Peter Burnett Elementary School

6032 36th Avenue • Sacramento, CA 95824 • 916.277.6685 • Grades K-6 Manuel Huezo, Principal Manuel-Huezo@scusd.edu sacpeterburnett.com

2015-16 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2016-17 School Year

School Description

Vision:

Peter Burnett students will be part of a caring and safe community where high expectations for students and staff are clearly outlined and modeled. Peter Burnett will also be a place where all students, staff, parents, and community partners collaborate and work together in order to establish a culture of excellence and success for every member of our school community. Finally, Peter Burnett will be a place where everyone is respected, accepted, and where accomplishments are continuously celebrated.

Mission: In order to bring our vision into reality, we will:

1. Promote and encourage teacher collaboration across the grade levels.

2. Develop consistency and uniformity in our instructional practices, student assessments and grading.

3. Mentor, encourage and empower students to take ownership of their own learning experience.

4. Provide staff with ongoing professional development that is relevant and addresses our focus areas.

5. Promote and encourage parent and community involvement.

6. Enforce high academic and behavioral expectations for all students.

7. Enforce high professional expectations for all staff members.

8. Provide enrichment opportunities that foster and promote a positive self esteem.

Sacramento **City Unified School District**

Sacramento City Unified School District 5735 47th Avenue Sacramento, CA 95824 (916) 643-7400 www.scusd.edu

Jessie Ryan, 1st VP, Area 7 Darrel Woo 2nd VP, Area 6 Ellen Cochrane, Area 2 Christina Pritchett, Area 3 Michael Minnick, Area 4 Mai Vang, Area 5 Natalie Rosas, Student Member

District Administration

José L. Banda, Superintendent Superintendent Lisa Allen **Deputy Superintendent** Chad Sweitzer Area Assistant Superintendent Tu Moua-Carroz Area Assistant Superintendent Olga L. Simms

Mary Hardin Young Area Assistant Superintendent

District Governing Board Jay Hansen President, Area 1

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2015-16 Student Enrollment by Grade Level					
Grade Level	Number of Students				
Kindergarten	68				
Grade 1	82				
Grade 2	83				
Grade 3	75				
Grade 4	109				
Grade 5	104				
Grade 6	96				
Total Enrollment	617				

2015-16 Student En	2015-16 Student Enrollment by Group					
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment					
Black or African American	8.4					
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.5					
Asian	20.4					
Filipino	1					
Hispanic or Latino	56.1					
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1.1					
White	8.8					
Two or More Races	3.6					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	88.2					
English Learners	36					
Students with Disabilities	12					
Foster Youth	1.9					

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials					
Peter Burnett Elementary School	14-15	15-16	16-17		
With Full Credential	21	23	20		
Without Full Credential	0	0	2		
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0		
Sacramento City Unified School District	14-15	15-16	16-17		
With Full Credential	•	+	1574		
Without Full Credential	•	+	83		
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	•	•	20		

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School							
Peter Burnett Elementary School 14-15 15-16 16-17							
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0				
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0				
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	1	2				

"Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

2015-16 Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers						
Location of Classes Taught by Highly Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers Qualified Teachers						
This School	100.0 0.0					
	Districtwide					
All Schools 83.9 16.1						
High-Poverty Schools	83.1	16.9				
Low-Poverty Schools	97.0	3.1				

High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)

Textbooks and instructional materials are reviewed and then piloted across the district. Subsequent adoption by the Board of Education is consistent with the cycle of textbook approval by the State, California School Board. Textbooks are chosen for their alignment with California Content Standards, California Frameworks, and appropriateness for Sacramento City Unified School District students. Textbook sufficiency data is collected annually.

	Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: Dec	ember 2016					
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional M	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption					
Reading/Language Arts	Open Court Reading, SRA Arts Reading/Language 2002						
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes					
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0%					
Mathematics	enVisionMATH California Common Core 2015-Pearson-K-6	th Mathematics 2014					
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes					
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0%					
Science	California Science, McMillian McGraw-Hill Science 2008						
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes					
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0%					
History-Social Science	California Vistas, McMillian McGraw-Hill History/Social Scie	ence 2007					
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes					
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0%					
Foreign Language	Moving Into English, Harcourt English Language Developm	ent 2004					
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes					
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0%					

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

The main campus was built in 1950. This school has 13 permanent classrooms which include a multipurpose room, a library, and an administrative building. The school also has 17 portables. 3 portables classrooms were constructed in 1997 for class size reduction. During the 2000-2001 modernization, renovations and upgrades were made in the following areas: HVAC, portable buildings, and miscellaneous upgrades.

The district takes great efforts to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority. The district governing board has adopted cleaning standards for all the schools in the district. A summary of these standards is available at the school office, or at the district operations office. The principal works directly with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

The State Legislature established the Deferred Maintenance Fund (DMF) in 1980 to assist districts in maintaining facilities and sites. The District has participated in the Deferred Maintenance Program since its inception. The program requires both the local district and the State of California to share equally in the cost of major deferred maintenance projects, with the maximum contribution from the State limited to approximately one-half of one percent of the District's General Fund and Adult Education Fund operating budgets. For fiscal years 2008-09 through 2012-13, the Deferred Maintenance Program has been included in the State's Tier III Flexibility Program. Therefore, DMF funds received by the District are deposited in the General Fund, and are unrestricted. Due to state budget cuts for 2010-11 these unrestricted funds have not been designated to deferred maintenance purposes. The funds were used as part of the Tier III recommendations approved by the Board on the May 6, 2010 Board Meeting.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 9/14/2016						
Custom Increated		Repair	Status		Repair Needed and	
System Inspected	Good	Fa	air	Poor	Action Taken or Planned	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	х					
Interior: Interior Surfaces	x					
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	X					
Electrical: Electrical	X					
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X					
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	х					
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	х					
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	х					
Overall Rating	Exemplary X	Good	Fair	Poor		

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

	2015-16 CAASPP Results for All Students								
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)									
Subject	Sch	ool	Dist	rict	State				
	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16			
ELA	22	22	35 39		44	48			
Math	17	17 14 28 30 34 36							

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

c	CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison								
Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)									
Subject		School District State							
	13-14	14-15	15-16	13-14 14-15 15-16			13-14	14-15	15-16
Science	27	31	16	53	47	47	60	56	54

Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade	2015-16 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards						
Level	4 of 6 5 of 6 6 of 6						
5	20.2	23.8	20.2				

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2015-16 CAASPP Results by Student Group Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)							
Number of Students Percent of Students							
Group	Enrolled	with Valid Scores	w/ Valid Scores	Proficient or Advanced			
All Students	98	92	93.9	16.3			
Male	51	47	92.2	17.0			
Female	47	45	95.7	15.6			
Asian	16	16	100.0	43.8			
Hispanic or Latino	59	57	96.6	7.0			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	89	86	96.6	15.1			
English Learners	41	39	95.1	5.1			
Students with Disabilities	22	22	100.0	22.7			

Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

		Number of	t of Students		
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students	3	75	75	100.0	13.5
	4	109	108	99.1	20.4
	5	97	95	97.9	21.1
	6	99	97	98.0	32.0
Male	3	42	42	100.0	9.8
	4	54	54	100.0	20.4
	5	51	49	96.1	22.4
	6	49	49	100.0	24.5
Female	3	33	33	100.0	18.2
	4	55	54	98.2	20.4
	5	46	46	100.0	19.6
	6	50	48	96.0	39.6
Black or African American	4	11	11	100.0	9.1
Asian	3	18	18	100.0	11.1
	4	26	26	100.0	38.5
	5	16	16	100.0	25.0
	6	18	18	100.0	44.4
Hispanic or Latino	3	40	40	100.0	10.0
	4	59	58	98.3	15.5
	5	58	58	100.0	19.0
	6	59	57	96.6	26.3
White	6	14	14	100.0	50.0

School Year 2015-16 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven								
		Number o	Number of Students		t of Students			
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	70	70	100.0	13.0			
	4	94	93	98.9	20.4			
	5	89	89	100.0	20.2			
	6	85	84	98.8	34.5			
English Learners	3	34	34	100.0	5.9			
	4	48	47	97.9	19.1			
	5	41	39	95.1	2.6			
	6	31	31	100.0	9.7			
Students with Disabilities	4	21	21	100.0	4.8			
	5	22	22	100.0	9.1			
	6	11	11	100.0	18.2			

ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

School Year 2015-16 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven							
			f Students	Percent of Students			
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded		
All Students	3	76	76	100.0	12.0		
	4	109	109	100.0	11.9		
	5	97	95	97.9	4.3		
	6	99	97	98.0	27.8		
Male	3	43	43	100.0	11.9		
	4	54	54	100.0	13.0		
	5	51	49	96.1	4.2		
	6	49	49	100.0	26.5		
Female	3	33	33	100.0	12.1		
	4	55	55	100.0	10.9		
	5	46	46	100.0	4.3		
	6	50	48	96.0	29.2		
Black or African American	4	11	11	100.0	9.1		
Asian	3	18	18	100.0	5.6		
	4	26	26	100.0	26.9		
	5	16	16	100.0	18.8		
	6	18	18	100.0	33.3		

		Number of		nd Eleven Percent of Students		
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded	
Hispanic or Latino	3	41	41	100.0	12.2	
	4	59	59	100.0	5.1	
	5	58	58	100.0		
	6	59	57	96.6	21.1	
Vhite	6	14	14	100.0	42.9	
ocioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	71	71	100.0	11.4	
	4	94	94	100.0	12.8	
	5	89	89	100.0	4.5	
	6	85	84	98.8	29.8	
nglish Learners	3	34	34	100.0	5.9	
	4	48	48	100.0	12.5	
	5	41	39	95.1	2.6	
	6	31	31	100.0	6.5	
tudents with Disabilities	4	21	21	100.0		
	5	22	22	100.0	9.1	
	6	11	11	100.0	9.1	

Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)

Parents are encouraged to participate in their child's educational experience and support the efforts of the school in a multitude of ways. Back to School Night, Open House, Reading Night, Math Night, Science Night, and other family nights are many of our school sponsored activities. For parent input on policies and decision making, parents have the opportunity to serve on School Site Council, ELAC and the Safety Committee. Monthly meetings on a variety of subjects help parents to become more involved with school. First grade is also has parent training nights once a trimester.

For more information, contact the school at (916) 277-6685.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

Sacramento City Unified School District Comprehensive Safe School Plan 2016-2017

Section 1...... Crisis Communication Flow Section 2.....Emergency Plan: Site Level Drill and Emergency Procedures (a) Lockdown Response (b) Fire (c) Bomb Threat, ATF Bomb Threat Checklist (d) Active Shooter (e) Chemical Section 3.....Emergency Plan: Earthquake Emergency Procedures Section 4...... Emergency Plan: Site Level Use of Schools as a Community Shelter ** Section 5.....District Policies Related to Safety: (a) Board Policy 5021: Custodial & Parent Rights (b) Mandated Child Abuse Reporting (c) Missing Student Protocol (d) Suicide Risk Assessment Section 6.....Bullying Policies and Procedures Section 7.....Dangerous Student Notification Section 8.....Wellness Plan Section 9.....District Handbook Section 10.....Component I: Social Climate Component II: Physical Climate/Campus Section 11..... Emergency Plan: Site Level Incident Command System Section 12..... Emergency Plan: Site Level Communication Procedures Section 13.....Before and After School Programs - Coordinators and Contact Numbers / Days and Hours of Operation on campus Section 14.....Emergency Plan: Site Level Safe Ingress & Egress Procedures Section 15... Emergency Plan: Site Level Family Reunification Procedures Section 16...... Emergency Plan: Site Level Provisions for Students/Staff with Special Needs Section 17.....School Site Safety Committee Member List, Approval of CSSP Section 18.....Staff Handbook Section 19.....Site Specific Documents (option to add documents) ** Once designated by the Red Cross or Office of Emergency Services, the school sites will be notified and Documentation will be inserted in this section.

The Comprehensive Safe School Plans for the Sacramento City Unified School District were revised to ensure the contents of the plans were uniform and

The Comprehensive Safe School Plans for the Sacramento City Unified School District were revised to ensure the contents of the plans were uniform and updated to address the components set forth in Section 32262 of the 2016 California Education Code. This plan is a work in progress as the Safe Schools Office and the Sacramento Police Department continue to seek the most current information and advice from subject matter experts.

Suspensions and Expulsions						
School	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16			
Suspensions Rate	0.0	0.4	1.8			
Expulsions Rate	1.2	0.0	0.0			
District	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16			
Suspensions Rate	4.9	6.1	5.8			
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0			
State	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16			
Suspensions Rate	4.4	3.8	3.7			
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1			

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2016-17 Federal Intervention Program						
Indicator	School	District				
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI				
First Year of Program Improvement	2011-2012	2008-2009				
Year in Program Improvement	Year 3	Year 3				
Number of Schools Currently in Program Impr	52					
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Impro	76.5					

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School						
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)						
Academic Counselor	0.0000					
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0.0000					
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.0000					
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.0000					
Psychologist	0.2000					
Social Worker	0.0000					
Nurse	0.6000					
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.0000					
Resource Specialist	0.0000					
Other	0.0000					
Average Number of Students per Staff Men	nber					
Academic Counselor 0.0						

One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

	Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)											
	Average Class Size			Number of Classrooms*								
Grade	Average Class Size		1-20		21-32		33+					
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
К	21	26	16	1	1	3	3	4	2			
1	32	25	20		1	3	2	2	1			
2	30	30	27				4	2	3			
3	31	30	28				3	4	3			
4	39	30	16			2		3	3	3		
5	34	31	20			1		2	3	4	1	1
6	29	128	24	1	1	1	1	3	1	2	1	1
Other	33	7	7		2	2				1		

Professional Development provided for Teachers

To realize the vision of Sacramento City Unified School District, which is to fully prepare all students for college career and life, it is imperative that the district provides numerous opportunities to expand the professional repertoire of its administrators and teachers.

To that end, the district has crafted a high quality professional program that is focused on the ELA and math Common Core State Standards, the Next Generation Science Standards, English Language Learners, Balanced Literacy and Instructional Strategies for Addressing the Needs of Diverse Learners. These areas of focus were derived from needs assessments coupled with the analysis of student learning of specific content and that is ongoing with follow-up opportunities, models effective practices, and uses assessments to guide and monitor progress. The learning opportunities afforded through this program deeply align with the needs of our individual schools, as well as our strategic plan, and connect to every aspect of school practice: thinking curriculum, intentional instructional practices, assessment for learning, and lesson design.

These learning experiences, which are offered during the school day and after-school are supported with on-site coaching, are in addition to the required 18-hours of professional development designated for teachers to engage in collaborative professional learning at their respective school sites. Such communities of practices, under the leadership and guidance of site administrators, afford our teachers the opportunity to deepen their content knowledge, strengthen their instructional repertoire, improve their assessment strategies, and foster a shared sense of responsibility. As a result, every teacher will help to promote and nurture a community of learners in which the individual school staff is not only enriched, but the district as a whole is enhanced.

To that end, the district has crafted a high quality professional program that is focused on ELA Common Core State Standards, Math Common Core State Standards, English Language Learners, Balanced Literacy and Instructional Strategies for Addressing the Needs of Diverse Learners. These areas of focus were derived from needs assessments coupled with the analysis of student learning of specific content and that is ongoing with follow-up opportunities, models effective practices, and uses assessments to guide and monitor progress. The learning opportunities afforded through this program deeply align with the needs of our individual schools, as well as our strategic plan, and connect to every aspect of school practice: thinking curriculum, intentional instructional practices, assessment for learning, and lesson design.

These learning experiences, which are offered during the school day and after-school are supported with on-site coaching, are in addition to the required 18-hours of professional development designated for teachers to engage in collaborative professional learning at their respective school sites. Such communities of practices, under the leadership and guidance of site administrators, afford our teachers the opportunity to deepen their content knowledge, strengthen their instructional repertoire, improve their assessment strategies, and foster a shared sense of responsibility. As a result, every teacher will help to promote and nurture a community of learners in which the individual school staff is not only enriched, but the district as a whole is enhanced. This year, teachers come together every Thursday to cooperate and work together for one hour.

FY 2014-15 Teacher and Administrative Salaries							
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category					
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$40,683	\$45,092					
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$53,467	\$71,627					
Highest Teacher Salary	\$87,749	\$93,288					
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$102,681	\$115,631					
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$104,918	\$120,915					
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$120,601	\$132,029					
Superintendent Salary	\$290,000	\$249,537					
Percent of	District Budget						
Teacher Salaries	31%	37%					
Administrative Salaries	5%	5%					

FY 2014-15 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries							
Level	Exp	Average					
Level	Total	Restricted	Teacher Salary				
School Site	6275.5	1031.00	5244.5	82931.26			
District	*	•	\$4,556	\$67,009			
State	*	•	\$5,677	\$75,837			
Percent Diffe	erence: School	15.1	26.2				
Percent Diffe	erence: School	Site/ State	-1.9	13.6			

Cells with ♦ do not require data.

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at <u>www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/</u>.

Types of Services Funded

Each district school receives categorical funding to provide supplemental services to assist students reach grade level proficiency and above, master grade level content standards and successfully graduate from high school. Services throughout the district include: Class Size Reduction

Tutoring

Supplemental instructional materials and books in mathematics, reading/language arts and ELD Extended Day/Year/ Summer school Enrichment programs Access to technology Parent Education/Family Nights Social Services (Healthy Start) Student/Family Primary Language Support Translation for Home-School Communication Gifted and Talented Education (GATE) Special Education Professional Development Support Staff, such as nurses, instructional assistants, parent advisors, and counselors Supplemental Education Services School Choice

Please check with your child's principal to receive information regarding the services that are specific to your child's school.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.