

**Sacramento City Unified School District  
Board of Education Meeting**

**June 5, 2025**

**Written Public Comments**

Name:	Rose Ramos
School:	N/A
Agenda Item #	11.3
Comment:	<p>My questions and comments are in reference to the board agenda/packet 6/5/25 item #11.30 Public Hearing 2025-2026 Proposed Budget All Funds</p> <p>Please clarify the statement on page 645, the 2nd paragraph of the 6/5/25 board packet, it states that the district is able to satisfy the 2% required reserves for all years 2025-2026 through 2027-2028. However, in the 3rd year the district is projecting to fall short of the 2% required reserve by \$12.8M. This shortfall is disclosed in a few places: the Criteria &amp; Standards section form MYP line #3h page 788 of the board packet discloses that the district will NOT meet the 2% required reserve based on the projections and on page 637 of the board packet, the multi-year projections table also supports that the district is projecting to fall short by \$12.8M. Therefore, the narrative on page 645 that indicates that the district will satisfy the 2% in all years is contrary to the projections. Perhaps the district is estimating that a larger 2025-2026 beginning fund balance will materialize after the books are closed? sufficient enough to cover the shortfall? Please explain.</p> <p>On page 639 of the board packet, the district is planning to draw down one-time \$20M from the OPEB trust in order to maintain fiscal solvency. How will this \$20M draw down impact the OPEB account in terms of the liability, earning rate and are there any other consequences for this draw down? Is the district no longer required to fund OPEB or pay down OPEB? I am requesting additional information on the OPEB account. The public also deserves to be made fully aware of the implications of the \$20M OPEB draw down.</p> <p>thank you Rose Ramos concerned Community Member and Taxpayer</p>

**Sacramento City Unified School District  
Board of Education Meeting**

**June 5, 2025**

**Written Public Comments**

Name:	James Thorall
School:	N/A
Agenda Item #	11.3
Comment:	<p>The following questions are in regards to the Proposed Budget Report and Multiyear Fiscal Projection:</p> <p>1. Given the SCTA contract is set to expire on June 30, 2025, and considering that certificated salaries and benefits represent the largest component of the district's expenditures, how is the district accounting for potential increases in salary costs due to anticipated contract reopeners or renegotiations? Should this be included in the budget assumptions and general planning factors?</p> <p>2. The proposed budget includes a \$20 million drawdown from the OPEB fund, yet the district continues to face a significant and growing OPEB liability, likely exacerbated by rising healthcare costs and a shrinking active workforce supporting a growing retiree base.</p> <p>What is the district's long-term strategy for addressing the OPEB obligation in a fiscally sustainable way, and how does this drawdown align with that strategy?</p> <p>Thank you.</p>