear what is being heard from students and constituents. Mr. Turkie explained what the content of the three hours will be. Student Member Sheikh asked for clarification on how many teachers will return in person. Ms. McArn said that 98 percent of those that returned the survey say they are ready to physically return. Student Member Sheikh asked why we have not yet asked families for their plans regarding returning. Superintendent Aguilar said we have the roll-out of the requests ready to go and scheduled, based on Board direction tonight. Student Member Sheikh asked what priorities of staff had been notified of vaccines. Ms. Flores answered that all priorities had been messaged. Student Member Sheikh asked what role negotiations play in returning to school. Superintendent Aguilar said that this is information that is available on the District website, and he encouraged community members to continually visit the negotiations dashboard for the most current information. Student Member Sheikh asked if we have done an audit on the opening capability of windows and doors. Mr. Winn replied that guidance given so far has been to keep windows and doors open in all applications. They are currently working to change windows that cannot be opened to ones that can. Student Member Sheikh asked if we are thinking of shortening the timeline if the County moves to the red zone. Superintendent Aguilar said what has been presented tonight is our best timeline around when we think metrics in progress will be completed.

Member Villa said she is concerned with the after school component, as not all families will be able to pick their children up after three hours of instruction. Mr. Huscher said special education, foster children, homeless children, and disengaged students will be targeted. He said once we look at demand from each school and apply that criteria, we will have a better idea of what we will be able to meet and where the demand exceeds. He said an application process will be developed. Superintendent Aguilar said that much of this will be dependent on the capacity of our community based organizations and responses to forms that will be send out. Member Villa asked how we can support teachers that have students in-person and on Zoom. She also asked who will oversee the lunch program and oversee students during lunch. Ms. Flores said that, at the secondary

sites, some of the schedules are still being considered in negotiations, and some schools may have lunch before their last class. In this case, students would be brought to outside distribution areas for a grab and go lunch. They are also looking at a plan where all high school students would be on the same schedule and get lunch to go home after their third period. Member Villa said she would like to look at other options since we are going toward the red zone. She hopes negotiations will be wrapped up by the end of next week.

Member Rhodes said he feels it is great that students currently at the learning hubs will be able to continue in-person five days a week. He looks forward to seeing what the City is saying about their 4th R program and how we can work with that. He asked if we are talking about \$42 million dollars between in-person instruction and expanded learning opportunity grants. Ms. Aguinaldo said that is correct as an estimate. Member Rhodes said he would support moving filter installation to April 1. He asked about lock down and evacuation plans regarding the return to school. Mr. Lozada addressed the question and explained that guidance is established by Education Code through the Comprehensive School Safety Plans. Member Rhodes asked where community could access those plans. Mr. Lozada said community discussions have been held, and each school site has a school site safety committee where they can participate in the process. Member Rhodes noted the increase in home visits and said we should continue to work at this level. He told Member Phillips that if she wants he is willing to knock on doors in his and her community to provide information and outreach.

Member Garcia asked for a brief explanation of why the instructional model was chosen. Mr. Turkie went over the pluses and minuses of the model. Ms. Garcia asked if there was less disruption in the concurrent model. Mr. Turkie said that is correct. Member Garcia asked if the District has determined what is the target number of intent to return forms needed in order to implement the plan. Ms. McArn said they are looking specifically at classroom and then family data. Member Garcia asked if it could be possible we would not have enough classroom teachers. Superintendent Aguilar said yes that could happen, but the forms to staff were sent before a return date was determined, and accommodations can be made for returning staff when needed. Member Garcia she would like the date for filter installation to be moved up, and in terms of the grant dollars, she wants us to think in terms of how to serve more grade levels. Ms. Aguinaldo said it is correct that those are the minimum grade levels that are required to be served in the red tier, all elementary school students must be offered in-person instruction plus students in one full grade level at the secondary grade level. This is in addition to the prioritized student groups outlined earlier across all grade spans that must be offered in-person instruction via the cohort model. Member Garcia noted that this plan is to get us through the end of this academic year. Superintendent Aguilar said that this is part of what will be in the negotiations process, and he mentioned plans for a summer program. Member Garcia asked that the evaluation of this plan to be a thoughtful and robust evaluation to help inform summer school and the next academic year. She asked if we need to revisit the April 8th date, seeing that we are moving toward the red tier. Superintendent Aguilar said this is our best timeline based on metrics adopted in November, so that all metrics will be completed. He said the other factor, striving to begin earlier, will certainly be an item that will be discussed as part of the negotiations process. Member Garcia asked if a COVID-19 safety plan will be in place by March 31 as required by AB86. Ms. Flores said the return to health plan has been in place since this summer, and so we believe we are good to go; it will be posted in the near future. Member Garcia said if sending out the surveys and partnering up with school site leaders to have community forums is not enough, she wants

to make sure we are ready to have a special board meeting to go through the plan and to have community forum so that the parents can ask questions. She also said that, although accessing the grant dollars does not require a negotiated agreement, the working conditions of the plan sort of creates what is subject to bargaining. Therefore, she proposed having an agreement by March 15. Superintendent Aguilar said that we need all the time to make sure we implement the plan as seamlessly as possible so that we are not in the same situation we were in in the Fall. He said he can interpret a March 15 target date as something that would have to come back to the Board for an update, but he remains hopeful that this is something that can be negotiated very quickly. Member Garcia asked her colleagues to please support a firm target date in order for the negotiations to come to a conclusion so we can move into communicating with families and get ready to open on April 8.

Member Phillips said she feels there are some areas missing. She again asked what we are doing for disproportionately affected areas, namely 95817 and 95820. She said she does not mind going door to door but asked how the surveys are being delivered and asked if we are using any of our nine CBOs and other CBOs to get the message out in areas where people have not been reached. She said some in these areas may not even have time to complete the survey, and she asked what else is being done to reach out. Ms. Gallegos replied that for the survey we partnered with Everyday Labs. She said they are going to help us implement and outreach the survey. She said families should expect two emails and two text messages in their targeted language over the next few weeks. She said the form is simple and asks only one question: how do you want to send your student back. She also went over strategy and other information that has been provided and how it has been provided. Member Phillips noted that some do not have access to the internet. Ms. Gallegos said that a letter will also be send out.

Vice President Murawski said she would like to see more support for working families in this plan. She wants us to look for opportunities for more in-person and quality instructional time. She has concerns about training regarding the classroom technology required for the concurrent model. Mr. Sweitzer said firstly we will need to have surveys so that we know what technology is currently in the classrooms, because it is not the same in each, and then arrangements will need to be make with vendors to bring every classroom to the technological level required. Vice President Murawski asked why we are looking at the MERV 13 filters now. Mr. Sata said additional information about the impact of these filters was acquired. Vice President Murawski said we need an agreement as quickly as possible to get students in school as soon as possible. She said we need to look for opportunities to increase the quality and time of in-person instruction and to plan for summer and next school year as soon as possible. Lastly, she said that assessments are going to be critical. She went over staff recommendation to reopen school on April 8 and opened the floor for a motion. She suggested moving to reopen for phased in-person instruction on or before April 8, making every effort to reopen earlier if possible. Member Woo asked for clarity. Vice President Murawski stated we reopen for in-person on or before April 8, making every effort to open earlier if possible and meeting CDC/CDPH/Sacramento County Public Health requirements as an expectation and making every effort to meet all of the above and beyond requirements we have laid out in our November 2020 reopening conditions. Member Woo motioned to adopt as Vice President Murawski stated. Member Phillips seconded.

Member Garcia said she wants to make sure the “and making every effort to meet all of the above and beyond” is not a condition to reopening. Vice President Murawski clarified her motion as: reopen for phased in in-person instruction on or before April 8 making every effort to reopen earlier if possible, meeting CDC, CDPH, and Sacramento County Public Health guidelines and subject to state and local school reopening guidelines. She asked if this is confusing, and Member Woo said yes. Vice President Murawski rephrased as: reopen for phased in in-person instruction on or before April 8, making every effort to reopen earlier if possible, meeting CDC, CDPH, and Sacramento County Public Health guidelines; the District shall attempt to meet above and beyond guidelines by the targeted reopening date, shall attempt to meet each above and beyond guidelines as adopted.

Member Rhodes asked if she is trying to be more specific with the language. Vice President Murawski said she is trying to be more clear. She said the confusion is that there are two sets of guidelines, mitigation guidelines and guidelines as to whether schools should reopen at all. She said she can say “meeting CDC, CDPH, Sacramento Public Health mitigation guidelines”.

Student Member Sheikh made a motion to extend the meeting to 12:30 a.m. Member Garcia seconded, and the motion passed unanimously.

Vice President Murawski then restated her motion as: we are asking to reopen for phased in-person instruction on or before April 8 making every effort to reopen earlier if possible meeting CDC, CDPH, and Sacramento County Public Health mitigation guidelines and the District shall make every effort to meet the above and beyond guidelines as adopted November 2020 and subsequently updated by staff.

Member Phillips said she wants this to be easily understood by folks on the street, and this is becoming difficult for her to understand. She noted that the Superintendent is going to update the Board regarding labor partners and changes in local and state guidelines.

Vice President Murawski then again restated her motion as: reopen for phased-in, in-person instruction on or before April 8 making every effort to reopen sooner if possible. Our District shall meet federal, state, and local public health mitigation guidelines. Member Phillips asked what happens if we do not meet those guidelines. Vice President Murawski asked Superintendent Aguilar if he was comfortable with saying/meeting the federal, state, and local public health mitigation guidelines. He said yes, and he explained why. Vice President Murawski then stated: “open April 8 or sooner, earlier if possible; the District shall meet federal, state, and local public health mitigation guidelines and the District shall make every effort to meet any above and beyond guidelines adopted November 2020 and subsequently updated. Member Woo said he does not understand why she has to put in the later. Member Villa agreed and said she does not think we have to add in “above and beyond”. Vice President Murawski said okay, and asked if the Board was good with this. She said, not as part of the motion, but for staff direction we want staff to work toward all of the conditions that were already discussed. She withdrew her motion and asked for a motion to adopt: “reopen April 8 or sooner and that the District shall meet federal, state, and local public health mitigation guidelines”. Member Woo said he withdraws his second, and he adopts this as his motion. Member Villa seconded, and the motion passed 6-0 with President Pritchett absent.

7.3 Recommendations from the Parent Advisory Committee and Student Advisory Council for the Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP) (Vincent Harris and Steven Ramirez-Fong)

Information

LCAP Committee members LaShanya Breazell, Miguel Cordova, Maria Rodriguez, and Renee Webster Hawkins presented along with Student Advisory Council members Sara Faraj, Abby Morioka, and Isa Sheikh. They were joined by staff members Vincent Harris, Chief Continuous Improvement and Accountability Officer and Steven Fong, LCAP/SPSA Coordinator. They presented recommendations for board member feedback, informed district planning, and LCAP development.

*Public Comment:
Sarah Williams Kingsley*

Board Comments:

Vice President Murawski said that the LCAP and LCFF was supposed to be a game changer, yet has not changed the games yet for students. She feels it should be the strategic document for the District. She feels the Board needs to own it and be involved in its development, and she commended the presenters for bringing their recommendations.

Member Garcia said she looks forward to having a deeper discussion on this. She thanked the students for integrating their student perspectives and apologized for keeping them so late.

Member Rhodes thanked the LCAP and Student Advisory Council. He appreciates the students presenting and being up so late.

7.4 Approve Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) Special Education Assessments While in a Distance Learning Model And AB1200 Disclosure (Raoul Bozio)

**Action
(Roll Call Vote)**

In-House Counsel Raoul Bozio addressed key provisions of the MOU and the fiscal impact of AB 1200.

*Public Comment:
Angela Sutherland
Sarah Williams Kingsley
Renee Webster-Hawkins*

Board Comments:

Member Garcia acknowledged and validated public comments that stated that this is long overdue. She asked about the status of a group of professionals that is coming up with a list of assessments and tools. They were supposed to meet within 10 days of the agreement. Dr. Hedegard responded by saying two of the groups, school psychologists and speech and language pathologists, have started to meet and put together the list and

that this information is being shared with the District. Member Garcia noted there is nothing in the agreement that has a hard deadline. Dr. Hedegard said that is correct and added that there is continuously changing information. Member Garcia said her concern is that there be no additional delay, and she would like the Board to be kept in the loop. She asked when the District determines that there is not enough volunteers to do the assessments, and at what point in time is outside help sought. Dr. Hedegard said that the first step is to work with Human Resources to distribute a survey and solicit the number of volunteers. Member Garcia asked, now that we are closer to tier red, how does this proposal fit into a hybrid instructional model. Mr. Bozio said that when staff and teachers physically return to in-person services at schools, this is not really necessary in terms of needing the volunteers to come because this is really the purpose of this agreement, to have people come in-person to conduct these assessments. He also said that the goal was to complete 20 percent of the catch up, when first starting to negotiate back in the fall and earlier winter. Now that some time has passed, we are aware that 20 percent is a minimum, and that we will actually need to exceed that by the date that CDE has provided, which is the end of July. Mr. Bozio said there are some elements of the MOU that will continue and some that would not make sense to continue.

Vice President Murawski said that one of the comments alleged there was a CDE directive to contract out by a certain date; she understands there could be some legal issues there and she asked Mr. Bozio to address that. Mr. Bozio said the CDE directive was without regard to our labor negotiations obligations.

Member Garcia made a motion to approve the MOU. Member Villa seconded, and the motion passed unanimously with President Pritchett absent.

8.0 PUBLIC COMMENT

Public comment may be (1) emailed to publiccomment@scusd.edu; (2) submitted in writing, identifying the matter number and the name of the public member at the URL <https://tinyurl.com/SCUSDcommentMarch4>; or (3) using the same URL, submitting a request for oral comment only when the matter is called, instead of written comment. Regardless of the method by which public comment is submitted, including a request for oral comment, the submission deadline shall be no later than noon, March 4 for any agenda item. Individual written public comment shall be no more than two minutes in length on each agenda item. The Board shall limit the total time for public comment on each agenda item to 15 minutes in length. With Board consent, the President may increase or decrease the length of time allowed for public comment, depending on the agenda item and the number of public comments. The Board is allowed to take action only on items specifically described on the agenda as action items, unless added to the agenda as allowed by law. The Board may, in its discretion, refer a matter to district staff or calendar an agenda item for future action.

Public Comment:

Brett Barley

Katrina Trute

Rich Vasquez

Erin Duarte

Rebecca Gross

Rebecca Wiegand

Che Geiser

Nicole Freedman

Daniel Garcia

*Brittney Houston
Sarah Klapheck
Daniel Thorburn
Nina Daya
Angie Sutherland*

9.0 COMMUNICATIONS

9.1 Employee Organization Reports:

Information

- *SCTA – David Fisher reported on behalf of SCTA*
- *SEIU – No report given*
- *TCS – No report given*
- *Teamsters – No report given*
- *UPE – No report given*

9.2 District Advisory Committees:

Information

- *Community Advisory Committee - Kristen Jordan reported on behalf of CAC*
- *District English Learner Advisory Committee – No report given*
- *Local Control Accountability Plan/Parent Advisory Committee – Vanessa Areiza King reported on behalf of LCAP/PAC*
- *Student Advisory Council – No report given*

9.3 Superintendent’s Report (Jorge A. Aguilar)

Information

Superintendent Aguilar said that his comments were largely to be about reopening, and deferred them to a later date due to the lateness of the hour.

9.4 President’s Report (Lisa Murawski for Christina Pritchett)

Information

Vice President Murawski did not have a report for President Pritchett.

9.5 Student Member Report (Isa Sheikh)

Information

Student Member Sheikh gave reasons why the city’s education is in a pitiful state. He said we are jeopardizing the education of an entire generation. He gave information on the detrimental effects of the pandemic on youth. He noted also the effects of decreased attendance in light of the

threat of receivership. He asked if we have the decency to be embarrassed of our current state. He feels that distance learning can never replace the experience of actual school and feels the same goes for the hybrid learning that was voted on today. He feels the District needs to begin planning now to have full, five day per week school in the Fall.

9.6 Information Sharing By Board Members

Information

Member Villa said that she feels this a time that the District has an opportunity to reimagine how we look at education for our communities and families.

Vice President Murawski said she thinks it is important as Board members to lead by example and so she hopes they can get back in the Board room and start meeting in person.

10.0 CONSENT AGENDA

(Roll Call Vote)

Generally routine items are approved by one motion without discussion. The Superintendent or a Board member may request an item be pulled from the consent agenda and voted upon separately.

10.1 Items Subject or Not Subject to Closed Session:

10.1a Approve Grants, Entitlements and Other Income Agreements, Ratification of Other Agreements, Approval of Bid Awards, Approval of Declared Surplus Materials and Equipment, Change Notices and Notices of Completion (Rose F. Ramos)

10.1b Approve Personnel Transactions (Cancy McArn)

10.1c Approve Staff Recommendations for Expulsion Re-Entry of Expulsion #8, 2019-20, as Determined by the Board (Doug Huscher and Stephan Brown)

10.1d Approve Minutes of the February 4, 2021, Board of Education Meeting (Jorge A. Aguilar)

10.1e Approve Appointment of Board Member Chinua Rhodes to the California School Board Association (CSBA) Delegate Assembly (Board 2nd Vice President Darrel Woo)

Vice President Murawski asked for consensus of the Board to pull Item 10.1e and asked for separate approval to add Member Garcia's name as a write-in candidate. The Board agreed by consensus and Second Vice President Woo moved to add Member Garcia's name as a write-in candidate. Member Phillips seconded, and the motion was approved 6-0 with President Pritchett absent. A motion to adopt the Consent Agenda was then made to be approved by Second

Vice President Woo and seconded by Member Garcia. The Board voted unanimously to adopt the Consent Agenda.

Public Comment:

*Renee Webster-Hawkins
Sarah Williams Kingsley
Ursula Yisreal*

Vice President Murawski asked for a show of hands to increase the Board meeting by 15 minutes. The Board concurred.

11.0 BUSINESS AND FINANCIAL INFORMATION/REPORTS

Receive Information

11.1 Business and Financial Information:

- *Enrollment and Attendance Report, Month 5 Ending Friday, January 29, 2021 (Rose Ramos)*

President Pritchett received the Business and Financial information/reports.

Public Comment:

*Maria Rodriguez
Sarah Williams Kingsley*

12.0 FUTURE BOARD MEETING DATES / LOCATIONS

- ✓ *March 18, 2021 4:30 p.m. Closed Session, 6:00 p.m. Open Session, Serna Center, 5735 47th Avenue, Community Room, Regular Workshop Meeting*
- ✓ *April 8, 2021 4:30 p.m. Closed Session, 6:00 p.m. Open Session, Serna Center, 5735 47th Avenue, Community Room, Regular Workshop Meeting*

13.0 ADJOURNMENT

Vice President Murawski asked for a motion to adjourn the meeting; a motion was made by Student Member Sheikh and seconded by Member Woo. The motion was passed unanimously, and the meeting adjourned at 12:37 a.m.

Jorge A. Aguilar, Superintendent and Board Secretary

NOTE: The Sacramento City Unified School District encourages those with disabilities to participate fully in the public meeting process. If you need a disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to participate in the public meeting, please contact the Board of Education Office at (916) 643-9314 at least 48 hours before the scheduled Board of Education meeting so that we may make every reasonable effort to accommodate you. [Government Code § 54953.2; Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, § 202 (42 U.S.C. §12132)] Any public records

distributed to the Board of Education less than 72 hours in advance of the meeting and relating to an open session item will be available on the District's website at www.scusd.edu