
From: Land Parkers near McClatchy High
Sent: Thursday, February 18, 2021 9:24 AM
To: SCUSD Public Comment
Subject: Comment on item 10.1d

Warning! This message originates from OUTSIDE the District's email system. Please verify the sender and contents before opening attachments or clicking any links. Contact the Technology Services Help Desk at 916-643-9445 with any questions.

Dear SCUSD Board:

We are writing to you to express concerns regarding proposed lighting on the new track and field facilities behind the CK McClatchy high school.

The families represented in this letter live near the field, and represent a diverse cross section of Sacramento itself: state employees, retirees, scientists, attorneys, artists, judges, teachers, athletes, and peace officers. We are glad students have a safe and modern field to practice, compete, and simply play outside.

We want to share important concerns about the proposed lighting associated with item 10.1d. We are strongly against lighting fixtures being added to the field, whether temporary or permanent, of any kind (including new-tech directional lights). Unlike some other schools in the district, CKM is in a dense urban environment and new lighting would adversely affect the balance that's been struck between day and night activities in the neighborhood. The nighttime diesel emissions and diesel noise are also unacceptable new circumstances to propose to nearby homeowners.

Thank you for considering these comments.

Regards,

LPMH Group: Land Parkers near McClatchy High School

From: Holly Sisneros <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, February 18, 2021 12:04 PM
To: SCUSD Public Comment
Subject: CKM Night Lighting

Warning! This message originates from OUTSIDE the District's email system. Please verify the sender and contents before opening attachments or clicking any links. Contact the Technology Services Help Desk at 916-643-9445 with any questions.

To the SCUSD School Board,

For 24 years we have enjoyed living in Land Park on 17th St (behind the CKM track). Both of our daughters graduated from CKM and we have always been strong and active supporters of the school, staff and students.

While we understand the value of team sports for young people, and encourage this type of fitness and engagement, we strongly object to flooding our homes with the night lighting proposed by the CKM coaches. These individuals do not live in Land Park and are demonstrating a blatant disregard for the residents' sense of privacy, comfort and normalcy.

As residents directly adjacent to the field, over the years we have cooperated, cleaned, donated time and money, and helped care for CKM. However, in this matter we strongly object to what is being proposed. There are families with babies and young children adjacent to that fence whose sleep and living patterns will be severely impacted by those lights.

For these reasons, we ask the SCUSD School Board to deny the lighting proposal for the CKM track.

Thank you,

Holly & Gil Sisneros

t

Holly Sisneros, MPH

F

From: Peter Zullo <...@...>
Sent: Wednesday, February 17, 2021 3:11 PM
To: SCUSD Public Comment
Subject: Feb 18 - Item 10.1d

Warning! This message originates from OUTSIDE the District's email system. Please verify the sender and contents before opening attachments or clicking any links. Contact the Technology Services Help Desk at 916-643-9445 with any questions.

Hello,

The following public comment is submitted for Feb 18 - Item 10.1d "Approve Resolution No. 3186: Project Approval and Notice of Exemptions (Nathaniel Browning)".

I oppose the installation of diesel-powered field lights, as proposed by CK McClatchy High School (CKM), which has been proposed by the school in the past. The track/field at CKM is in a densely populated residential neighborhood, and introducing (A) diesel emissions, (B) diesel engine noise, and (C) high powered lights would significantly affect nearby homes, especially after dusk. Moreover, a Categorical Exemption to CEQA based on Class 11 category is not appropriate for this project. The proposed diesel-powered field lighting is significantly different in nature than the Accessory Structures cited in Class 11, such as signage, lifeguard towers, and portable restrooms. The examples within Class 11 are benign accessory items, not projects that introduce particulate matter emissions, generator noise, and new visual lighting effects. Lastly, as cited under CEQA 15311 Accessory Structures, Public Resource Code 21083(b) states that projects will have a significant effect on the environment if (1) a proposed project has the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, curtail the range of the environment, or to achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals, and (3) The environmental effects of project will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly. The proposed project would thus have a significant effect on the environment, and does not qualify for categorical exemption.

Respectfully,

Peter Zullo