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1.0 OPEN SESSION / CALL TO ORDER / ROLL CALL
The meeting was called to order at 4:42 p.m. by President Woo, and roll was taken.

Members Present:
President Darrel Woo
Vice President Christina Pritchett
Second Vice President Jay Hansen
Gustavo Arroyo
Ellen Cochrane
Jessie Ryan
Asami Saito, Student Member

Members Absent:
Diana Rodriguez (arrived at 5:10 p.m.)

A quorum was reached.

2.0 ANNOUNCEMENT AND PUBLIC COMMENT REGARDING ITEMS TO BE DISCUSSED IN CLOSED SESSION
No Public Comment was requested on Closed Session items, and the Board retired to Closed Session.

3.0 CLOSED SESSION

While the Brown Act creates broad public access rights to the meetings of the Board of Education, it also recognizes the legitimate need to conduct some of its meetings outside of the public eye. Closed session meetings are specifically defined and limited in scope. They primarily involve personnel issues, pending litigation, labor negotiations, and real property matters.

3.1 Government Code 54956.9 - Conference with Legal Counsel – Anticipated Litigation:
a) Existing litigation pursuant to subdivision (a) of Government Code section 54956.9: (OAH Case No. 2014120126; OAH Case No. 2014110402; SCTA v. SCUSD PERB No. SA-CE-2782-E)

b) Significant exposure to litigation pursuant to subdivision (d)(2) of Government Code section 54956.9

c) Initiation of litigation pursuant to subdivision (d)(4) of Government Code section 54956.9

3.2 Government Code 54957.6 (a) and (b) Negotiations/Collective Bargaining CSA, SCTA, SEIU, Teamsters, UPE, Unrepresented Management

3.3 Education Code section 35146 – The Board will hear staff recommendations on the following student expulsions:

   a) Expulsion #7, 2014-15


3.5 Government Code 54957 - Public Employee Performance Evaluation:

   a) Superintendent

4.0 CALL BACK TO ORDER/PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The meeting was called back to order at 6:38 p.m. by President Woo.

Members Present:
Second Vice President Jay Hansen
Vice President Christina Pritchett
President Darrel Woo
Diana Rodriguez
Gustavo Arroyo
Ellen Cochrane
Jessie Ryan
Student Member Asami Saito

Members Absent:
None

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by After School Enrichment and Safety (ASES) Step Team, a dance group of 5th and 6th grade students from Earl Warren Elementary School. The students performed a short dance after leading the Pledge of Allegiance, and Certificates of Appreciation were presented by Member Arroyo.

5.0 ANNOUNCEMENT OF ACTION TAKEN IN CLOSED SESSION

Counsel Jerry Behrens announced that two Special Education matters, OAH Case #2014120126 and #2014110402 as passed by a vote of six to nothing, with Board Member Rodriguez absent. Also regarding PERB Case #SA-CE-2782-E, an agreement was ratified unanimously with a seven to zero
vote. This matter involved SCTA, the District, and related actions.

6.0 AGENDA ADOPTION

President Woo asked for a motion to adopt the agenda. A motion was made to approve by Vice President Pritchett, however Second Vice President Hansen requested that Item 9.1a be pulled so that he could discuss.

Superintendent Banda recommended that Bid #407-2 be pulled from Consent Agenda Item 9.1a and that the Board reject all bids on Bid #407-2 in order to re-bid them. The Board could take action by approving the recommendation in its overall approval of Consent Agenda Item 9.0.

Vice President Pritchett moved to adopt the agenda as amended and Member Arroyo seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

7.0 SPECIAL PRESENTATION

7.1 Facilities Support Services Annual Donation to Parker Family Resource Center (Cathy Allen)

Cathy Allen and Barry Evpak presented a check to the Parker Family Resource Center for $874. The check represented donations collected through the Facilities Maintenance Department. Mike Breverly of the Machine Shop spoke on the process behind collecting donations. The check represents funds beyond what was needed for the meal. Monica McRho, of the Parker Family Resource Center, spoke about the homeless program and the help that has been received over the years from the Facilities Department and all District departments. Ms. Allen stated that there will be another donation at the end of this school year.

8.0 PUBLIC COMMENT

Members of the public may address the Board on non-agenda items that are within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Board. Please fill out a yellow card available at the entrance. Speakers may be called in the order that requests are received, or grouped by subject area. We ask that comments are limited to two (2) minutes with no more than 15 minutes per single topic so that as many people as possible may be heard. By law, the Board is allowed to take action only on items on the agenda. The Board may, at its discretion, refer a matter to district staff or calendar the issue for future discussion.

Terrence Gladney spoke again about the budget difference between the estimate and final cost of the John F. Kennedy High School track project. He has still not received an answer on why the estimate was so much lower than the final cost. Also, key materials, such as starting blocks, were not purchased. Mr. Gladney, however, was able to confirm that the principal did purchase what was needed, but he had to use money from the general fund to do so, approximately $6,000. He asked that finance staff provide reports for John F. Kennedy, Rosemont, and Luther Burbank’s track projects.

Vice President Pritchett formally asked staff to please create a timeline of what has taken place regarding this matter. She also asked that Mr. Castillo provide the budget for the projects and that a formal meeting be arranged between Mr. Castillo, Mr. Gladney, and herself.

Nikki Milevsky, President of the Sacramento City Teachers’ Association (SCTA), said she is happy to recognize the leadership of Superintendent Banda and the Board for taking the significant, first, and, she expects, not the last step forward in building a much needed, more constructive relationship between the teachers and the District. While details will be ironed out on Tuesday, and significant issues still remain, jointly a big initial step is being taken toward resolving the recent health plan issues.

(Board Agenda, Feb. 5, 2015)
The SOTA has also reached a significant agreement with the Academic office regarding how Common Core allocated dollars will be spent for the remainder of this year and next. Ms. Milevsky stated that the sessions were very professional and constructive. It is hoped that a foundation is set for a vastly improved relationship. She also stated that the SOTA continues to work with Second Vice President Hansen and Councilmember Steve Hansen to reopen Washington School, and they urge the Board not to take action that would impede that opportunity. They also ask that the District not pass a resolution supporting the repeal of caps on reserves for school districts.
Darlene Anderson spoke on Black History Month and stated that it is important to start having a conversation regarding African American students in the District. She has been coming to Board meetings for over 20 years and served as a school site council chair and District Advisory Council chair. She feels that upon graduation students should be employable and that the District is not being held accountable due to Prop 209.

Member Rodriguez thanked Ms. Anderson for the reminder that this is Black History Month, and stated she would like to add a resolution for the next Board meeting agenda to celebrate Black History Month.

9.0 CONSENT AGENDA

Generally routine items are approved by one motion without discussion. The Superintendent or a Board member may request an item be pulled from the consent agenda and voted upon separately.

President Woo announced an amendment to Item No. 9.1f, the Minutes of January 8, 2015. On page four, the fourth line down, a comment made by Mr. Ralph Merletti commenting on Item 8.1e required correction. The word “encourage” was typed incorrectly and should be “discourage”. President Woo read the sentence with the corrected word. President Woo noted that the Board already pulled Item 9.1a.

9.1 Items Subject or Not Subject to Closed Session:

9.1a Approve Grants, Entitlements and Other Income Agreements, Ratification of Other Agreements, Approval of Bid Awards, Change Notices and Notices of Completion (Gerardo Castillo, CPA)

9.1b Approve Personnel Transactions (Cancy McArn)

9.1c Approve Sutter Middle School Field Trip to Ashland, Oregon, March 4 – 6, 2015 (Mary Hardin Young)

9.1d Approve Appointment of Second Vice President Jay Hansen to the CSBA Delegate Assembly (José L. Banda)

9.1e Approve Staff Recommendations for Expulsion #7, 2014-2015 (Lisa Allen and Stephan Brown)

9.1f Approve Minutes of the January 8, 2015, Board of Education Meeting (José L. Banda)

9.1g Approve First Amendment to Facilities Use Agreement: St. HOPE Public Schools (Sue Lee)
Public Comment:
Ralph Merletti spoke on Item 9.1c, showing a map on the overhead of the area to be visited by Sutter Middle School on their field trip to Ashland, Oregon. He stated that he is strongly in favor of the field trip. Assuming it is a daylight trip and weather permitting, there will be some great scenery. He advised students and staff to enjoy the views. He mentioned Lassen Peak and Mount Shasta, and he noted that May will be the 100th anniversary of a major eruption at Lassen Peak. Also, Mount Shasta further north is a dormant volcano and the highest point in Northern California at over 14,000 feet high. Mr. Merletti also suggested they check the level of Shasta reservoir while passing.

Board Member Comments:
Second Vice President Hansen had a question on a contract and a question on a bid included in Item 9.1a which were answered by Cathy Allen. He also asked a question about the bids for supplemental educational services which was answered by Dr. Olivine Roberts. Dr. Roberts will provide a list of providers and the sites at which they provide services.

Item 9.1a was then reinstated with the omission of Bid #407-2 as described earlier. President Woo asked for a motion to approve the Consent Agenda as amended. Vice President Pritchett made a motion which was seconded by Second Vice President Hansen. The motion passed unanimously.

10.0 BOARD WORKSHOP/STRATEGIC PLAN AND OTHER INITIATIVES

10.1 Approve Resolution No. 2819: Recognition of National No One Eats Alone Day, February 13, 2015

(Jessie Ryan)

Member Ryan introduced Resolution No. 2819 in recognition of National No One Eats Alone Day, February 13, 2015, and explained that this is a youth led national movement to end social isolation. She spoke on the benefits of the inclusion of all regarding socialization and the dangers isolation can cause. She also explained how the nonprofit organization, Beyond Differences, was started. More than 350 schools and 200 school districts across the country have adopted No One Eats Alone resolutions. In Member Ryan’s trustee area, Father Keith B. Kenny K-8 School has embraced the program.

Public Comment:
Angie Sutherland is very happy that this day is being honored and will become a part of our District as there are a lot of students that feel isolated and shunned sometimes. She stated that she supports this and thanked the Board.

Adrian Moreno spoke in support of No One Eats Alone Day. He stated that it is important that all students be accepted. He thanked the Board for having a day in which all kids are included.

Board Member Comments:
None

Member Ryan motioned that Resolution No. 2819 be moved in recognition of National No One Eats Alone Day, February 13, 2015. Member Arroyo seconded the motion which was passed unanimously.
Gerardo Castillo and Michael Smith presented the 2015-16 Budget Reductions for Restricted Funds. They covered the California economic outlook, what that outlook means for Sacramento City Unified School District, explained the difference between restricted and unrestricted funds, defined the Quality Education Investment Act (QEIA), went over the current schools and positions funded with QEIA, made recommendations to help schools that lose QEIA funding and 2015-16 budget recommendations, and went over next steps.

Public Comment:
Darlene Anderson said that she would like to know what was done with the money, how it affected the school sites, and if it is even useful to continue the funding in light of student achievement. She feels the District needs to have accountability. She feels that Title I funding does not help all students as some believe. And she feels the same in the case of LCAP funding and feels the Board already approved limited participation from community members regarding the LCAP process. She also does not understand the use of funds for positions that do not make a difference to youth.

Liz Guillen, of Public Advocates, thanked the Budget Department for making financial information accessible; having the website page for the Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP) linked directly to the monthly District and school site expenditures will make it easy for parents and the public to figure out together how positions can be maintained. She feels this shows a culture change toward transparency. She encourages those that are monitoring the budget to look at projections from a trend perspective and compare that with actuals. She also appreciates information shared about carry over funds.

Board Member Comments:
Member Rodriguez stated that she had brought this issue to light last November, and she expressed appreciation to Mr. Castillo for his follow up and commitment to a soft landing for affected schools. She reminded the Board that the first responsibility is to the students, and feels this sentiment has relevance to the next Item.

President Woo asked the presenters when they would be back. Mr. Castillo replied at the next Board meeting.

Cathy Allen presented on the next step in the process regarding closed sites. She presented a review of the steps taken so far, discussed the review process used for the proposals, shared some of the comments made by reviewers, showed total scores, and discussed next steps.

Public Comment:
Phil Serna, Chairman of the Sacramento County Board of Supervisors, commended staff for their work on behalf of the community and those that put forth proposals for reuse of facilities. Mr. Serna stated the main message he wanted to convey is that moving forward he would like to partnership with the District. He would be happy to answer any questions about that. He endorsed La Familia and spoke on the Fruit Ridge Elementary School site, its location and how he, Jay Schenirer, and Board Member Ryan have been working on a number of different initiatives to improve that community. He stated the school was somewhat the last institution at the geographic heart of the community, and when it closed it was incumbent upon all the elected that represent the area in and around that neighborhood to focus on what could be to improve it. Therefore he hopes tonight the Board will take the first steps to do that.
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Jay Schenirer, Sacramento City Councilmember, stated that he attended many of the school closure meetings and knows that they were painful for the neighborhoods. He noted that three of the four schools currently being discussed are in his District. Beyond the pain that the closures caused, a void was also created in some neighborhoods. Mr. Schenirer also spoke of what can be done to partner with the District in an effort to create vibrant places for neighborhoods once again. He is in support of La Familia and Camellia Waldorf. He feels Camellia Waldorf is a good fit for the neighborhood, and regarding Fruit Ridge feels the applicant provides services that are more needed in that neighborhood than any other discussed. Mr. Schenirer and Mr. Serna have discussed this last point, and stand ready to help the program in any way they can in an effort to make sure the applicant is successful.

Rick Jennings, Sacramento City Councilmember, stated that closing the schools was very painful and that some of that pain can be eliminated by finding a use at closed sites that will benefit the community. The question must be, if children are not attending to be educated, then how can we use those facilities to benefit the children and their families? Although he does not have sites in his District, he wants to let the Board know that the partners that have submitted proposals have a proven history of working with the District, the children, and the families. La Familia, for example, has a 40 year history of providing services to the Sacramento community. They also understand the importance of a collaborative effort. He knows the Board is looking at the proposals seriously, thanked the Board for doing that, and asked that the Board move to the next step.

Jeff Harris, Sacramento City Councilmember, addressed the use of the C. P. Huntington Elementary School site, and he is in favor of the Camellia Waldorf application. Mr. Harris recounted how he was on the steering committee that helped relocate the Waldorf program to the Alice Birney School site when it closed. It has been one of the most successful programs in the District. He therefore feels this is an excellent use for the C. P. Huntington site. Mr. Harris noted that the education of students should be the top priority and encouraged the Board to enter into negotiations with Camellia Waldorf as it is a proven program of 20 plus years at its current site. He urged the Board to look at the program carefully as he feels it will invigorate the neighborhood and be beneficial for students in Sacramento.

Patrick Kennedy, Sacramento County Supervisor, spoke in favor of the program proposed for the Maple Elementary School site. He noted that La Familia already has the trust of the community, will serve families, and strengthen the neighborhood. He urged the Board to at least allow the proposals to move to the next phase of negotiations.

Manuel “Manny” Hernandez asked the Board to consider if the school sites in question are being used and if the proposal meets the urgent needs of the community. In the case of the Maple Elementary site, he knows that it is not being used. If a proposal will meet the urgent needs of the community, he asks the Board to approve.

Rick Brandsma, Board President of La Familia, urged the Board to approve the next step. Working with partners, they have developed a long list of services based on community input that they are eager to get underway.

Ana Negron spoke in favor of La Familia Counseling Centers. She has worked with the organization as a District representative for both Senator Ortiz and Senator Steinberg for the past 13 years. She is very impressed with the work that they do and how they help the community.

Michael Spurgeon, an English instructor at American River College and co-founder and Board President of 916 Ink, a program dedicated to children’s literacy, said that they are excited to partner with La Familia and looks forward to the Board’s approval.

Terrence Gladney, President of the Sacramento Council of PTAs, speaking on behalf of the Council and the PTA Clothes Closet, stated that they were happy and relieved when they were approached by the Fruit Ridge Collaborative to be a part of their mission and vision. They were relieved as they saw it as an opportunity to extend the few hands currently available to do work on behalf of students. It is also an opportunity for like-minded organizations to partner and be in one space, similar to some of the features at the Enrollment Center. They feel the Fruit Ridge Collaborative will be a service to families.

Frank DeYoung spoke as a Brentwood community member in favor of Camellia Waldorf’s application for the C. P. Huntington Elementary School site. He stated that the organization has reached out to and met with the community. They are willing to be a community partner. He would like to see the facility be used as a school, as
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it was designed to be. He asked the Board to please approve this step of the process. Katie McCleary, Director of 916 Ink, stated that they have been looking for a place to open their Young Author’s Palace, a creative writing classroom for ages Kindergarten through Twelfth grade. They have published over 1,300 students in 42 different publications. They are part of the La Familia project, and have received over $160,000 from the Metro Chamber of Commerce, the Harvego Family Foundation, the California Endowment, the Fortune Foundation, and the Sacramento Region Community Foundation in support of their portion of the Young Author’s Palace project. Ms. McCleary urged the Board to move forward in a quick manner; otherwise she will be forced to find another space. Of mutual benefit to the District will be that at least 200 students from the Sacramento City Unified School District per year will be served.

Monica Hernandez, with Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG), spoke as a committed partner to working with La Familia on the reuse of the Maple Elementary School site. She urged the Board to move forward with negotiations. She acknowledged the efforts of District staff in developing the process. Her organization brings technical assistance through specific, identified and secure grant funding to the table. They would like to work with the City and the County in improving Transportation options to access the site.

Rachel Rios, Executive Director of La Familia Counseling Center, thanked the Superintendent, Board, and staff for bringing this item forward tonight. She said La Familia also wants to acknowledge the work of the 7-11 Committee that worked early on to review their concepts for the repurposing of the school. On behalf of La Familia, she urged the Board to approve their proposal for the reuse of the Maple Elementary School site and to take action to move their proposal to the next level by authorizing the District to begin negotiations with them. This action will still allow the Board to review and approve any final agreement. Ms. Rios stated that La Familia has worked hard with their partners, 916 Ink and Fairy Tale Town as well as others to identify support and resources for this project. They are concerned that continual delays in making progress on this project jeopardizes those resources. More importantly, opportunities to address critical gaps in service needs that the community needs are continuing to be delayed.

Karen Daly spoke on behalf of the Brentwood Neighborhood Association. The Brentwood neighborhood surrounds the C. P. Huntington School site. Ms. Daly stated that the Association met several times with Camellia Waldorf School, are impressed with their proposal, and happy to support them in their application to utilize the vacant school. She asked the Board to consider supporting Camellia Waldorf School, but not for just the two years that District staff has suggested, but for at least a ten year agreement. A two year agreement does not make the move worthwhile for Camellia Waldorf School.

Judy Smith, speaking on behalf of the Fruit Ridge Community Collaborative, thanked the 7-11 Committee and all staff. She has been a resident of the Fruit Ridge community for approximately 30 years, and her children attended Fruit Ridge Elementary. She was saddened to see the school empty, so she began calling around the country and then began receiving calls from people interested in knowing what was going on with the Collaborative. They worked hard to get very strong organizations that were not doing duplication of services. They are now in the process of having Tide Centers become their fiscal sponsors.

Simeon Gant, also part of the Collaborative with Ms. Smith, is the Executive Director of Green Technical Education and Employment. Some of the work that will be done within the Collaborative when approved will be twenty-first century career opportunities and job skills. They will be teaching young people about careers in clean energy and energy efficiency in sustainable living strategies. They will provide hands on opportunities for learning, such as installing solar panels and work with alternative fuel vehicles. As long as the site is empty, it is a public safety issue, so they would like to fill the space with community work and educational opportunities. Alisha Hamilton spoke as a community member, although her Mother is Judy Smith of the Fruit Ridge Community Collaborative. She came from the Fruit Ridge area, moved to the Natomas area, and brought her family back to the Fruit Ridge area. She noted the great amount of positive energy that her Mother has put into the Collaborative and is happy to see that energy moving forward in a positive way. She hopes to see the process go to the next phase. There has been a lot of focus on areas within the Oak Park area, and she would like to see similar focus on the Fruit Ridge area.

Luis A. Perales said he has been with the La Familia Counseling Center for 17 years. His mission is to teach children sports and martial arts.
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Magda Velasquez, also teaching sports and martial arts with La Familia Counseling Center, spoke about the work they do with children.  

Asante Menga, a graduate of La Familia’s GED program, spoke about the program. She is enrolled at Sacramento City College majoring in Child Education. She gave examples of other students that earned their GEDs through the program. She feels that La Familia’s expansion to the Maple Elementary School site will provide more opportunities, programs, and activities for youth and the community.  

Martí Brown, Executive Director of the North Franklin District Business Association, spoke on behalf of their Board in favor of La Familia’s Counseling Center’s proposal for the Maple Elementary School site. The Association has been working on a community economic development plan for the past couple of years and is nearing completion. The centerpiece of that plan is health and building a healthy community. They therefore feel that La Familia could not be a better partner for the business district for the community plan that they are in the process of completing. They are very hopeful that the Board will move forward and agree to continue with negotiations for repurposing the Maple Elementary School site and that the District will work with La Familia.  

Rosalba Moreno, through a translator, spoke of benefits she has received from the La Familia Counseling Center over the past twelve years. She first went to La Familia and was referred to WEAVE. Her sons have also received counseling through La Familia Counseling Center, been part of karate, received youth services, and help finding jobs. She and her family are very grateful for the agency and staff that work there. Her son Juan has volunteered at La Familia for over ten years. She feels it is important for the program to grow so that youth can accomplish their goals and remain off the streets. Her son is now in a transitional program and next year will begin college. Her younger son is now a Senior in high school and has been accepted to Montana University. She is very proud of her two sons and owes much of their success to La Familia. Ms. Moreno thanked La Familia and those that work there for their support.  

Kathy Fleming, Executive Director of Fairy Tale Town, spoke on the importance of play to the physical, cognitive, and emotional development of children. She said that this and also the decline of play is well documented. Safe places for play are a very important community asset. Therefore, Fairy Tale Town wants to work with La Familia Family Counseling Center to provide supervised play provision at the Maple Elementary School site during out of school hours for neighborhood children aged eight to 15. They have pledges of support to make this happen from a wide variety of community organizations ranging from rotary clubs to the Sacramento Tree Foundation as well as some individuals. She hopes the Board will approve the next step to begin negotiations with La Familia.  

Chanowk Yisrael, founder of the Yisrael Family Urban Farm, said that the organization has not been included in any of the talks with the Fruit Ridge Community Collaborative. They are across the street from the Fruit Ridge School site. His father also lives in the community. They have tried to be involved and work with the community. He wants the process to move forward with the partners currently involved, but he would like to be a partner too. Mr. Yisrael thanked the Board for their service.  

Chuck Rucker, representing Camellia Waldorf School, thanked the Board, Facilities Staff, 7-11 Committee, and the general community for their work over the past couple of years. He stated that Camellia Waldorf is a small, 25 year old, independent private school. They have been a good long time tenant that has paid their rent on time and in full through thick and thin years. He pointed out the positive comments of the speakers that came forward to talk about Camellia Waldorf tonight. They plan to be not just a great tenant and stable financial partner, but also an excellent caretaker of the facility.  

Lisa Miller, founder and Executive Director of Celi, Inc., spoke about the organizations volunteer work to help adults to get their GED, get in school, and/or help them get a living wage job so that they can be self-sufficient. They have been in existence for approximately ten years. The potential to be part of the Fruit Ridge Collaborative is great for them. They also have child care and a community garden.  

Dr. Glayol Saaba said she helped found the Fruit Ridge Elementary School garden along with teachers, students, and parents over eleven years ago. She also helped teach nutrition. She supports the work and mission of the Fruit Ridge Community Collaborative. She hopes to continue to use the garden to teach children and families the importance of growing and eating healthy fruits and vegetables.  

Rachel Smith spoke in support of the Fruit Ridge Community Collaborative. She has lived in the Fruit Ridge
community since the age of five, and spoke highly of Judy Smith and her efforts to help the community. Marie Acosta, Director of La Raza Galleria Posada, spoke about how people engage in the arts, and how her organization engages families, businesses, and neighborhoods in positive ways. They support the La Familia and Fruit Ridge collaborations.

Darlene Anderson feels that the District partners with few members of the African American community. She also feels that students graduating with a certificate of completion are unemployable, and that even though funding is provided for community partners, a small amount of African American students are served.

Rhonda Staley-Brooks, President and CEO of Big Brothers Big Sisters of the Greater Sacramento Area, spoke representing her organization as part of the Fruit Ridge Community Collaborative. It is important to see that so many people are trying to do good things together. She would like to find a place that will serve more children. They serve children that come from single parent families primarily. She urged the Board to look at the proposal and the partnerships. She also thanked Judy Smith for her work.

Board Member Comments:
Vice President Pritchett asked what the negotiations process will look like and what the time process will be. Cathy Allen answered that if the Board authorizes the department to go ahead with any or all, they would contact the lead person at the agency to have them come in to talk about what the proposals will look like. It is important for the District to treat this as a joint use proposal. We want to look at what our costs will be and what is realistic to charge. These are decisions that will be brought to the Board for approval. The Facilities Committee expressed an interest in taking a look at the initial round of drafts. Regarding timing, the department is ready to move ahead.

Member Rodriguez stated that her major concern is that the Board has had no formal discussion about what the parameters are before going into discussions with any of the proposal agencies. She is still vague about the fee schedule, and doesn’t feel comfortable with the response about seeing how much the organization can afford. We need to start thinking about how the District interests are first and foremost. There is an opportunity before us to start creating a revenue stream, and once we let buildings outside of school education, we are losing a good population of potential students that could be gained in the future. Average Daily Attendance (ADA) is part of every student, and Member Rodriguez does not see these financial considerations being addressed in any of the materials received in e-mails or Board packets. She is extremely concerned about that due to her fiduciary responsibility as a Board member. The District has had recent budget shortfalls as well, so she feels it is irresponsible to go forward with any proposal without having those questions answered. She did gain a lot of clarity tonight, especially from the Fruit Ridge proposal, because it was not explained as such on paper. She asked how do you address the vague language surrounding the realistic financial outcome of what the District stands to benefits from? And how do you make her feel more comfortable about moving forward on any one of the proposals? Ms. Allen replied that they would sit down with the proposers and ask them what benefits the District would get directly from their services. As with Fremont, we accessed a value to what was being offered. In that case it was in the form of internships, scholarships, and tickets to various performances for students.

Superintendent Banda added that this is an opportunity for the District to entertain conversations to be able to determine, not only what they are able to provide in services and what are benefits to the District, but also we have a set of fee schedules to look at. He knows from working with Ms. Allen that she is always mindful of if we able to pay for at least our costs, and then we can look beyond that if there is an opportunity to make more than that. What’s important to remember, as we have heard from all that came up to speak, is that we have vacant schools that are not being used. Another part to that, which is very powerful, is to partner with community groups that will continue to serve not only our students but also our parents and community.

Member Rodriguez then asked why data requested by the Board has not been provided, such as birth rates, the current real estate conditions surrounding vacant school sites, and expected mobility due to closure of certain businesses elsewhere in Sacramento? Ms. Allen replied that she believed parts and pieces have been delivered in
various formats. Some of that data is beyond her and her staff’s ability to provide and so would have to seek outside help to answer some of those questions. If the Board deems them relevant before a decision is made, then they would certainly look towards doing that. Member Rodriguez stated that the Board has expressed on numerous occasions that those were very important issues and that accountability is long overdue. She feels we could be more innovative with the property rather than just leasing it out. She spoke of International Baccalaureate programs in the District. She also spoke of the planned new downtown arena and how a lot of community members are expected to move to the south side of Sacramento, and she feels that affordability will be in the Maple Elementary School site area. Member Rodriguez stated that she really appreciates and values all of the community partners and non-profits that came forward, as well as the private school that came forward. If the District is going to move forward on proposals, she would like to see La Familia not considered for the Maple Elementary School site, but considered for the Mark Hopkins Elementary site; she feels that the proposal contains everything that the Mark Hopkins Elementary site community wants, and that it would be more beneficial to the La Familia Center to expand in that direction as a larger audience would be captured.

Member Ryan said that she respectfully disagrees with Member Rodriguez. Insuring the fiscal health of the District is in her mind when she looks at the proposals, but the first question is what is in the best interest of, not the District, but the community. When she was running for Trustee Area 7, she walked and knocked thousands of doors and spoke with hundreds of community members and consistently she heard much sadness over the closure of Fruit Ridge Elementary School, in particular, and Maple Elementary School. But she also heard a real desire to see a path forward with a community center that would truly meet the needs of a community that has pockets of poverty. Walking around the Fruit Ridge Elementary site, she saw people dumping trash, a lack of green space, and a sadness and loss of hope. As she spoke to community members, constituents said to her, we want to know that you are going to put the services that will meet the needs of our community from youth to senior. She heard a desire to have a center that mirrors the Sierra Center in Curtis Park. Services are much more accessible in more affluent communities. In Fruit Ridge and the surrounding area, there are families that are looking to have their needs met, and we have people who are stepping up to the plate. La Familia of all the applicants, in Member Ryan’s opinion, has a track record of success spanning more than 40 years. Also what is exciting for her about La Familia is recognizing a large population of Latinos that often feel disenfranchised, marginalized, and separated from the revitalization going on in other parts of Oak Park. La Familia also has an incredible breadth of remarkable community partners who are willing to put their name and resources on the line. When it comes to fiscal viability, Member Ryan feels they have a financial plan that more than meets all the requirements of the District and exceeds it. If you look at where La Familia was even two years ago, they have grown significantly, and Member Ryan feels their prospect for continuing to attract resources to insure services to meet the needs of our community members is strong. She would be interested to know, and asked of Supervisor Serna regarding his mention of bringing resources to the table to help see these projects into fruition, what are those projects. She would also like to talk about the item that Rachel Rios brought up about compromising the project if we fail to move forward, and feels that it is important to note that what is before the Board is really a motion to enter into negotiations. She does not take this lightly and hopes that Ms. Allen will work very closely with the Board in helping to frame the parameters per Member Rodriguez’s request to insure that we are truly doing this with the best intentions and integrity. Member Ryan then mentioned that she will be recusing herself from the vote on Camellia Waldorf as she is the parent of a toddler who is attending their program. She chose the school because it is the most diverse and has offered incredible support to her and her three year old son.

Member Arroyo commented on the difficulty of school closures and stated that today is not the place to reargue that issue. He was extremely opposed to the closures, but has to acknowledge that they are closed, and he sees the question before him as what do we do now to best move forward, primarily for the needs of the children and students in the community. When the idea first came across to repurpose the facilities the initial reaction was very positive with an emphasis on how the District can make the best financial gain from each facility. After more research and thinking about what the idea of repurposing the facilities should be, Member Arroyo broadened his perspective. In conversations with other community members he realized two important points.
The facilities are part of a government entity, and although the Board has a financial responsibility, it is not necessarily here to make money. If revenue can be made, great, but he feels the number one priority for the District is to meet need. Need can be defined in many different ways, and when he looks at the proposals, he has to look at what is being brought to the community in terms of services to students and families. When we have better prepared students coming in to our classrooms on a regular basis, that are not missing class, are ready to learn, and are enthusiastic about coming to school it means that benefits of some programs extend beyond dollars and cents. Perhaps research is done to quantify how some services can benefit the District financially which will give a number, but he thinks that the question before the Board is a little broader. It is about what do we do with each facility given where we are at today and given the proposals that are before us. He concerns with Member Rodriguez in that we have to be innovative and consider every proposal possible. The challenge is how many proposals do we have coming forward? He would like to consider a 10 million dollar investment in the District that changes every facility and that has a tremendous amount of output academically and financially out of each facility, but realistically what are the proposals being put forward and do we have the bandwidth to internally do the proposals for everyone? In large degree we have to depend on the partners that we live within our regular neighborhoods day in and day out. The reality is we have great proposals being put on the table. He has questions about each proposal regarding their future viability. Regarding La Familia, for example, he would like to know if there is room for other services at that facility. It is not about criticizing any one proposal, but to look at the proposal at face value and figure out how do we make it better. He does not want the Board to look for perfect because perfect may never come. In the meantime we have a lot of children with a lot of need, so he wants the rest of the Board to consider that the benefit to the District and community extends beyond dollars and cents.

He asked if there are specific questions, are the proponents for each project here? Ms. Allen stated that she is not sure if anyone from the Sacramento Performing Arts Conservatory is present. Member Arroyo said he has a specific question for the Washington Elementary site. Ms. Allen said she will take the question and ask them to respond. Member Arroyo spoke of the demographics downtown and feels a school/education facility is needed. The proposal is asking primarily for week-ends and evenings, and he would like to know how they would intersect should a full academic program become present there in the future. Member Arroyo said he would like to move them forward to the next level, but he is not ready if he does not know with certainty that we can achieve both programs at the site. Regarding C. P. Huntington and Camellia Waldorf, one of the ramifications of the school closures is that there was a massive displacement of families and students out of their neighborhoods to other schools. Member Arroyo asked what it would mean to the children in the local neighborhood by bringing in Camellia Waldorf which has students coming in from elsewhere? He needs to learn more about what the benefit is to the local neighborhood. He heard the President of the neighborhood association expressing their strong support, and he understands that they do not want a closed school in the neighborhood. He has concern in terms of children and families in the neighborhood cannot afford to go to Camellia Waldorf if they wanted to.

Second Vice President Hansen said that he is glad we moved forward with this process. He is particularly happy about the amazing collaborative efforts he has heard tonight. He is also glad to hear about the partnerships that were offered by our local elected officials. He is a big fan of 916 Ink and said they have done some amazing programs. This is the second step of a process and that there is work to be done with contract negotiations. He would like to focus on impact on existing programs that may be at some of the schools. He knows the Washington Elementary School site has a daycare program and asked if there are existing programs at any of the other three schools. Ms. Allen said there is childcare at C. P. Huntington as well and the garden is continuing at Fruit Ridge. Member Hansen asked if the program would be affected at C. P. Huntington. Ms. Allen said she believes that is part of the negotiations. She wouldn’t want to displace one of our programs unless it meant something better for the District. Member Hansen said that the negotiations will come back before the Board for ratification. Working collaboratively with existing programs is something that is important for him. He was also happy to hear that Yisrael Family Urban Farm was looking forward to being part of the collaborative. He thinks there is good reason to look forward to the next step in the contracts process. Regarding the Washington Elementary School site, it is his intent that the school be reopened as a full elementary school, and the changing economy downtown should allow the District to do that by the 2016-2017 school year. So he asks if the Board
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decides to move forward with looking at the contract with the Sacramento Performing Arts Conservatory that they are aware that it could be a short term lease. He is attentive to what Board Member Rodriguez said about taking advantage of facilities should a time come that they need to be reopened as District schools. So building this into the process is something that is important.

Member Cochrane complemented all the applicants on their strength and depth, and said she is very excited, particularly for the Fruit Ridge and Maple Elementary School sites. She feels that the programs will be a great asset to the community. Her Trustee Area 2 has schools that are heavily impacted, not due to any school on the current list, but due to concapping, especially because of the Washington Elementary School closure. While she is a full proponent of the performing arts, she has serious concerns about the school being rented out to another entity. She shares Member Rodriguez’s concern that once a program goes into a building, it is very hard to thread it out. She asked Ms. Allen if it is possible to take the Washington Elementary School site off the application process especially if the program for the Sacramento Performing Arts Conservatory is not voted for tonight. Ms. Allen responded that she believed it would be as the 7-11 Committee had just given a recommendation. Member Cochrane commented that projects at other schools such as music are now heavily impacted because of the Washington School closure. Also housing projects in Area 2 are going in, one potentially bringing in 100 more students. So although she supports the performing arts, her vote will be based on Area 2 needs. She would also like to explore how to remove Washington School site from the application process and move it toward reopening.

Vice President Pritchett reiterated the remarks that Member Arroyo and Second Vice President Hansen made about the Washington School site. The proposals shows that the program will operate Saturdays and Sundays, will follow the Sacramento City Unified School District instructional calendar, and will not require exclusive rooms of common space. She is fully in support of reopening the Washington School site, but does not feel the proposal would hurt, and in fact feels that it would be beneficial in the long run. She also said she supports the Waldorf schools and has two in her area, but has great concern because the information says that most of the families that will be attending do not live in the area. If this proposal passes tonight, she would like to know who is enrolled there, from where are the students coming, and who is paying their tuition?

Member Rodriguez said that she would like to hear some answers tonight. She knows Mr. Rucker is present and has offered to answer questions. She did have an opportunity to meet with Mr. Rucker and did ask him what benefit would be provided to the District by having a partnership with Camellia Waldorf. She said she would like to give him an opportunity to address this and the other questions that have come up. Mr. Rucker asked his school administrator to speak with him. He answered Second Vice President Hansen’s question about childcare at the site saying that they would fully support the early childcare program to stay. They had understood that it was part of the proposal that the childcare program would stay; they are adjacent, but have their own site. Members Arroyo and Rodriguez had similar questions which were answered by Ardyth Sokoler. She said that since the founding of Camellia Waldorf they have always reached out and taken exceptional pride in having a diverse student body. They means making this educational opportunity available to any family and any child. They have a strong track record of providing significant financial aid. They see that as a very valuable part of the education that students receive, and it is something that has been taken under consideration as they prepare for the potential opportunity to work with the District. They would of course not want to create a school in a community that would be exclusive and not allow the opportunity for those families to send their children to their school. They have already started working actively with the neighborhood association, building relationships as part of researching this opportunity. They would take great pride in continuing to work with that, having potentially neighborhood projects and having them participate in their calendar of different festivals and celebrations. Community is a very strong part of what they offer at Camellia Waldorf, and they cannot image their school being in that neighborhood and not being a part of the community. Vice President Pritchett had also asked where the children come from and who they are. Many children come from the area. One of the things that make the location desirable is that it remains geographically convenient and accessible to many of their families.
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They also have children that do come from great distances in order to reach Waldorf education because they do place tremendous value in the things that make a Waldorf experience unique. Member Rodriguez stated that she knows that their Waldorf program differs from the District’s and asked for an explanation of how they support the District’s Waldorf programs and also asked her to describe the differences. Ms. Sokoler said that they have a very nice relationship with Alice Birney Waldorf Inspired K-8 School. They often have families that move back and forth, and they work very well with that school. She feels that the opportunity to have two Waldorf schools in the neighborhood supports all the different aspects of that. Their program is different in that their program is a more pure Waldorf program in that all of their teachers receive Waldorf training, and there are certain subject areas that, because they are not a Waldorf Inspired, there is greater time dedicated to those subjects. They also have a slightly different approach, because they are a private school, concerning homework and testing. Member Rodriguez stated that she knows not all private schools are the same and not for every family, but that doesn’t mean that every community member in the Brentwood area would find themselves attracted to that particular Waldorf program. It is a lifestyle. Member Rodriguez asked if they are pre-K to Eighth grade. Ms. Sokoler answered that they begin with a parent-toddler program and then they have pre-school through Eighth grade. Member Rodriguez asked where the children go after Eighth grade. Ms. Sokoler said they go many different places. Some will continue with a Waldorf program either at Carver or Sacramento Waldorf. Many go on to a public school within their neighborhood. Member Rodriguez said the District is capturing students at the outset of that. Mr. Rucker said that is fair to say. On the one hand while they have local students in the area, they reach out to San Juan, Elk Grove, and many other school districts. They pull a lot of families from out of district, coming into the Waldorf program, and then staying with the Sacramento City Unified School District at some of the local high schools. Ms. Sokoler invited the Board to come and spend time on their campus and continue conversations about whatever concerns the Board may have. Member Rodriguez asked a question of the Fruit Ridge Elementary site representative. She said that she appreciated that they have been very diligent about looking for fiscal agents for school support, as that was her major concern in reviewing her proposal. She also appreciated that the proposal had an implementation plan and that there was a draft proposal of a contract. However what was problematic about the proposal is that it is vague and did not go into depth. For example, Simeon Gant said that they were planning to have some installation trainings on how to install solar systems. Ms. Judy Smith said this would not be at the school; they would never do anything to alter the facility. That was spelled out clearly by Cathy Allen. They really wanted to reach out to the organizations that were going to meet a diverse neighborhood and its needs. Member Rodriguez then asked Ms. Rachel Rios to come forward. She said that she is not against their proposal and appreciates everything they do for the community. But she feels that they could expand and be so much greater benefit to the wider community by being located elsewhere. Member Rodriguez asked La Familia to deeply consider the possibility of going to the Mark Hopkins Elementary School site, and is welcoming them to her community. Right next to the Mark Hopkins site is a K-8 school. Ms. Rios said that she appreciates that and shared that La Familia serves all of Sacramento County, and they go all the way to Galt and Walnut Grove. They are one of nine family resource centers, and they have a zip code. That way each family resource center will serve different populations. There is a family resource center in that community that provides those services. They are fortunate in that in January the County extended their funding, and they can now serve children aged 6-12 years of age rather than just under age six. There programs therefore will be expanding to do after school programs as well as domestic violence programs. Because of the expansion they need the additional space. She also stated that the Franklin community has been neglected for many years and does not have a park and a play area. They are committed to working with the community and the business district there. They also recognize the need for services elsewhere. They are very strategic about how they make that growth because they want to make sure that they are sustainable, so they take those steps slowly. They have a meeting tomorrow with Councilmember Carr to talk about the services as he has asked for their help in that 30 percent of his population is Latino. They work very closely with all of the programs in the area, as well as in the North area and not just the South area. So she thinks that is something they want to consider for the future and appreciate being welcomed. Member Rodriguez stated that there was a community survey that was done and transportation was one of the key reasons people did not go to other community centers. She encouraged La Familia to take a look at the Mark Hopkins site. Member Rodriguez cautioned the Board that when there is a
move of businesses happening, families are coming, and we would be remiss to if we lost the opportunity to come and look at the Maple Elementary School site as a potential reopen with an innovative program, such as an early years International Baccalaureate program. She says this because the children who were at Maple increased by 100 API points the year the school was closed. Ms. Rios mentioned that they also looked at and appreciate the scores of those students. They talked about that in terms of not closing the schools because they saw the potential of the youth, and they were very involved with that school. They also looked at demographics to see whether or not there was a potential that there would be more school age children there. They spoke with the Franklin business district in terms of whether there were plans for apartments or multi housing units. Everything they gathered was that there was not going to be a significant impact.

Member Arroyo commented that he does want to move forward with their proposal. If we move forward to the next phase, it is only negotiations, and he thinks that it leaves the door open for future dialogue.

President Woo thanked everybody for all their participation and coming out this evening. He thanked staff and the 7-11 Committee for their hard work. He is really excited about putting children and the community back in the schools. He also wanted to caution that while this is a great opportunity, we are not turning over our spaces in perpetuity; there was a reason why the District did not surplus them. We think and hope that as we continue to build and strengthen our community a need may come again for those facilities. So while we might be entertaining long term goals, we are not leasing the sites forever. Staff is seeking direction from the Board to begin the negotiations with repurpose and reuse applicants. After discussion, the Board decided to vote on the four proposals individually.

Member Arroyo reminded the Board that applicant for the Washington Elementary School site needs to know that the primary intention and priority of the Board is to have a full functioning school there.

Superintendent Banda said that while he is a big fan of the performing arts, he wants to caution about going into any long term agreement over the Washington Elementary School site. There is a lot of potential there, and he and staff have been looking at it closely in terms of repurposing and opening it back up. They do not know in what form, but he would not like to get into a long term agreement where we would have to then lose the possibility of repurposing one of our elementary schools.

Member Cochrane respectfully requested of President Woo that each of the votes be a roll call vote.

Second Vice President Hansen also mentioned the ability that, as the contract negotiations proceed, the Facilities Committee could serve as a sounding board as well as the ability also for individual Board members to work with organizations when schools are within their areas. He confirmed with legal counsel that is allowable. That would alleviate some concerns by making sure that the things the Board cares about are being addressed.

Member Rodriguez said it would make her feel a lot more comfortable about moving in any direction forward if, Superintendent and President Woo, if you will allow for the Board to have some type of opportunity for a discussion regarding parameters of negotiation prior to Ms. Allen meeting with any of the applicants.

President Woo encouraged any of his colleagues who have contributions regarding parameters that they would like to share with Ms. Allen to go ahead and do that. He does not feel the Board needs to formalize a process.

Member Rodriguez asked Counsel Behrens if that would be covered under closed session meetings in terms of negotiation for real estate. Mr. Behrens said that the Board can always meet in closed session regarding negotiations and indicate, since these may be individual cases; the Board can set parameters on that as to the scope of negotiations, giving direction to the Superintendent and staff.
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Member Rodriguez brought up her earlier comments about the financial aspect and impact to the District and said if she were to talk to Ms. Allen she would want to have a thorough follow up with her discussion with her and also follow up about how Ms. Allen implements it. She wants this so that she knows parameters were followed.

President Woo then asked for a motion on Tao Holistics and partners for the Fruit Ridge Elementary School site. Vice President Pritchett made a motion and Second Vice President Hansen seconded. Superintendent Banda called the roll call vote as follows:

- Member Arroyo: Yes
- Member Cochrane: Yes
- Second Vice President Hansen: Yes
- Vice President Pritchett: Yes
- Member Rodriguez: Yes
- Member Ryan: Yes
- President Woo: Yes

The motion passed 7-0.

President Woo asked for a motion on Camellia Waldorf for the C. P. Huntington Elementary School site. Member Rodriguez made a motion and Second Vice President Hansen seconded. Superintendent Banda called the roll call vote as follows:

- Member Arroyo: No
- Member Cochrane: Yes
- Second Vice President Hansen: Yes
- Vice President Pritchett: No
- Member Rodriguez: Yes
- Member Ryan: Abstain
- President Woo: Yes

The motion passed 4-2 with Member Ryan abstaining.

President Woo asked for a motion on La Familia Counseling Center for the Maple Elementary School site. Member Arroyo made a motion which was seconded by Member Ryan. Superintendent Banda called the roll call vote as follows:

- Member Arroyo: Yes
- Member Cochrane: Yes
- Second Vice President Hansen: Yes
- Vice President Pritchett: Yes
- Member Rodriguez: Yes
- Member Ryan: Yes
- President Woo: Yes

The motion passed 7-0.

President Woo asked for a motion on the Sacramento Performing Arts for the Washington Elementary School site. Vice President Pritchett made a motion which was seconded by Second Vice President Hansen. Superintendent Banda called the roll call vote as follows:
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Member Arroyo: Yes  
Member Cochrane: No  
Second Vice President Hansen: Yes  
Vice President Pritchett: Yes  
Member Rodriguez: Yes  
Member Ryan: No  
President Woo: No

The motion passed 4-3.

President Woo called a five minute recess.

10.4 Resolution: Local Reserves Cap (Board President Darrel Woo)

President Woo stated that last December he and Second Vice President Hansen attended the California School Board Association annual education conference. At that conference it was brought to the attention of all delegates that there is language in Proposition 2, passed last year, calling for a cap on the amount of reserves. There is a cap provision that is part of an education budget trailer bill that Governor Brown signed June 20, 2014. Trailer bill provision SB858 is now contained in Ed Code. The cap on the level of reserves that districts may maintain is a statutory requirement that becomes operative when the voting passed Proposition 2 and when certain conditions related to State revenues and expenditures are met and the State makes a deposit of any amount into the State level Proposition 98 reserve that is created pursuant to Proposition 2. California Proposition 2, the rainy day stabilization fund, was approved by voters on November 4, 2014. President Woo went on to explain that what happened because of the trailer bill is that now if certain triggers are met, there will be a limitation on how much school districts may have in reserves. Governor Brown has recently said publically that he would entertain amending that. School districts throughout the State of California have been asked to sign a resolution in support of removal of that cap. In the packet is draft language that has been recommended and obtained from the California School Board Association. After discussion today, if the Board approves, then we will move forward and finalize with language that is tailored for Sacramento City Unified School District and Board. It will then be forwarded to the California School Board Association to present to the Governor.

Public Comment:  
None

Board Member Comments:  
Member Rodriguez asked President Woo if there is anybody he has been speaking with on staff that could review the trailer language specifically that applies to this with her. President Woo said Gerardo Castillo could help her.

As there was no other discussion, President Woo said the Item will move forward to the next Board meeting for adoption.

11.0 BUSINESS AND FINANCIAL INFORMATION/REPORTS

11.1 Business and Financial Information:
The month 4 Attendance Report was received.

President Woo was asked by Member Rodriguez to announce that this Saturday, February 7, 2015, from 8:00 a.m. until 4:00 p.m. will be the fourth annual Steps to College 2015 at the Consulate General of Mexico. The District is providing bus transportation this year.

Superintendent Banda said the District has been actively recruiting at the high schools to get as many students there as possible.

12.0 FUTURE BOARD MEETING DATES / LOCATIONS

✓ February 19, 2015 4:30 p.m. Closed Session; 6:30 p.m. Open Session; Serna Center, 5735 47th Avenue, Community Room; Regular Workshop Meeting
✓ March 5, 2015 4:30 p.m. Closed Session; 6:30 p.m. Open Session; Serna Center, 5735 47th Avenue, Community Room; Regular Workshop Meeting

13.0 ADJOURNMENT

President Woo asked for a motion to adjourn the meeting; a motion was made by student member Asami Saito and seconded by Vice President Pritchett. The motion was passed unanimously, and the meeting was adjourned at 10:08 p.m.

José L. Banda, Superintendent and Board Secretary

NOTE: The Sacramento City Unified School District encourages those with disabilities to participate fully in the public meeting process. If you need a disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to participate in the public meeting, please contact the Board of Education Office at (916) 643-9314 at least 48 hours before the scheduled Board of Education meeting so that we may make every reasonable effort to accommodate you. [Government Code § 54953.2; Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, § 202 (42 U.S.C. §12132)] Any public records distributed to the Board of Education less than 72 hours in advance of the meeting and relating to an open session item are available for public inspection at 5735 47th Avenue at the Front Desk Counter and on the District’s website at www.scusd.edu