### John F. Kennedy High School

6715 Gloria Drive • Sacramento, CA 95831 • 916.433.5200 • Grades 9-12
David VanNatten, Principal
David-VanNatten@scusd.edu

# 2014-15 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2015-16 School Year



### Sacramento City Unified School District

5735 47th Avenue Sacramento, CA 95824 (916) 643-7400 www.scusd.edu

### **District Governing Board**

Darrell Woo, President, Area 6 Christina Pritchett, 1st VP, Area 3 Jay Hansen, 2nd VP, Area 1 Ellen Cochrane, Area 2 Gustavo Arroyo, Area 4 Diana Rodriguez, Area 5 Jessie Ryan, Area 7

### **District Administration**

José L. Banda, Superintendent
Superintendent
José L. Banda
Superintendent
Lisa Allen
Interim Deputy Sup.

### **School Description**

Recognized by the state as a 2005 and 2009 California Distinguished School, John F. Kennedy High School is an outstanding high school, which features both traditional and innovative course offerings presented by caring and dedicated instructors. The students are encouraged to seek success in a positive, safe environment, which fosters growth, personal responsibility and a challenging curriculum. At Kennedy, individual and cultural diversity is endorsed and supported so that all students will have the opportunity to become productive citizens.

Parents and community members are a visible presence on campus. They participate in many parent forums and school decision-making committees. Parents support the school by walking the campus at lunch, beautifying, and providing security cameras and extra lighting. PTSA volunteers assist during orientation, testing, dances and support school staff.

The Program in America and California Exploration (PACE), founded by Sen. Gary Hart in 2001, is a four-year college prep history and English program that explores these curricular areas from a uniquely Californian and American perspective. The program features a summer component for incoming freshmen, numerous field trips and outstanding guest speakers from a wide range of career paths.

Manufacturing and Design (MAD) is Kennedy's newest program offering many diverse opportunities for students interested in product design and the development process, the principles of design, computer aided design, fabrication and manufacturing processes, sustainability, and the principles of business, entrepreneurship, and global design.

The Criminal Justice Academy offers a program to students who are interested in exploring the field of law enforcement. The curriculum includes in-depth coverage of federal, state and local government and law, plus a rigorous physical education and training program for four years. Community service and parental involvement are required. The Police Department provides cadet uniforms and offers summer employment and assistance with college tuition.

The Marine Corps Junior ROTC offers a program to students who are interested in exploring a career in the military. Kennedy has two Marine Corps teachers on campus who instruct students on leadership, discipline and the Marine Corps curriculum. Community service and parental involvement are required. The Marine Corps provides cadet uniforms.

The Academy of Culinary Arts provides students opportunities to learn skills and explore career options in the Food Service and Hospitality, Tourism, and Recreation Industry. The culinary courses use innovative lesson plans and activities to teach a wide range of topics which include nutrition, food preparation and sanitation, serving and catering, and cooking skills. Junior and Senior-level courses provide job shadow and internship opportunities at local restaurants and hotel kitchens. The Cougar Cafe houses a full scale commercial kitchen and an eating area that seats seventy-five people.

Among Kennedy's other curricular offerings are the arts, a wide variety of foreign language and Kennedy offers numerous advanced placement classes in English, Social Science, Science, Math, Art and World Languages.

Kennedy features a varied physical education program, with sixteen competitive sports available to students.

### **About the SARC**

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school at 916.433.5200 or the district office.

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Grade Level						
Grade Level Number of Students						
Grade 9	538					
<b>Grade 10</b> 584						
Grade 11	546					
Grade 12	468					
Ungraded Secondary 3						
Total Enrollment 2,139						

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Group							
Group Percent of Total Enrollmen							
Black or African American	21						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.7						
Asian	28.9						
Filipino	2.6						
Hispanic or Latino	27.4						
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1.9						
White	12.3						
Two or More Races	5						
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	57.1						
English Learners	11.7						
Students with Disabilities	11.8						
Foster Youth	0.7						

### A. Conditions of Learning

### **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials							
John F. Kennedy High School	13-14	14-15	15-16				
With Full Credential	75	73	89				
Without Full Credential	0	0	0				
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0				
Sacramento City Unified School District	13-14	14-15	15-16				
With Full Credential	<b>*</b>	+	1826				
Without Full Credential	*	+	26				
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	<b>*</b>	+	0				

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School							
John F. Kennedy High School 13-14 14-15 15-16							
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0				
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0				
Vacant Teacher Positions	1	0	3				

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

### **Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers**

2014-15 Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers								
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers						
This School	97.7	2.3						
	Districtwide							
All Schools	96.0	4.1						
High-Poverty Schools	95.7	4.3						
Low-Poverty Schools	100.0	0.0						

<sup>\*</sup> High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2015-16)

Textbooks and instructional materials are reviewed and then piloted across the district. Subsequent adoption by the Board of Education is consistent with the cycle of textbook approval by the State, California School Board. Textbooks are chosen for their alignment with California Content Standards, California Frameworks, and appropriateness for Sacramento City Unified School District students. Textbook sufficiency data is collected annually.

	Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: November 2014
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts	Holt Literature and Language Arts, Course 3 English 9 2003 Holt Literature and Language Arts, Course 4 English 10 2003 Holt Literature and Language Arts, Course 5 English 11 2003 Holt Literature and Language Arts, Course 6 English 12 2003 Short Takes, Model Essays for Composition, Ninth Edition, Pearson Longman English 11 AP 2007 The Well Crafted Argument, A Guide and Reader, Third Edition, Houghton Mifflin English 11 AP 2008 Literature: Reading, Fiction, Poetry and Drama, 6th Edition, Glencoe/McGraw-Hill English 12 AP 2007 Perrine's Literature: Structure, Sound, and Sense, Tenth Edition, Wadsworth Cengage Learning English 12 AP 2009  The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:  Yes
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%
Mathematics	CCSS Integrated Pathway: Mathematics I, Walch Education Mathematics 2012 Algebra and Trigonometry, Structure and Method, Book 2, McDougal Littell Advanced Algebra 2000 Algebra 2, McDougal Littell Advanced Algebra 2001 Geometry: Measuring Reasoning, McDougal Littell Geometry 2004 Algebra 2 (California Edition), Pearson Prentice Hall Algebra 2 and/or Adv. Geometry 2004 Introduction To Statistics and Data Analysis, AP Ed. Brooks/Cole Statistics 2010 Precalculus with Unit-Circle Trigonometry, 4th ed., David Cohen, Thomson Brooks/Cole Pre Calculus 2006 Precalculus 3rd ed., Robert Blitzer, Pearson Prentice Hall Pre Calculus 2007 Precalculus with Limits 5th ed., Larsen, Hostetler and Edwards, Houghton Mifflin Pre Calculus 2008 Algebra and Trigonometry with Analytic Geometry, 9th Edition, Brooks and Cole Advanced Pre Calculus 1997 Calculus: Graphical, Numerical, Algebraic, 3rd ed (AP Edition) Pearson Prentice Hall Calculus AB or BC 2007 Calculus: Single Variable with Vector Functions, 1st ed. Thomson Brooks/Cole Calculus AB or BC 2007 Calculus of a Single Variable, 8th Edition, Houghton Mifflin Calculus AB or BC 2006  The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:  Yes  Percent of students lacking their own assigned to the page.
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%
Science	Invitation to Psychology. Prentice Hall Psychology AP 2008 Psychology, 7th Ed., Worth Psychology 2004 Holt Earth Science, Holt, Rinehart and Winston Physical Science 2006 Biology: The Dynamics of Life, Glencoe Biology/Biophysical Science 2005 Modern Biology, Holt, Reinhart, and Winston Biology/Biophysical Science 2002 Biology, Prentice Hall Biology/Biophysical Science 2004 BSCS Biology: A Molecular Approach, 8th Edition, Glencoe Molecular Biology 2001 BSCS Biology: A Molecular Approach, 9th Edition, Glencoe Molecular Biology 2006 Biology, 8th ed. (AP) Pearson, Benjamin Cummings Biology AP 2008 Chemistry, Matters and Change, Glencoe/McGraw-Hill Chemistry 2005 Holt Modern Chemistry, Holt, Rinehart and Winston Chemistry 2006 Chemistry: The Central Science, 11th Edition, Prentice Hall Chemistry AP 2008 Chemistry, 7th ed, (AP Edition), Houghton Mifflin Chemistry AP 2007 Chemistry in the Community, Freeman Chemistry 2000 Conceptual Physics, 9th Edition, (Addison Wesley) Physics 2002 Physics: Principles and Problems, Glencoe/McGraw-Hill Physics 2005 Physics, 6th Edition, John Wiley and Son, Inc. Physics 2007 Hole's Essentials of Human Anatomy and Physiology, 8th Edition, McGraw-Hill Human Anatomy and Physiology 2003
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%

Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: November 2014							
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption						
History-Social Science	World Geography, McDougal Littell Geography 2006 World Cultures and Geography, McDougal Littell Geography SDAIE 2003 World Geography and Cultures, Globe Fearon Geography SDAIE 2002 Human Legacy, Holt World History 2008 World History, 5th ed. Duiker and Spielvogel, Thomson Wadsworth World History AP 2007 American Anthem Modern American History, Holt, Rinehart and Winston U.S. History 2007 The American Journey: A History of the United States, Prentice Hall U.S. History AP 2001 Magruder's American Government, Pearson Prentice Hall U.S. Government 2005 American Government, 9th Edition, Houghton Mifflin U.S. Government AP 2004 Economics Principles in Action, Pearson Prentice Hall Modern Economics 2007						
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes						
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%						
Foreign Language	Visions, Levels Basic and A,Student Workbooks, Levels Basic and A, Heinle & Heinle English Language Development 2003 Visions, Levels Basic and B and C,Student Workbooks, Levels Basic and B and C, Heinle & Heinle English Language Development 2003						
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes						
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%						

### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

The main campus was built in 1966. This school has 74 permanent classrooms which include a multipurpose room, small theater, auditorium, a library, and an administrative building. The school also has 22 portables. During the 2006 modernization, renovations and upgrades were made in the following areas: health and safety, fire alarms, HVAC, roofing and miscellaneous upgrades.

The district takes great efforts to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority. The district governing board has adopted cleaning standards for all the schools in the district. A summary of these standards is available at the school office, or at the district operations office. The principal works directly with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

The State Legislature established the Deferred Maintenance Fund (DMF) in 1980 to assist districts in maintaining facilities and sites. The District has participated in the Deferred Maintenance Program since its inception. The program requires both the local district and the State of California to share equally in the cost of major deferred maintenance projects, with the maximum contribution from the State limited to approximately one-half of one percent of the District's General Fund and Adult Education Fund operating budgets. For fiscal years 2008-09 through 2012-13, the Deferred Maintenance Program has been included in the State's Tier III Flexibility Program. Therefore, DMF funds received by the District are deposited in the General Fund, and are unrestricted. Due to state budget cuts for 2010-11 these unrestricted funds have not been designated to deferred maintenance purposes. The funds were used as part of the Tier III recommendations approved by the Board on the May 6, 2010 Board Meeting.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 10/26/2015							
Custom Inspected		Repair Status		Repair Needed and			
System Inspected	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned			
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Х						
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Х			Classroom B11: WATER LEAK IN BACK OF ROOM CELLING Classroom B12: 1 LIGHT OUT WATER LEAK IN BACK OF ROOM CELLING Classroom B22: 4 LIGHTS OUT NEEDS PAINT ON WEST AND EAST WALL			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Х			Quad: Gophers/Ground Squirrels. W/O 65145			

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 10/26/2015							
Sustam Inspected		Re	oair Status			Repair Needed and	
System Inspected	Good		Fair		Poor	Action Taken or Planned	
Electrical: Electrical	х					Aux Gym: 2 LIGHTS OUT Boys RR by Classroom B16: 1 LIGHT OUT Classroom 202: 1 LIGHT OUT Classroom 204: 1 LIGHT OUT Classroom 210: 3 LIGHTS OUT Classroom 212: 2 LIGHTS OUT Classroom 214: 2 LIGHTS OUT Classroom 218: 1 LIGHT OUT Classroom 302: 3 LIGHT	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Х					Classroom B23: Sink drains slow W/O 65144 Classroom B26: Faucets need repair W/O 39877	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Х						
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Х						
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Х						
Overall Rating	Exemplary X	Good	l Fai	r	Poor	Work orders made for all deficiencie. Repairs made.	

### **B. Pupil Outcomes**

### **State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards Tests): and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2014-15 CAASPP Results for All Students							
Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards ect (grades 3-8 and 11)						
	School	District	State				
ELA	51	35	44				
Math	31	28	33				

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison									
	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
Subject	School District Stat						State		
	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13 13-14 14-15			12-13	13-14	14-15
Science	50	50	40	49	53	47	59	60	56

Results are for grades 5, 8, and 10. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grad	le	2014-15 Percent o	f Students Meeting	Fitness Standards
Leve	el	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
9		25.10	19.70	6.90

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2014-15 CAASPP Results by Student Group					
Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced				
	Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)				
All Students in the LEA	47				
All Student at the School	40				
Male	38				
Female	42				
Black or African American	20				
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian	57				
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	26				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific	33				
White	56				
Two or More Races	59				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	10				
English Learners	10				
Students with Disabilities	29				
Foster Youth					

<sup>\*</sup> Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

		Number of Students		Percent of Students					
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded	
All Students	11	528	501	94.9	22	26	30	21	
Male	11		247	46.8	26	30	26	19	
Female	11		254	48.1	19	22	35	23	
Black or African American	11		79	15.0	38	29	23	8	
American Indian or Alaska Native	11		5	0.9					
Asian	11		167	31.6	16	22	32	30	
Filipino	11		16	3.0	6	25	31	38	
Hispanic or Latino	11		126	23.9	28	30	33	10	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	11		9	1.7					
White	11		74	14.0	14	23	32	30	
Two or More Races	11		25	4.7	20	20	28	32	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	11		260	49.2	27	28	30	14	
English Learners	11		52	9.8	69	21	8	0	
Students with Disabilities	11		47	8.9	68	19	9	0	
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	11		0	0.0					
Foster Youth	11								

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven									
		Number o	f Students	Percent of Students					
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded	
All Students	11	528	497	94.1	44	25	17	14	
Male	11		245	46.4	46	25	14	14	
Female	11		252	47.7	42	25	20	14	
Black or African American	11		78	14.8	68	18	12	1	
American Indian or Alaska Native	11		5	0.9					
Asian	11		165	31.3	29	25	19	27	
Filipino	11		16	3.0	19	19	25	38	
Hispanic or Latino	11		126	23.9	57	25	15	3	

## School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

5.0	Disable charea by state in croups, crates times times the and electen								
		Number o	f Students	Percent of Students					
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	11		8	1.5					
White	11		74	14.0	34	31	18	18	
Two or More Races	11		25	4.7	48	20	28	4	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	11		259	49.1	51	23	14	12	
English Learners	11		53	10.0	79	19	0	2	
Students with Disabilities	11		46	8.7	93	7	0	0	
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	11		0	0.0					
Foster Youth	11								

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

### C. Engagement

#### State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

### **Opportunities for Parental Involvement**

The PTSA promotes parental involvement in many school activities. The organization provides parent volunteers for dance chaperones, Open House, Orientation, Back-to-School Night, Information Night for 8th grade parents, and Grad Night. Historically, they have hosted the Teacher Appreciation breakfasts and end of year luncheon for staff. Pride at Kennedy (PAK), owns and operates the lockers at the school, and seeks to beautify the campus and make it a safer environment. They also seek volunteers available to walk the campus during lunch, providing additional adult supervision. The parent booster clubs provide added support for the music and sports programs and other activities throughout the campus.

For more information, contact Anna Fong, President PTSA; Martin Young, PAK.

### State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

Pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates; and other local measures on the sense of safety.

### **School Safety Plan**

Sacramento City Unified School District Comprehensive Safe School Plan 2015-2016

Section 1..... Crisis Communication Flow

Section 2.....Emergency Plan: Site Level Drill and Emergency Procedures

- (a) Lockdown Response
- (b) Fire
- (c) Bomb Threat, ATF Bomb Threat Checklist
- (d) Active Shooter
- (e) Chemical

Section 3.....Emergency Plan: Earthquake Emergency Procedures

Section 4...... Emergency Plan: Site Level Use of Schools as a Community Shelter \*\*

Section 5.....District Policies Related to Safety:

- (a) Board Policy 5021: Custodial & Parent Rights
- (b) Mandated Child Abuse Reporting
- (c) Missing Student Protocol
- (d) Suicide Risk Assessment

Section 6.....Bullying Policies and Procedures

Section 7......Dangerous Student Notification

Section 8.....Wellness Plan

Section 9......District Handbook

Section 10.....Component I: Social Climate

Component II: Physical Climate/Campus

Section 11..... Emergency Plan: Site Level Incident Command System

Section 12..... Emergency Plan: Site Level Communication Procedures

Section 13......Before and After School Programs - Coordinators and Contact Numbers / Days and Hours of Operation on campus

Section 14.....Emergency Plan: Site Level Safe Ingress & Egress Procedures

Section 15... Emergency Plan: Site Level Family Reunification Procedures

Section 16....... Emergency Plan: Site Level Provisions for Students/Staff with Special Needs

Section 17.....School Site Safety Committee Member List

Section 18.....School Site Safety Committee Records: Public Meeting and Agendas Approval of CSSP

Section 19.....Staff Handbook

Section 20.....Site Specific Documents (option to add documents)

The Comprehensive Safe School Plans for the Sacramento City Unified School District were revised to ensure the contents of the plans were uniform and updated to address the components set forth in Section 3382 of the 2015 California Education Code. This plan is a work in progress as the Safe Schools Office and the Sacramento Police Department continue to seek the most current information and advice from subject matter experts.

The following Table of Contents were discussed and offered to Principals at all sites within the District:

Section 1......Component I: Social Climate, Component II: Physical Climate/Campus

Section 2..... Emergency Plan: Site Level Incident Command System

Section 3..... Emergency Plan: Site Level Communication Procedures

Section 4...... Emergency Plan: Site Level Use of Schools as a Community Shelter \*\*

Section 5...... Emergency Plan: Site Level Provisions for Students/Staff with Special Needs

Section 6... Emergency Plan: Site Level Family Reunification Procedures

Section 7.....Emergency Plan: Site Level Earthquake Emergency Procedures

Section 8...... Emergency Plan: Site Level Drill Procedures: Fire, Chemical, Bomb Threat, ATF Bomb Threat Checklist, Lockdown, Active Shooter

Section 9.....Emergency Plan: Site Level Safe Ingress & Egress Procedures

Section 10.....Before and After School Programs

Section 11.....School Site Safety Committee Member List

Section 12......School Site Safety Committee Records: Public Meeting and Agendas Approval of CSSP

Section 13.....District and Site Policies Related to Safety:

(a) Board Policy 5021: Custodial & Parent Rights

(b) Mandated Child Abuse Reporting

(c) Missing Student Protocol

Section 14......Dangerous Student Notification to Teachers' Signature Pages (Spring & Fall)

Section 15.....Bullying Policies and Procedures

Section 16.....Wellness Plan

Section 17.....District Handbook

Section 18.....Staff Handbook

Section 19.....Site Specific Documents (option to add documents)

The revised Safety Plan was developed to bring the District up to current standards while addressing each of the components as it relates to a unique school site. This plan is a work in progress as the Safe Schools Office and the Sacramento Police Department continue to seek the most current information and advice from subject matter experts.

Suspensions and Expulsions						
School	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15			
Suspensions Rate	8.50	3.04	5.01			
<b>Expulsions Rate</b>	0.09	0.04	0.09			
District	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15			
Suspensions Rate	6.57	4.88	6.10			
Expulsions Rate	0.02	0.03	0.02			
State	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15			
Suspensions Rate	5.07	4.36	3.80			
Expulsions Rate	0.13	0.10	0.09			

<sup>\*\*</sup> Once designated by the Red Cross or Office of Emergency Services, the school sites will be notified and Documentation will be inserted in this section.

### D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2014-15 Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria								
AYP Criteria	School	District	State					
English Lan	English Language Arts							
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes					
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Mathe	matics							
Met Participation Rate	No	Yes	Yes					
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Made AYP Overall	No	Yes	Yes					
Met Attendance Rate	N/A	Yes	Yes					
Met Graduation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes					

2015-16 Federal Intervention Program					
Indicator	District				
Program Improvement Status	Program Improvement Status In PI				
First Year of Program Improvement	First Year of Program Improvement 2010-2011				
Year in Program Improvement	Year 5	Year 3			
Number of Schools Currently in Program Impro	53				
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Impro	79.1				

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)												
					Number of Classrooms*							
Average Class Size				1-22			23-32			33+		
Subject	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
English	24	28	25	27	16	18	16	25	42	33	38	16
Math	27	30	27	13	14	16	14	5	32	34	50	25
Science	20	30	21	35	10	33	27	7	27	19	43	25
SS	27	28	27	17	19	22	25	18	28	34	47	35

<sup>\*</sup> Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School				
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)				
Academic Counselor	6.0			
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0.0000			
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	1.0			
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.0000			
Psychologist	1.0			
Social Worker	0.0000			
Nurse	1.0			
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.0			
Resource Specialist	5.0			
Other	0.0000			
Average Number of Students per Staff Member				
Academic Counselor 4:				

One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

### **Professional Development provided for Teachers**

To realize the vision of Sacramento City Unified School District, which is to fully prepare all students for college and career, it is imperative that the district provides numerous opportunities to expand the professional repertoire of its administrators and teachers.

To that end, the district has crafted a high quality professional program that is focused on ELA Common Core State Standards, Math Common Core State Standards, English Language Learners, Balanced Literacy and Instructional Strategies for Addressing the Needs of Diverse Learners. These areas of focus were derived from needs assessments coupled with the analysis of student learning of specific content and that is ongoing with follow-up opportunities, models effective practices, and uses assessments to guide and monitor progress. The learning opportunities afforded through this program deeply align with the needs of our individual schools, as well as our strategic plan, and connect to every aspect of school practice: thinking curriculum, intentional instructional practices, assessment for learning, and lesson design.

These learning experiences, which are offered during the school day and after-school are supported with on-site coaching, are in addition to the required 18-hours of professional development designated for teachers to engage in collaborative professional learning at their respective school sites. Such communities of practices, under the leadership and guidance of site administrators, afford our teachers the opportunity to deepen their content knowledge, strengthen their instructional repertoire, improve their assessment strategies, and foster a shared sense of responsibility. As a result, every teacher will help to promote and nurture a community of learners in which the individual school staff is not only enriched, but the district as a whole is enhanced.

FY 2013-14 Teacher and Administrative Salaries							
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category					
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$39,885	\$43,165					
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$52,419	\$68,574					
Highest Teacher Salary	\$86,028	\$89,146					
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$100,430	\$111,129					
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$102,617	\$116,569					
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$118,237	\$127,448					
Superintendent Salary	\$245,000	\$234,382					
Percent of	Percent of District Budget						
Teacher Salaries	33%	38%					
Administrative Salaries	6%	5%					

k	For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries &
	Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

FY 2013-14 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries						
	Ехр	enditures Per	Pupil	Average		
Level	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	Teacher Salary		
School Site	\$5,736	\$1,481.39	\$4,254.40	\$66,162.50		
District	<b>*</b>	<b>*</b>	\$4,556	\$65,695		
State   ◆   ◆			\$5,348	\$72,971		
Percent Diffe	erence: School	-3.1	0.0			
Percent Diffe	erence: School	-14.9	-2.9			

Cells with ♦ do not require data.

### **Types of Services Funded**

Each district school receives categorical funding to provide supplemental services to assist students reach grade level proficiency and above, master grade level content standards and successfully graduate from high school. Services throughout the district include:

**Class Size Reduction** 

Tutoring

Supplemental instructional materials and books in mathematics, reading/language arts and ELD

Extended Day/Year/ Summer school

Enrichment programs-Assets: After School Safety Enrichment for Teens

Access to technology

Parent Education/Family Nights

Student/Family Primary Language Support

Translation for Home-School Communication

Counseling (academic and career)

Gifted and Talented Education (GATE)

Special Education

10th Grade Counseling

AB 1802 at risk student counseling (11th grade counseling)

**Professional Development** 

Support Staff, such as nurses, instructional assistants, parent advisors, counselors and two social workers

**Supplemental Education Services** 

School Choice

Four theme based small learning community providing access to many community partners, event and internships

Please check with your child's principal to receive information regarding the services that are specific to your child's school.

	alifornia High School Ex			<u> </u>			
Group	Eng	English-Language Arts			Mathematics		
Group	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	
All Students in the LEA	51	24	26	46	36	18	
All Students at the School	42	23	35	41	36	24	
Male	48	25	28	41	34	25	
Female	37	21	43	40	38	22	
Black or African American	56	25	19	58	34	8	
Asian	28	18	54	16	41	43	
Hispanic or Latino	53	24	23	56	32	12	
White	25	31	43	29	42	29	
Two or More Races	30	10	60	30	23	47	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	51	25	24	50	35	15	
English Learners	80	17	3	65	28	7	

2014-15 California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group						
Crave	English-Language Arts			Mathematics		
Group	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced
Students with Disabilities	91	7	1	89	11	

<sup>\*</sup> Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAHSEE Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
John F. Kennedy High School	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
English-Language Arts	55	60	58
Mathematics	59	62	59
Sacramento City Unified School District	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
English-Language Arts	49	42	43
Mathematics	55	47	47
California	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
English-Language Arts	57	56	58
Mathematics	60	62	59

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)			
John F. Kennedy High School	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Dropout Rate	7.80	6.20	3.50
Graduation Rate	87.04	89.47	92.59
Sacramento City Unified School District	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Dropout Rate	11.50	6.10	5.50
Graduation Rate	79.91	85.27	85.01
California	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Dropout Rate	13.10	11.40	11.50
<b>Graduation Rate</b>	78.87	80.44	80.95

Career Technical Education Participation		
Measure	CTE Program Participation	
Number of pupils participating in CTE	295	
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	0.0374	
% of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	0.2857	

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements				
Grana	Graduating Class of 2014			
Group	School	District	State	
All Students	91.95	82.13	84.6	
Black or African American	86.36	74.6	76	
American Indian or Alaska Native	100	70	78.07	
Asian	88.89	87.1	92.62	
Filipino	118.18	111.11	96.49	
Hispanic or Latino	93.75	78.07	81.28	
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	76.92	75.36	83.58	
White	98.08	89.94	89.93	
Two or More Races	95.56	87.32	82.8	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	81.58	67.4	61.28	
English Learners	70.69	63.8	50.76	
Students with Disabilities	88.61	80.35	81.36	
Foster Youth				

Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission		
UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent	
2014-15 Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	95.89	
2013-14 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	48.42	

2014-15 Adv	anced Placement Cou	irses
Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science		<b>*</b>
English	11	•
Fine and Performing Arts		•
Foreign Language	2	<b>*</b>
Mathematics	5	<b>*</b>
Science	4	•
Social Science	15	•
All courses	37	.7

<sup>\*</sup> Where there are student course enrollments.

### **Career Technical Education Programs**

Career Technical Education (CTE) courses support the core subject areas and provide context to most of the Small Learning Communities (SLCs) in SCUSD. All SCUSD students have access to CTE courses. CTE courses align to both academic and CTE California State Standards. CTE courses are reviewed yearly by the Career Technical Preparation Department and the SCUSD Career Technical Education Advisory Board.

### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at
libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length
of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print
documents.