Date: April 18, 2013

Issued by: Sacramento City Unified School District

Project: HVAC Controls Upgrade at Rosemont High School (No. 708-0540)

You are hereby notified of the following changes, clarifications, or modifications to the original Contract Documents, Specifications, and Drawings. This Addendum shall supersede the original project documents, and shall take precedence over anything to the contrary therein. All Addenda shall be acknowledged in the Bid Form. Failure to do so may result in disqualification of the bid. All other conditions remain unchanged.

1.) Specifications 15950, Part 1 – General, 1.1,D.1.L & 3.5 – Totalize the Kwh used in each AC Unit.
   We are having difficulty, given the project budget, understanding the requirement to measure and report (daily, weekly, monthly, annual) Kw usage for individual A/C units. The cost associated with delivering this level of itemized usage is typically cost prohibitive, and the bid format does not allow for providing this as an add/deduct. Additionally, an industry standard method of both providing this information and doing it within a reasonable budget, is to provide a Kw meter designed to provide such data accurately and collectively for each building, without the creation and maintenance of custom math blocks and complex programming. Monitoring building load vs. A/C unit load provides a complete power usage report, and in a format easily applied, maintained and understood.
   a.) Do we provide what was specified and estimate the associated costs to deliver the 3.5 requirement? Yes
   b.) Can we provide a Value Engineered alternative based on the above criteria? No

2.) Section 15950, Part 1.1 Quality Assurance, D, item K, filter alarm reporting: Monitor the outside air filters for differential pressure drop.
   This item is only mentioned in this part of the specifications but is not listed on the point summary.
   a.) Are we to provide what is specified or go by the point summary? Does Not Apply
3.) Section 15950, Part 3.4, Existing Servers, item 1: Replace the existing Meta1 and Meta2 Metasys PMI Software.
Since the ADX server is existing, and since all NAE’s must be of the same version, will the requirement to “Install an ADX” be removed? If an upgrade is considered, understand that ALL NAE’s on the network must also be upgraded and the associated costs to do so. Otherwise, the new NAE for this project can simply be “flashed” to the same version compatible with the current ADX installation. Flash the NAE to the same version compatible with the current ADX installation.

4.) Addendum #1:
KMC drawings show a total of 88 Rooftop Units. The point summary has a total of 97 Rooftop Units. I would expect the quantity on the point summary to be correct but would like to have it clarified. Could there have been 9 units added after the installation by KMC and possibly are not on the DDC system? Additional units were added to the Auditorium on an additional Mod. No drawings are available.

NOTE: Installation on ADS/ADX server to be coordinated with JCI on 103 Woodmere Road as to not affect our current warranty on existing installation. P.O. will be issued directly to JCI by the District.

END OF ADDENDUM NO. 2