# Susan B. Anthony

7864 Detroit Blvd. ◆ Sacramento, CA 95832 ◆ 916.433.5353 ◆ Grades K-6
Bao Moua, Principal
Bao-Moua@scusd.edu

# 2015-16 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2016-17 School Year



# Sacramento City Unified School District

5735 47th Avenue Sacramento, CA 95824 (916) 643-7400 www.scusd.edu

#### **District Governing Board**

Jay Hansen President, Area 1
Jessie Ryan, 1st VP, Area 7
Darrel Woo 2nd VP, Area 6
Ellen Cochrane, Area 2
Christina Pritchett, Area 3
Michael Minnick, Area 4
Mai Vang, Area 5
Natalie Rosas, Student Member

#### **District Administration**

José L. Banda, Superintendent
Superintendent
Lisa Allen
Deputy Superintendent
Chad Sweitzer
Area Assistant Superintendent
Tu Moua-Carroz
Area Assistant Superintendent
Olga L. Simms
Area Assistant Superintendent

Mary Hardin Young

Area Assistant Superintendent

### **School Description**

Vision: The Susan B. Anthony Elementary School community shares a vision of social and academic success for all students founded upon the tenants of high student achievement, excellence of moral character, and quality relationship building. Our school focuses on student growth through rigorous courses of study with a focus on literacy, encouragement of parental involvement, celebration of our rich cultural diversity, and promotion of mutual respect for all. We are committed to making each child a reader, a writer, and a conceptual problem solver.

Students enrolled in our Hmong Dual Language Immersion Program, the first of its kind in California, are also provided with cultural education and literacy in both the English and Hmong languages. For these students, it is our vision to prepare them with literacy skills and cultural competencies supporting improved self-esteem, academic performance above grade level in both languages, and increased connections with the Hmong community.

#### Mission:

- Provide students and staff with a positive, safe teaching and learning environment;
- Ensure that all teaching is effective, meets the needs of our diverse learners, and promotes individual student growth;
- Provide a rigorous, standards-based curriculum and instruction enabling all students to meet and exceed established measures of success;
- Integrate technology as a seamless piece of the instructional program;
- Create collaborative structures and time focused on continuous instructional improvement for all students in all content areas;
- Empower students in developing measures of character and independence enabling good decision making and achievement of their full academic and social potentials;
- Consistently reinforce the value of education so that all members of our community understand their shared responsibilities in creating success;
- Include parents, students and community as active participants in the decision-making process for the school;
- Create opportunities for parents to engage in the teaching and learning process.

#### **About the SARC**

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2015-16 Studer	2015-16 Student Enrollment by Grade Level				
Grade Level	Number of Students				
Kindergarten	64				
Grade 1	53				
Grade 2	37				
Grade 3	47				
Grade 4	49				
Grade 5	37				
Grade 6	29				
Total Enrollment	316				

2015-16 Student Enrollment by Group				
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment			
Black or African American	7.9			
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.9			
Asian	65.8			
Filipino	1.3			
Hispanic or Latino	17.4			
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	2.2			
White	2.2			
Two or More Races	2.2			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	82.9			
English Learners	46.5			
Students with Disabilities	7.6			
Foster Youth	1.3			

# A. Conditions of Learning

#### **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials						
Susan B. Anthony	14-15 15-16					
With Full Credential	12	13	13			
Without Full Credential	0	0	2			
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0			
Sacramento City Unified School District	14-15	15-16	16-17			
With Full Credential	<b>*</b>	+	1574			
Without Full Credential	<b>*</b>	<b>*</b>	83			
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	<b>*</b>	<b>*</b>	20			

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School							
Susan B. Anthony 14-15 15-16 16-17							
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0				
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0				
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	1				

<sup>&</sup>quot;Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

#### **Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers**

2015-16 Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects
Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

Core Academic Class	Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers						
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers					
This School	100.0	0.0					
	Districtwide						
All Schools	83.9	16.1					
High-Poverty Schools	83.1	16.9					
Low-Poverty Schools	97.0	3.1					

<sup>\*</sup> High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

#### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)

Textbooks and instructional materials are reviewed and then piloted across the district. Subsequent adoption by the Board of Education is consistent with the cycle of textbook approval by the State, California School Board. Textbooks are chosen for their alignment with California Content Standards, California Frameworks, and appropriateness for Sacramento City Unified School District students. Textbook sufficiency data is collected annually.

	Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: December 2016							
Core Curriculum Area	Area Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption							
Reading/Language Arts	Core Ready, Pam Allyn English Language Arts 2014							
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:  Yes							
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%							
Mathematics	enVisionMATH California Common Core 2015-Pearson-K-6th Mathematics 2014							
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes							
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%							
Science	California Science, McMillian McGraw-Hill Science 2008							
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes							
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%							
History-Social Science	California Vistas, McMillian McGraw-Hill History/Social Science 2007							
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes							
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%							
Foreign Language	Moving Into English, Harcourt English Language Development 2004							
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes							
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%							

#### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

The main campus was built in 1977. This school has 9 permanent classrooms which include a multipurpose room, a library, and an administrative building. The school also has 20 portables. During the 2005 modernization, renovations and upgrades were made in the following areas: site interior, HVAC, and miscellaneous upgrades.

The district takes great efforts to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority. The district governing board has adopted cleaning standards for all the schools in the district. A summary of these standards is available at the school office, or at the district operations office. The principal works directly with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

The State Legislature established the Deferred Maintenance Fund (DMF) in 1980 to assist districts in maintaining facilities and sites. The District has participated in the Deferred Maintenance Program since its inception. The program requires both the local district and the State of California to share equally in the cost of major deferred maintenance projects, with the maximum contribution from the State limited to approximately one-half of one percent of the District's General Fund and Adult Education Fund operating budgets. For fiscal years 2008-09 through 2012-13, the Deferred Maintenance Program has been included in the State's Tier III Flexibility Program. Therefore, DMF funds received by the District are deposited in the General Fund, and are unrestricted. Due to state budget cuts for 2010-11 these unrestricted funds have not been designated to deferred maintenance purposes. The funds were used as part of the Tier III recommendations approved by the Board on the May 6, 2010 Board Meeting.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 9/29/16					
System Inspected		Repair	Status		Repair Needed and
- 7	Good	Fa	air	Poor	Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Х				
Interior: Interior Surfaces			Х		
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Х				
Electrical: Electrical	Х				
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Х				
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Х				
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Х				
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Х				
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good X	Fair	Poor	

# **B. Pupil Outcomes**

#### **State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

	2015-16 CAASPP Results for All Students							
	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)							
Subject	Sch	School District State						
	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16		
ELA	12	17	35	39	44	48		
Math	10	9	28	30	34	36		

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison										
	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)									
Subject		School District State								
	13-14	14-15	15-16	13-14 14-15 15-16 13-14 14-15 15				15-16		
Science	43	43 30 36 53 47 47 60 56 54								

\* Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade	2015-16 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standard							
Level	4 of 6 5 of 6 6 of 6							
5	25	25	15.6					

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# 2015-16 CAASPP Results by Student Group Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)

2 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2						
Group	Number of	Students	Percent of Students			
Group	Enrolled	with Valid Scores	w/ Valid Scores	Proficient or Advanced		
All Students	36	36	100.0	36.1		
Male	20	20	100.0	45.0		
Female	16	16	100.0	25.0		
Asian	24	24	100.0	37.5		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	34	34	100.0	35.3		
English Learners	18	18	100.0	33.3		

<sup>\*</sup> Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

School Year 2015-16 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven							
		Number of	Students	Percent of Students			
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded		
All Students	3	46	46	100.0	8.7		
	4	47	47	100.0	19.1		
	5	36	36	100.0	19.4		
	6	27	27	100.0	22.2		
Male	3	22	22	100.0	4.5		
	4	23	23	100.0	21.7		
	5	20	20	100.0	15.0		
	6	16	16	100.0	31.3		
Female	3	24	24	100.0	12.5		
	4	24	24	100.0	16.7		
	5	16	16	100.0	25.0		
	6	11	11	100.0	9.1		
Asian	3	30	30	100.0	10.0		
	4	28	28	100.0	10.7		
	5	24	24	100.0	29.2		
	6	16	16	100.0	25.0		
ocioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	38	38	100.0	10.5		
	4	42	42	100.0	21.4		
	5	34	34	100.0	17.6		
	6	27	27	100.0	22.2		
nglish Learners	3	30	30	100.0	6.7		
	4	29	29	100.0	3.5		
	5	18	18	100.0	16.7		
	6	11	11	100.0	9.1		

ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes all students who participated

in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven							
	Grade	Number o	Students	Percent of Students			
Student Group		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded		
All Students	3	46	46	100.0	10.9		
	4	47	47	100.0	10.6		
	5	36	36	100.0	2.8		
	6	27	27	100.0	11.1		
Male	3	22	22	100.0	18.2		
	4	23	23	100.0	21.7		
	5	20	20	100.0	5.0		
	6	16	16	100.0	18.8		
Female	3	24	24	100.0	4.2		
	4	24	24	100.0			
	5	16	16	100.0			
	6	11	11	100.0			
Asian	3	30	30	100.0	13.3		
	4	28	28	100.0	7.1		
	5	24	24	100.0	4.2		
	6	16	16	100.0	12.5		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	38	38	100.0	13.2		
	4	42	42	100.0	11.9		
	5	34	34	100.0	2.9		
	6	27	27	100.0	11.1		
English Learners	3	30	30	100.0	6.7		
	4	29	29	100.0			
	5	18	18	100.0			
	6	11	11	100.0	9.1		

Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

# C. Engagement

#### State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

#### Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)

We take great pride in the amount of parental involvement at our school. Our PTO organization actively supports the school and we have good attendance by our parents and community members at events such as:

Back to School Night
Parent Information Nights (Bi-Monthly)

Parent conferences

Student performances (Winter and Spring)

Multi-cultural days

Family Game Night

**Hmong New Year Celebrations** 

Open House

Harvest Festival

Harvest Dinner

**Black History Showcase** 

For more information, contact Bao Moua, Principal at (916) 433-5353.

#### State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates: and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

#### School Safety Plan

Sacramento City Unified School District Comprehensive Safe School Plan 2016-2017

Section 1..... Crisis Communication Flow

Section 2.....Emergency Plan: Site Level Drill and Emergency Procedures

- (a) Lockdown Response
- (b) Fire
- (c) Bomb Threat, ATF Bomb Threat Checklist
- (d) Active Shooter
- (e) Chemical

Section 3.....Emergency Plan: Earthquake Emergency Procedures

Section 4...... Emergency Plan: Site Level Use of Schools as a Community Shelter \*\*

Section 5......District Policies Related to Safety:

- (a) Board Policy 5021: Custodial & Parent Rights
- (b) Mandated Child Abuse Reporting
- (c) Missing Student Protocol
- (d) Suicide Risk Assessment

Section 6.....Bullying Policies and Procedures

Section 7......Dangerous Student Notification

Section 8.....Wellness Plan

Section 9......District Handbook

Section 10.....Component I: Social Climate

Component II: Physical Climate/Campus

Section 11..... Emergency Plan: Site Level Incident Command System

Section 12..... Emergency Plan: Site Level Communication Procedures

Section 13.....Before and After School Programs - Coordinators and Contact Numbers / Days and Hours of Operation on campus

Section 14.....Emergency Plan: Site Level Safe Ingress & Egress Procedures

Section 15... Emergency Plan: Site Level Family Reunification Procedures

Section 16...... Emergency Plan: Site Level Provisions for Students/Staff with Special Needs

Section 17.....School Site Safety Committee Member List, Approval of CSSP

Section 18.....Staff Handbook

Section 19.....Site Specific Documents (option to add documents)

The Comprehensive Safe School Plans for the Sacramento City Unified School District were revised to ensure the contents of the plans were uniform and updated to address the components set forth in Section 32262 of the 2016 California Education Code. This plan is a work in progress as the Safe Schools Office and the Sacramento Police Department continue to seek the most current information and advice from subject matter experts.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Once designated by the Red Cross or Office of Emergency Services, the school sites will be notified and Documentation will be inserted in this section.

Suspensions and Expulsions						
School	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16			
Suspensions Rate	0.0	3.7	1.8			
<b>Expulsions Rate</b>	1.3	0.0	0.0			
District	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16			
Suspensions Rate	4.9	6.1	5.8			
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0			
State	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16			
Suspensions Rate	4.4	3.8	3.7			
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1			

#### D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2016-17 Federal Intervention Program					
Indicator	School	District			
Program Improvement Status	In Pl	In PI			
First Year of Program Improvement	2008-2009				
Year in Program Improvement	Year 3				
Number of Schools Currently in Program Impr	52				
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Impro	76.5				

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School				
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)				
Academic Counselor 0.0				
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0.0000			
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.0000			
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.0000			
Psychologist	0.0000			
Social Worker	0.0000			
Nurse	0.0000			
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.0000			
Resource Specialist	0.5000			
Other	0.0000			
Average Number of Students per Staff Member				
Academic Counselor 0.0				

<sup>\*</sup> One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

	Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)											
A version Class City			Number of Classrooms*									
Grade	Average Class Size Grade		1-20		21-32		33+					
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
K	20	105	26	2				2	1		1	1
1	25	18	22		1	1	1		1			
2	27	23	6	1		2		1	1	1		
3	29	22	23	1	1	1		1	2	1		
4	43	21	24		1	2		1	1	1		
5	36	27	18			1		1	1	2		
6	34	28	29					2	1	1		

# **Professional Development provided for Teachers**

To realize the vision of Sacramento City Unified School District, which is to fully prepare all students for college career and life, it is imperative that the district provides numerous opportunities to expand the professional repertoire of its administrators and teachers.

To that end, the district has crafted a high quality professional program that is focused on the ELA and math Common Core State Standards, the Next Generation Science Standards, English Language Learners, Balanced Literacy and Instructional Strategies for Addressing the Needs of Diverse Learners. These areas of focus were derived from needs assessments coupled with the analysis of student learning of specific content and that is ongoing with follow-up opportunities, models effective practices, and uses assessments to guide and monitor progress. The learning opportunities afforded through this program deeply align with the needs of our individual schools, as well as our strategic plan, and connect to every aspect of school practice: thinking curriculum, intentional instructional practices, assessment for learning, and lesson design.

These learning experiences, which are offered during the school day and after-school are supported with on-site coaching, are in addition to the required 18-hours of professional development designated for teachers to engage in collaborative professional learning at their respective school sites. Such communities of practices, under the leadership and guidance of site administrators, afford our teachers the opportunity to deepen their content knowledge, strengthen their instructional repertoire, improve their assessment strategies, and foster a shared sense of responsibility. As a result, every teacher will help to promote and nurture a community of learners in which the individual school staff is not only enriched, but the district as a whole is enhanced.

To that end, the district has crafted a high quality professional program that is focused on ELA Common Core State Standards, Math Common Core State Standards, English Language Learners, Balanced Literacy and Instructional Strategies for Addressing the Needs of Diverse Learners. These areas of focus were derived from needs assessments coupled with the analysis of student learning of specific content and that is ongoing with follow-up opportunities, models effective practices, and uses assessments to guide and monitor progress. The learning opportunities afforded through this program deeply align with the needs of our individual schools, as well as our strategic plan, and connect to every aspect of school practice: thinking curriculum, intentional instructional practices, assessment for learning, and lesson design.

These learning experiences, which are offered during the school day and after-school are supported with on-site coaching, are in addition to the required 18-hours of professional development designated for teachers to engage in collaborative professional learning at their respective school sites. Such communities of practices, under the leadership and guidance of site administrators, afford our teachers the opportunity to deepen their content knowledge, strengthen their instructional repertoire, improve their assessment strategies, and foster a shared sense of responsibility. As a result, every teacher will help to promote and nurture a community of learners in which the individual school staff is not only enriched, but the district as a whole is enhanced.

FY 2014-15 Teacher and Administrative Salaries						
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category				
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$40,683	\$45,092				
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$53,467	\$71,627				
Highest Teacher Salary	\$87,749	\$93,288				
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$102,681	\$115,631				
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$104,918	\$120,915				
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$120,601	\$132,029				
Superintendent Salary	\$290,000	\$249,537				
Percent of District Budget						
Teacher Salaries	31%	37%				
Administrative Salaries	5%	5%				

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at <a href="www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/">www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/</a>.

FY 2014-15 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries						
Local	Ехр	Average Teacher Salary				
Level	Total					
School Site	7618.2	490.136	7128.	77270.18		
District	•	<b>*</b>	\$4,556	\$67,009		
State	•	<b>*</b>	\$5,677	\$75,837		
Percent Diffe	erence: School	56.5	17.6			
Percent Diffe	erence: School	33.3	5.9			

Cells with ♦ do not require data.

# **Types of Services Funded**

Susan B. Anthony offers extensive support for students through the assistance of instructional aides, intervention teachers, after-school tutoring. Support for parents is provided by a bi-lingual community liaison and a parent advisor. Every classroom is equipped with computers, document cameras, and SMART Boards.

#### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

## **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.