# Sam Brannan Middle School

5301 Elmer Way • Sacramento, CA 95822 • 916.264.4350 • Grades 7-8 Enrique Flores, Principal Enrique-Flores@scusd.edu

## 2014-15 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2015-16 School Year



Sam Brannan Middle School staff, students, and parents share a vision of excellence founded upon high standards for teaching and learning, and quality relationships. We help our students develop intellectually, socially, physically, and morally.

The staff at Sam Brannan is dedicated to preparing students for the challenges of high school as they become productive, responsible and productive members of the community.

Sam Brannan Middle School students engage a rigorous curriculum that is both challenging and exciting. Learning experiences include the core program and GATE/Honors program, and a rich offering of fascinating electives designed for complexity, novelty and creativity.

In addition to an array of enrichment activities, Sam Brannan students participate in Mathletes, Allcity Orchestra and Honor Band, the Shakespeare Festival in Ashland, Oregon, Academic Talent Search, Robotics, special education, Washington DC, student government / leadership class and other extended day clubs and enrichment activities. Sam Brannan has also built a solid sports program offering extra-curricular boys and girls' basketball, soccer, track, golf, volleyball, and softball teams.

As a school committed to grade level achievement for all students, Sam Brannan teachers regularly participate in staff development opportunities resulting in improved instructional practices and raised student achievement. Sam Brannan is committed to all students achieving to their highest potential.

Sam Brannan Middle School is a safe, clean and supportive learning environment. Sam Brannan students and parents can expect an excellent education.



Sacramento City Unified School District 5735 47th Avenue Sacramento, CA 95824 (916) 643-7400 www.scusd.edu

## District Governing Board

Darrell Woo, President, Area 6 Christina Pritchett, 1st VP, Area 3 Jay Hansen, 2nd VP, Area 1 Ellen Cochrane, Area 2 Gustavo Arroyo, Area 4 Diana Rodriguez, Area 5 Jessie Ryan, Area 7

District Administration José L. Banda, Superintendent Superintendent José L. Banda Superintendent Lisa Allen

Interim Deputy Sup.

## About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web
  page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school at 916.264.4350 or the district office.

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Grade Level					
Grade Level Number of Students					
Grade 7	261				
Grade 8	357				
Total Enrollment	618				

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Group						
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment					
Black or African American	22.7					
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.2					
Asian	21.2					
Filipino	2.4					
Hispanic or Latino	35.4					
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	2.1					
White	11.3					
Two or More Races	4.7					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	68.3					
English Learners	15.4					
Students with Disabilities	18.1					
Foster Youth	0.8					

## A. Conditions of Learning

#### State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials								
Sam Brannan Middle School	13-14	14-15	15-16					
With Full Credential	23	23	24					
Without Full Credential	0	0	1					
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0					
Sacramento City Unified School District	13-14	14-15	15-16					
With Full Credential	•	+	1826					
Without Full Credential	•	•	26					
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	•	•	0					

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School								
Sam Brannan Middle School 13-14 14-15 15-16								
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0					
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0					
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	1					

"Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

#### Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

2014-15 Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers							
Location of Classes Taught by Highly Not Taught by Hi Qualified Teachers Qualified Teach							
This School	100.0	0.0					
	Districtwide						
All Schools	96.0	4.1					
High-Poverty Schools	95.7	4.3					

High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

## Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2015-16)

Textbooks and instructional materials are reviewed and then piloted across the district. Subsequent adoption by the Board of Education is consistent with the cycle of textbook approval by the State, California School Board. Textbooks are chosen for their alignment with California Content Standards, California Frameworks, and appropriateness for Sacramento City Unified School District students. Textbook sufficiency data is collected annually.

Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: November 2014							
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption						
Reading/Language Arts	Holt Literature and Language Arts, Course 1 Holt, Rinehart, Winston Language Arts 2003 Holt Literature and Language Arts, Course 2 Holt, Rinehart, Winston Language Arts 2003						
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%						
Mathematics	Larson BIG IDEAS California Course 2, 2015-Houghton Mifflin Mathematics 2014 Larson BIG IDEAS California Course 3, 2015-Houghton Mifflin Mathematics 2014 Geometry, McDougal Littell Mathematics 2004						
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%						
Science	Life Science, Holt, Rinehart and Winston Science 2007 Focus on Physical Science, Glencoe/McGraw-Hill Science 2007						
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%						
History-Social Science	History Alive! Medieval World and Beyond, Teachers' Curriculum Institute (TCI) History 2005 History Alive! The United States Through Industrialism, Teachers' Curriculum Institute (TCI) History 2005						
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%						
Foreign Language	High Point, Hampton Brown English Language Development 2001						
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%						

#### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

The main campus was built in 1961. This school has 41 permanent classrooms which include a multipurpose room, a library, and an administrative building. The school also has 2 portables. During the 2006-07 modernization, renovations and upgrades were made in the following areas: health and safety, site exterior and miscellaneous upgrades.

The district takes great efforts to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority. The district governing board has adopted cleaning standards for all the schools in the district. A summary of these standards is available at the school office, or at the district operations office. The principal works directly with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

The State Legislature established the Deferred Maintenance Fund (DMF) in 1980 to assist districts in maintaining facilities and sites. The District has participated in the Deferred Maintenance Program since its inception. The program requires both the local district and the State of California to share equally in the cost of major deferred maintenance projects, with the maximum contribution from the State limited to approximately one-half of one percent of the District's General Fund and Adult Education Fund operating budgets. For fiscal years 2008-09 through 2012-13, the Deferred Maintenance Program has been included in the State's Tier III Flexibility Program. Therefore, DMF funds received by the District are deposited in the General Fund, and are unrestricted. Due to state budget cuts for 2010-11 these unrestricted funds have not been designated to deferred maintenance purposes. The funds were used as part of the Tier III recommendations approved by the Board on the May 6, 2010 Board Meeting.

Custom Increated		Repair	Status		Repair Needed and
System Inspected	Good	Fa	air	Poor	Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Х				
Interior: Interior Surfaces			x		Boys Locker Room by Gym: W/O#60259 water damage along west wall W/O#60260 multiple wall cracks along east wall W/O#60621wall(cinder block)cracked under iron beam in boys locker room Classroom 10: W/O#60247water damaged ceiling tiles Classroom 19: W/O#60
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Х				
Electrical: Electrical	х				Classroom 20: 2 LIGHTS OUT Classroom 22: 8 LIGHTS OUT Classroom 24A: 4 LIGHTS OUT
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Х				
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Х				
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Х				
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	x				Classroom 25A: W/O#60251 broken window north side Classroom 25B: W/O#60252 broken window south wall Classroom 9: W/O#60246window cracke top corner of sliding window
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	All repairs noted on the inspection have had work orders submitted for repair.

## **B.** Pupil Outcomes

## State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards Tests); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

## 2014-15 CAASPP Results for All Students

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard (grades 3-8 and 11)							
School	School	District	State					
ELA	43	35	44					
Math	35	28	33					

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison									
	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
Subject	School District State					District			
	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13 13-14 14-15		12-13	13-14	14-15	
Science	62	69	56	49	53	47	59	60	56
* Doci	ulto aro f	or grodo	с <b>Г</b> О о	ad 10 C		a nat ch	ou no un bu	on tha n	umbor

Results are for grades 5, 8, and 10. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade	2014-15 Percent o	f Students Meeting	Fitness Standards				
Level	4 of 6 5 of 6 6 of 6						
7	17.20	25.70	18.40				

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2014-15 CAASPP Res	ults by Student Group				
Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced				
	Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)				
All Students in the LEA	47				
All Student at the School	56				
Male	61				
Female	53				
Black or African American	32				
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian	71				
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	51				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific					
White	65				
Two or More Races	53				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	23				
English Learners	50				
Students with Disabilities	51				
Foster Youth					

Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

		Number o	f Students		Pe	ercent of Studen	its	
Student Group	Student Group Grade		Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	7	259	249	96.1	37	29	26	8
	8	356	345	96.9	18	33	40	9
Male	7		125	48.3	46	31	18	5
	8		177	49.7	21	33	40	6
Female	7		124	47.9	27	26	35	12
	8		168	47.2	15	32	41	12
Black or African American	7		58	22.4	55	22	21	2
	8		71	19.9	25	48	21	6
American Indian or Alaska Native	7		1	0.4				
	8		1	0.3				
Asian	7		50	19.3	26	28	38	8
	8		82	23.0	9	17	60	15

		Number of Students Percent of Students						
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
Filipino	7		8	3.1				
	8		4	1.1				
Hispanic or Latino	7		95	36.7	41	31	19	9
	8		118	33.1	24	33	40	3
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	7		5	1.9				
	8		8	2.2				
White	7		18	6.9	22	33	22	22
	8		46	12.9	22	28	41	9
Two or More Races	7		14	5.4	21	21	50	7
	8		15	4.2	0	60	20	20
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	7		184	71.0	40	30	26	4
	8		221	62.1	21	37	36	7
English Learners	7		40	15.4	75	13	10	3
	8		50	14.0	46	30	24	0
Students with Disabilities	7		48	18.5	90	6	4	0
	8		47	13.2	64	32	4	0
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	7		1	0.4				
Foster Youth	7							
	8							

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven									
		Number of Students		Percent of Students					
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded	
All Students	7	259	248	95.8	42	33	15	10	
	8	356	345	96.9	34	24	20	22	
Male	7		124	47.9	44	31	14	12	
	8		177	49.7	35	23	19	23	
Female	7		124	47.9	40	35	17	8	
	8		168	47.2	33	25	21	20	
Black or African American	7		58	22.4	60	36	0	3	
	8		71	19.9	58	21	14	7	

	Number of Students Percent of Students							
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
American Indian or Alaska Native	7		1	0.4				
	8		1	0.3				
Asian	7		50	19.3	26	26	32	16
	8		82	23.0	10	15	27	49
Filipino	7		8	3.1				
	8		4	1.1				
Hispanic or Latino	7		94	36.3	45	34	12	10
	8		118	33.1	41	31	17	12
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	7		5	1.9				
	8		8	2.2				
White	7		18	6.9	33	22	22	22
	8		46	12.9	33	22	24	22
Two or More Races	7		14	5.4	29	36	29	7
	8		15	4.2	33	27	20	20
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	7		183	70.7	45	36	13	7
	8		221	62.1	39	27	20	14
English Learners	7		40	15.4	68	18	13	3
	8		50	14.0	60	12	18	10
Students with Disabilities	7		48	18.5	79	13	6	2
	8		47	13.2	81	15	4	0
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	7		1	0.4				
Foster Youth	7							
	8							

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

## C. Engagement

#### State Priority: Parental Involvement

- The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):
- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

#### **Opportunities for Parental Involvement**

Sam Brannan Middle School has an active PTSA that meets the first Tuesday of each month. The PTSA has one or two major fundraising activites each year that support the end of the year awards assemblies and the eighth grade promotion ceremony. Sam Brannan also has parent representation on the School Site Council. Sam Brannan also has a program called Parent Conference Wednesdays where parents meet with teams of teachers to discuss student progress and together develop intervention plans to improve student performance.

#### State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

• Pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates; and other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan Sacramento City Unified School District Comprehensive Safe School Plan 2015-2016

Section 1..... Crisis Communication Flow

Section 2.....Emergency Plan: Site Level Drill and Emergency Procedures

(a) Lockdown Response

(b) Fire

(c) Bomb Threat, ATF Bomb Threat Checklist

(d) Active Shooter

(e) Chemical

Section 3.....Emergency Plan: Earthquake Emergency Procedures

Section 4...... Emergency Plan: Site Level Use of Schools as a Community Shelter \*\*

Section 5.....District Policies Related to Safety:

(a) Board Policy 5021: Custodial & Parent Rights

(b) Mandated Child Abuse Reporting

(c) Missing Student Protocol

(d) Suicide Risk Assessment

Section 6.....Bullying Policies and Procedures

Section 7.....Dangerous Student Notification

Section 8......Wellness Plan

Section 9.....District Handbook

Section 10.....Component I: Social Climate

Component II: Physical Climate/Campus

Section 11..... Emergency Plan: Site Level Incident Command System

Section 12..... Emergency Plan: Site Level Communication Procedures

Section 13.....Before and After School Programs - Coordinators and Contact Numbers / Days and Hours of Operation on campus

Section 14.....Emergency Plan: Site Level Safe Ingress & Egress Procedures

Section 15... Emergency Plan: Site Level Family Reunification Procedures

Section 16..... Emergency Plan: Site Level Provisions for Students/Staff with Special Needs

Section 17.....School Site Safety Committee Member List

Section 18.....School Site Safety Committee Records: Public Meeting and Agendas Approval of CSSP

Section 19.....Staff Handbook

Section 20.....Site Specific Documents (option to add documents)

\*\* Once designated by the Red Cross or Office of Emergency Services, the school sites will be notified and Documentation will be inserted in this section.

The Comprehensive Safe School Plans for the Sacramento City Unified School District were revised to ensure the contents of the plans were uniform and updated to address the components set forth in Section 3382 of the 2015 California Education Code. This plan is a work in progress as the Safe Schools Office and the Sacramento Police Department continue to seek the most current information and advice from subject matter experts.

The following Table of Contents were discussed and offered to Principals at all sites within the District:

Section 1.....Component I: Social Climate, Component II: Physical Climate/Campus Section 2..... Emergency Plan: Site Level Incident Command System Section 3..... Emergency Plan: Site Level Communication Procedures Section 4...... Emergency Plan: Site Level Use of Schools as a Community Shelter \*\* Section 5..... Emergency Plan: Site Level Provisions for Students/Staff with Special Needs Section 6... Emergency Plan: Site Level Family Reunification Procedures Section 7.....Emergency Plan: Site Level Earthquake Emergency Procedures Section 8...... Emergency Plan: Site Level Drill Procedures: Fire, Chemical, Bomb Threat, ATF Bomb Threat Checklist, Lockdown, Active Shooter Section 9.....Emergency Plan: Site Level Safe Ingress & Egress Procedures Section 10.....Before and After School Programs Section 11.....School Site Safety Committee Member List Section 12.....School Site Safety Committee Records: Public Meeting and Agendas Approval of CSSP Section 13.....District and Site Policies Related to Safety: (a) Board Policy 5021: Custodial & Parent Rights (b) Mandated Child Abuse Reporting (c) Missing Student Protocol Section 14.....Dangerous Student Notification to Teachers' Signature Pages (Spring & Fall) Section 15.....Bullying Policies and Procedures Section 16.....Wellness Plan Section 17.....District Handbook

#### Section 18.....Staff Handbook

Section 19.....Site Specific Documents (option to add documents)

The revised Safety Plan was developed to bring the District up to current standards while addressing each of the components as it relates to a unique school site. This plan is a work in progress as the Safe Schools Office and the Sacramento Police Department continue to seek the most current information and advice from subject matter experts.

Suspensions and Expulsions						
School	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15			
Suspensions Rate	11.65	6.64	4.52			
Expulsions Rate	0.00	0.00	0.00			
District	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15			
Suspensions Rate	6.57	4.88	6.10			
Expulsions Rate	0.02	0.03	0.02			
State	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15			
Suspensions Rate	5.07	4.36	3.80			
Expulsions Rate	0.13	0.10	0.09			

## **D. Other SARC Information**

\*

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2014-15 Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria							
AYP Criteria	School	District	State				
English Language Arts							
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Met Percent Proficient	N/A N/A		N/A				
Mathe	matics						
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A				
Made AYP Overall	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Met Attendance Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes	Yes				

2015-16 Federal Intervention Program					
Indicator	School	District			
Program Improvement Status	In Pl				
First Year of Program Improvement	2007-2008	2008-2009			
Year in Program Improvement	Year 5	Year 3			
Number of Schools Currently in Program Impro	ovement	53			
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Impro	79.1				

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)													
Average Class Size					Number of Classrooms*								
	Average CI	ass Size			1-22 23-32				33+				
Subject	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	
English	28	27	27	7	9	7	3	5	4	12	14	13	
Math	22	27	25	14	9	9	13	6	9	4	13	9	
Science	33	33	28	1	2	5	4	4	5	16	15	11	
SS	28	31	32	5	3	1	4	6	4	11	14	13	

Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

#### Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School

Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)				
Academic Counselor	1.0000			
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0.0000			
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.5000			
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.0000			
Psychologist	0.2000			
Social Worker	0.0000			
Nurse	0.2000			
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.5000			
Resource Specialist	2.0000			
Other	0.0000			
Average Number of Students per Staff Member				

Academic Counselor

One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

FY 2013-14 Teacher a	nd Administrative	Salaries					
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category					
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$39,885	\$43,165					
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$52,419	\$68,574					
Highest Teacher Salary	\$86,028	\$89,146					
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$100,430	\$111,129					
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$102,617	\$116,569					
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$118,237	\$127,448					
Superintendent Salary	\$245,000	\$234,382					
Percent of District Budget							
Teacher Salaries	33%	38%					
Administrative Salaries	6%	5%					

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at <u>www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/</u>.

#### **Types of Services Funded**

Each district school receives categorical funding to provide supplemental services to assist students reach grade level proficiency and above, master grade level content standards and successfully graduate from high school. Services throughout the district include:

Class Size Reduction Tutoring Supplemental instructional materials and books in mathematics, reading/language arts and ELD Extended Day/Year/ Summer school Enrichment programs Access to technology (i.e. SchoolLoop parent/student-school communication system) Parent Education/Family Nights Social Services (Healthy Start) Student/Family Primary Language Support Translation for Home-School Communication

#### **Professional Development provided for Teachers**

To realize the vision of Sacramento City Unified School District, which is to fully prepare all students for college and career, it is imperative that the district provides numerous opportunities to expand the professional repertoire of its administrators and teachers.

To that end, the district has crafted a high quality professional program that is focused on ELA Common Core State Standards, Math Common Core State Standards, English Language Learners, Balanced Literacy and Instructional Strategies for Addressing the Needs of Diverse Learners. These areas of focus were derived from needs assessments coupled with the analysis of student learning of specific content and that is ongoing with follow-up opportunities, models effective practices, and uses assessments to guide and monitor progress. The learning opportunities afforded through this program deeply align with the needs of our individual schools, as well as our strategic plan, and connect to every aspect of school practice: thinking curriculum, intentional instructional practices, assessment for learning, and lesson design.

These learning experiences, which are offered during the school day and after-school are supported with on-site coaching, are in addition to the required 18-hours of professional development designated for teachers to engage in collaborative professional learning at their respective school sites. Such communities of practices, under the leadership and guidance of site administrators, afford our teachers the opportunity to deepen their content knowledge, strengthen their instructional repertoire, improve their assessment strategies, and foster a shared sense of responsibility. As a result, every teacher will help to promote and nurture a community of learners in which the individual school staff is not only enriched, but the district as a whole is enhanced.

FY 2013-14 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries						
1	Average					
Level	Total	Teacher Salary				
School Site	\$6,203	\$1,664.51	\$4,538.41	\$70,092.36		
District	•	•	\$4,556	\$65,695		
State	•	•	\$5,348	\$72,971		
Percent Diffe	erence: School	4.7	-4.4			
Percent Diffe	erence: School	-8.1	-7.2			

Cells with ♦ do not require data.

Counseling (academic and career) Gifted and Talented Education (GATE) Special Education Professional Development Support Staff, such as nurses, instructional assistants, parent advisors, and counselors Supplemental Education Services School Choice

Please check with your child's principal to receive information regarding the services that are specific to your child's school.

#### DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

#### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.