

Sacramento City Unified School District
Board of Education Meeting

October 1, 2020 Public Comments

Name:	Alison French-Tubo
Comment:	<p>In light of the 9/15/20 FCMAT report's commentary on position control, I am pleased to see, on page 11 of the pdf for item 9.1, a vacancy trend analysis that shows there is a calculable percentage of authorized FTE that can be treated as vacancy savings, and that the amount shown is not pocket change--over \$8.7M for unrestricted and almost \$5.7M for restricted. This is the kind of analysis that was missing in previous years and left SCUSD fumbling to explain the difference between predicted and actual expenditures, so it's great to see CBO Ramos has succeeded in improving it in her first year. As I am commenting in advance, I hope that between the presentation and questions from Board Members that we will hear of how the position control weaknesses identified by FCMAT can be addressed going forward. In the meantime, we still need more savings to end the structural deficit, and we need a new CBA for SCTA so that their members can stop pointing out that the daily rate for curriculum negotiated in 2017 is low compared to minimum wage in 2020, and I can stop pointing out that even state workers only get 80% of the cheapest family plan as a health care benefit.</p>

Speaking as: Parent/Guardian

Email

Tel:

Date/time Stamp: 9/30/2020 10:52:43 PM