James Marshall Elementary School

9525 Goethe Road • Sacramento, CA 95827 • 916.228.5860 • Grades K-6
Marla Van Laningham, Principal
marla-vanlaningham@scusd.edu

2014-15 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2015-16 School Year



Sacramento City Unified School District

5735 47th Avenue Sacramento, CA 95824 (916) 643-7400 www.scusd.edu

District Governing Board

Darrell Woo, President, Area 6 Christina Pritchett, 1st VP, Area 3 Jay Hansen, 2nd VP, Area 1 Ellen Cochrane, Area 2 Gustavo Arroyo, Area 4 Diana Rodriguez, Area 5 Jessie Ryan, Area 7

District Administration

José L. Banda, Superintendent
Superintendent
José L. Banda
Superintendent
Lisa Allen
Interim Deputy Sup.

School Description

Children are first at James Marshall. Staff is engaging every student so they are challenged in a meaningful and captivating manner. There are strong community ties creating a happy and safe environment for students.

James W. Marshall Elementary is located in the heart of the Rosemont community. The entire staff at James Marshall is committed to the academic success of every student. Teachers and support staff collaborate on a regular basis to review data to help guide daily instruction. We have several programs that enhance our daily classroom instruction. By effectively utilizing paraprofessionals and resource teachers, we provide leveled intervention in English-language arts for all primary students during the school day. This model allows for small group instruction at the students' instructional level. Instructional intervention is also offered for selected students during before school tutoring (by the CSUS Readers Program). In addition, students are served by a variety of different Special Education classes on campus.

In addition to students' academic needs, James Marshall staff tries to focus on the whole child. We integrate character education into our daily teaching, and offer extracurricular activities whenever possible. We have a state of the art computer lab, an active Drama club, Hip Hop Club, LEGO Club, Running Club, a basketball team and other fun filled and educational field trips and exciting assemblies such as "Fantasy Theater," which exposes all students to the arts and music. We have an excellent Physical Education program as well.

Parent involvement is encouraged at James Marshall. Parents are very active in our Parent Teacher Group as well as our Schoolsite Council and English Learner Advisory Committee. Parents are welcome on campus anytime and can volunteer in classrooms and are welcome to work or relax in our Parent Resource Center. The Parent Teacher Group and the staff at James Marshall work collectively to provide as many opportunities for parent education as possible, such as Family Reading Night, Family Math Night and Family Science Night.

James Marshall has Pre-School on site as well as before and after school day care through the Sacramento City Unified School District's Children's Center. Free after school care, academic support and enrichment activities are also available through the Sacramento START program.

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school at 916.228.5860 or the district office.

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Grade Level					
Grade Level Number of Students					
Kindergarten	47				
Grade 1	60				
Grade 2	58				
Grade 3	69				
Grade 4	57				
Grade 5	40				
Grade 6	66				
Total Enrollment	397				

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Group					
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment				
Black or African American	14.4				
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.3				
Asian	9.6				
Filipino	2.8				
Hispanic or Latino	27				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1				
White	37				
Two or More Races	7.8				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	68.5				
English Learners	23.9				
Students with Disabilities	18.6				
Foster Youth	0.5				

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials						
James Marshall Elementary School	13-14	14-15	15-16			
With Full Credential	12	14	20			
Without Full Credential	0	1	0			
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0			
Sacramento City Unified School District	13-14	14-15	15-16			
With Full Credential	*	+	1826			
Without Full Credential	*	+	26			
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	*	+	0			

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School					
James Marshall Elementary	13-14	14-15	15-16		
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0		
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0		
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	1		

^{* &}quot;Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

2014-15 Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

Core Academic Classes raught by Highly Qualified Teachers						
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers				
This School	100.0	0.0				
Districtwide						
All Schools	96.0	4.1				
High-Poverty Schools	95.7	4.3				
Low-Poverty Schools	100.0	0.0				

^{*} High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2015-16)

Textbooks and instructional materials are reviewed and then piloted across the district. Subsequent adoption by the Board of Education is consistent with the cycle of textbook approval by the State, California School Board. Textbooks are chosen for their alignment with California Content Standards, California Frameworks, and appropriateness for Sacramento City Unified School District students. Textbook sufficiency data is collected annually.

Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: November 2014					
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption				
Reading/Language Arts	Open Court Reading, SRA Arts Reading/Language 2002				
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: No				
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%				
Mathematics	enVisionMATH California Common Core 2015-Pearson-K-6th Mathematics 2014				
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes				
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%				
Science	California Science, McMillian McGraw-Hill Science 2008				
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%				
History-Social Science	California Vistas, McMillian McGraw-Hill History/Social Science 2007				
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%				
Foreign Language	Moving Into English, Harcourt English Language Development 2004				
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%				

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

The main campus was built in 1976. This school has 1 permanent and 35 portable classrooms which include a multipurpose room, a library, and an administrative building. During the 2005 modernization, renovations and upgrades were made in the following areas: HVAC, roofing and miscellaneous upgrades.

The district takes great efforts to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority. The district governing board has adopted cleaning standards for all the schools in the district. A summary of these standards is available at the school office, or at the district operations office. The principal works directly with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

The State Legislature established the Deferred Maintenance Fund (DMF) in 1980 to assist districts in maintaining facilities and sites. The District has participated in the Deferred Maintenance Program since its inception. The program requires both the local district and the State of California to share equally in the cost of major deferred maintenance projects, with the maximum contribution from the State limited to approximately one-half of one percent of the District's General Fund and Adult Education Fund operating budgets. For fiscal years 2008-09 through 2012-13, the Deferred Maintenance Program has been included in the State's Tier III Flexibility Program. Therefore, DMF funds received by the District are deposited in the General Fund, and are unrestricted. Due to state budget cuts for 2010-11 these unrestricted funds have not been designated to deferred maintenance purposes. The funds were used as part of the Tier III recommendations approved by the Board on the May 6, 2010 Board Meeting.

Contain Insuranted		Repair Status		Repair Needed and
System Inspected	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Х			
Interior: Interior Surfaces		х		Boys Restroom by Classroom 4: RR partition badly rusted. WO #63466 Classroom 15: Carpet seam is separating and coming up from floor. WO #63075 Classroom 20: Carpet needs replaced, won't stay glued to the floor and bubbles have formed all over the room.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 9/25/2015					
	Repair Status				Repair Needed and
System Inspected	Good	Fa	air	Poor	Action Taken or Planned
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Х				
Electrical: Electrical	Х				Classroom 32 (Library): 2 LIGHTS OUT
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Х				
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Х				
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Х				
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences				х	Classroom 7: Replace door. WO #61048 Kinder Play Area: Woodchips low and need to get refilled. WO #63859 Main Playground: Woodchips low and need to get refilled. WO #63859 Restroom by Room 25: Boys and Girls restroom doors need to be repainted. WO # 63
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	All items in need of repair have had a work order submitted.

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards Tests); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

	2014-15 CAASPP Results for All Students					
Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School	District	State			
ELA	28	35	44			
Math	27	28	33			

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison									
	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
Subject		School			District			State	
	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15
Science	48	42	11	49	53	47	59	60	56

Results are for grades 5, 8, and 10. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade	2014-15 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standard						
Level	Level 4 of 6		6 of 6				
5	28.20	10.30	7.70				

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

ults by Student Group		
Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced		
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)		
47		
11		
5		
16		
11		
18		

Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

		Number o	f Students	Percent of Students				
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	3	65	63	96.9	49	33	13	5
	4	55	53	96.4	38	28	25	9
	5	42	37	88.1	41	32	22	5
	6	66	63	95.5	40	25	24	11
Male	3		30	46.2	63	20	10	7
	4		24	43.6	50	29	17	4
	5		17	40.5	53	35	12	0
	6		31	47.0	48	26	13	13
Female	3		33	50.8	36	45	15	3
	4		29	52.7	28	28	31	14
	5		20	47.6	30	30	30	10
	6		32	48.5	31	25	34	9
Black or African American	3		10	15.4				
	4		4	7.3				
	5		6	14.3				
	6		7	10.6				
American Indian or Alaska Native	3		1	1.5				
Asian	3		8	12.3				
	4		2	3.6				
	5		4	9.5				
	6		8	12.1				
Filipino	3		1	1.5				
	6		5	7.6				
Hispanic or Latino	3		14	21.5	64	29	7	0
	4		18	32.7	39	44	11	6
	5		7	16.7				
	6		14	21.2	50	14	36	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	3		1	1.5				
White	3		26	40.0	42	42	15	0
	4		23	41.8	30	22	35	13
	5		19	45.2	32	32	32	5
	6		22	33.3	64	14	18	5
Two or More Races	3		2	3.1				
	4		6	10.9				
	5		1	2.4				
	6		6	9.1				

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

		Number o	f Students	Percent of Students				
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3		46	70.8	41	39	15	4
	4		31	56.4	45	32	19	3
	5		24	57.1	38	38	17	8
	6		47	71.2	45	26	26	4
English Learners	3		13	20.0	69	23	8	0
	4		9	16.4				
	5		7	16.7				
	6		13	19.7	62	31	8	0
Students with Disabilities	3		15	23.1	80	13	0	7
	4		6	10.9				
	5		6	14.3				
	6		7	10.6				
Foster Youth	3							
	4							
	5							
	6							

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven									
		Number o	f Students	Percent of Students					
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded	
All Students	3	65	64	98.5	39	33	20	8	
	4	55	53	96.4	28	45	19	8	
	5	42	38	90.5	50	32	11	8	
	6	66	64	97.0	45	22	20	13	
Male	3		30	46.2	43	23	23	10	
	4		24	43.6	33	42	13	13	
	5		17	40.5	53	24	12	12	
	6		32	48.5	53	19	13	16	
Female	3		34	52.3	35	41	18	6	
	4		29	52.7	24	48	24	3	
	5		21	50.0	48	38	10	5	
	6		32	48.5	38	25	28	9	

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

		Number o	f Students		Pe	ercent of Studer	nts	
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
Black or African American	3		10	15.4				
	4		4	7.3				
	5		6	14.3				
	6		7	10.6				
American Indian or Alaska Native	3		1	1.5				
Asian	3		9	13.8				
	4		2	3.6				
	5		4	9.5				
	6		9	13.6				
Filipino	3		1	1.5				
	6		5	7.6				
Hispanic or Latino	3		14	21.5	50	29	21	0
	4		18	32.7	28	61	6	6
	5		7	16.7				
	6		14	21.2	36	43	21	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	3		1	1.5				
White	3		26	40.0	38	35	23	4
	4		23	41.8	26	35	30	9
	5		20	47.6	55	35	5	5
	6		22	33.3	59	18	14	9
Two or More Races	3		2	3.1				
	4		6	10.9				
	5		1	2.4				
	6		6	9.1				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3		46	70.8	43	28	22	7
	4		31	56.4	32	48	16	3
	5		24	57.1	42	42	8	8
	6		48	72.7	52	21	17	10
English Learners	3		14	21.5	50	29	21	0
	4		9	16.4				
	5		7	16.7				
	6		14	21.2	57	21	21	0
Students with Disabilities	3		15	23.1	60	27	7	7
	4		6	10.9				
	5		7	16.7				
	6		7	10.6				

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven **Number of Students Percent of Students Student Group** Grade Standard Standard **Standard** Standard **Enrolled Tested Tested Not Met Nearly Met Exceeded** Met **Foster Youth** 3 4 5 6

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Our PTG is involved in promoting a positive learning environment by promoting fundraising activities to support the classroom and organizing whole school gatherings that provide our school with a strong sense of community. Parents are always welcome to volunteer on campus in whatever capacity they are comfortable with. We also have a parent resource center which is available for parents to work or use to acquire information or learn of educational opportunities in the community.

For more information, contact the following persons and contact numbers:

Marla Van Laningham - Principal (916) 228-5860

Michelle Valdez - PTG President (916) 288-5860

Cathleen Damiani – Before/After Day Care
Andrew Garnett - Schoolsite Council Chair (916) 228-5860

Irena Leshchinskiy - ELAC(916) 228-5860Roxanne Sjolund - Head Start Preschool(916) 228-5856

Leo Valentine – Sacramento START (916) 825-3528

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

• Pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates; and other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

Sacramento City Unified School District
Comprehensive Safe School Plan 2015-2016

Section 1..... Crisis Communication Flow

Section 2.....Emergency Plan: Site Level Drill and Emergency Procedures

- (a) Lockdown Response
- (b) Fire
- (c) Bomb Threat, ATF Bomb Threat Checklist
- (d) Active Shooter
- (e) Chemical

Section 3.....Emergency Plan: Earthquake Emergency Procedures

Section 4...... Emergency Plan: Site Level Use of Schools as a Community Shelter **

Section 5.....District Policies Related to Safety:

- (a) Board Policy 5021: Custodial & Parent Rights
- (b) Mandated Child Abuse Reporting
- (c) Missing Student Protocol
- (d) Suicide Risk Assessment

Section 6......Bullying Policies and Procedures Section 7......Dangerous Student Notification

Section 8.....Wellness Plan

Section 9.....District Handbook

Section 10.....Component I: Social Climate

Component II: Physical Climate/Campus

Section 11..... Emergency Plan: Site Level Incident Command System

Section 12..... Emergency Plan: Site Level Communication Procedures

Section 13.....Before and After School Programs - Coordinators and Contact Numbers / Days and Hours of Operation on campus

Section 14.....Emergency Plan: Site Level Safe Ingress & Egress Procedures

Section 15... Emergency Plan: Site Level Family Reunification Procedures

Section 16...... Emergency Plan: Site Level Provisions for Students/Staff with Special Needs

Section 17.....School Site Safety Committee Member List

Section 18......School Site Safety Committee Records: Public Meeting and Agendas Approval of CSSP

Section 19.....Staff Handbook

Section 20.....Site Specific Documents (option to add documents)

The Comprehensive Safe School Plans for the Sacramento City Unified School District were revised to ensure the contents of the plans were uniform and updated to address the components set forth in Section 3382 of the 2015 California Education Code. This plan is a work in progress as the Safe Schools Office and the Sacramento Police Department continue to seek the most current information and advice from subject matter experts.

The following Table of Contents were discussed and offered to Principals at all sites within the District:

Section 1.....Component I: Social Climate, Component II: Physical Climate/Campus

Section 2..... Emergency Plan: Site Level Incident Command System

Section 3..... Emergency Plan: Site Level Communication Procedures

Section 4...... Emergency Plan: Site Level Use of Schools as a Community Shelter **

Section 5...... Emergency Plan: Site Level Provisions for Students/Staff with Special Needs

Section 6... Emergency Plan: Site Level Family Reunification Procedures

Section 7.....Emergency Plan: Site Level Earthquake Emergency Procedures

Section 8...... Emergency Plan: Site Level Drill Procedures: Fire, Chemical, Bomb Threat, ATF Bomb Threat Checklist, Lockdown, Active Shooter

Section 9.....Emergency Plan: Site Level Safe Ingress & Egress Procedures

Section 10.....Before and After School Programs

Section 11.....School Site Safety Committee Member List

Section 12......School Site Safety Committee Records: Public Meeting and Agendas Approval of CSSP

Section 13......District and Site Policies Related to Safety:

(a) Board Policy 5021: Custodial & Parent Rights

(b) Mandated Child Abuse Reporting

(c) Missing Student Protocol

Section 14.....Dangerous Student Notification to Teachers' Signature Pages (Spring & Fall)

Section 15.....Bullying Policies and Procedures

Section 16.....Wellness Plan

Section 17.....District Handbook

Section 18.....Staff Handbook

Section 19.....Site Specific Documents (option to add documents)

The revised Safety Plan was developed to bring the District up to current standards while addressing each of the components as it relates to a unique school site. This plan is a work in progress as the Safe Schools Office and the Sacramento Police Department continue to seek the most current information and advice from subject matter experts.

Suspensions and Expulsions							
School	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15				
Suspensions Rate	3.31	5.33	2.91				
Expulsions Rate	0.00	0.00	0.00				
District	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15				
Suspensions Rate	6.57	4.88	6.10				
Expulsions Rate	0.02	0.03	0.02				
State	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15				
Suspensions Rate	5.07	4.36	3.80				
Expulsions Rate	0.13	0.10	0.09				

^{**} Once designated by the Red Cross or Office of Emergency Services, the school sites will be notified and Documentation will be inserted in this section.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2014-15 Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria									
AYP Criteria School District Sta									
English Language Arts									
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes						
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A						
Mathe	matics								
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes						
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A						
Made AYP Overall	Yes	Yes	Yes						
Met Attendance Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes						
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes	Yes						

2015-16 Federal Intervention Program					
Indicator School District					
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI			
First Year of Program Improvement	2012-2013	2008-2009			
Year in Program Improvement	Year 2	Year 3			
Number of Schools Currently in Program Impro	ovement	53			
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Impro	vement	79.1			

	Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)											
	Number of Classrooms*											
	Average Cl	ass size			1-20			21-32			33+	
Grade	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
К	22	20	105	1	1	1	2	2	2			1
1	31	28	31				2	2	2			
2	29	32	32				2	2	2			
3	31	23	24		1	1	1	2	2			
4	31	33	30				2		1		1	
5	32	33	31				1		2		2	
6	24	21	32	1	1		1		2	1	1	
Other	10		9	1		1						

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School						
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)						
Academic Counselor	0.0000					
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0.0000					
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.0000					
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.0000					
Psychologist	0.0000					
Social Worker	0.0000					
Nurse	0.0000					
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.0000					
Resource Specialist	1.0000					
Other	0.0000					
Average Number of Students per Staff Member						
Academic Counselor 0.0						

One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Professional Development provided for Teachers

To realize the vision of Sacramento City Unified School District, which is to fully prepare all students for college and career, it is imperative that the district provides numerous opportunities to expand the professional repertoire of its administrators and teachers.

To that end, the district has crafted a high quality professional program that is focused on ELA Common Core State Standards, Math Common Core State Standards, English Language Learners, Balanced Literacy and Instructional Strategies for Addressing the Needs of Diverse Learners. These areas of focus were derived from needs assessments coupled with the analysis of student learning of specific content and that is ongoing with follow-up opportunities, models effective practices, and uses assessments to guide and monitor progress. The learning opportunities afforded through this program deeply align with the needs of our individual schools, as well as our strategic plan, and connect to every aspect of school practice: thinking curriculum, intentional instructional practices, assessment for learning, and lesson design.

These learning experiences, which are offered during the school day and after-school are supported with on-site coaching, are in addition to the required 18-hours of professional development designated for teachers to engage in collaborative professional learning at their respective school sites. Such communities of practices, under the leadership and guidance of site administrators, afford our teachers the opportunity to deepen their content knowledge, strengthen their instructional repertoire, improve their assessment strategies, and foster a shared sense of responsibility. As a result, every teacher will help to promote and nurture a community of learners in which the individual school staff is not only enriched, but the district as a whole is enhanced.

FY 2013-14 Teacher and Administrative Salaries							
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category					
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$39,885	\$43,165					
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$52,419	\$68,574					
Highest Teacher Salary	\$86,028	\$89,146					
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$100,430	\$111,129					
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$102,617	\$116,569					
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$118,237	\$127,448					
Superintendent Salary	\$245,000	\$234,382					
Percent of District Budget							
Teacher Salaries	33%	38%					
Administrative Salaries	6%	5%					

*	For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries &
	Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

FY 2013-14 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries								
Level	Ехр	enditures Per	Pupil	Average				
Levei	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	Teacher Salary				
School Site	\$6,846	\$2,246.38	\$4,600.07	\$72,315.68				
District	•	*	\$4,556	\$65,695				
State	•	•	\$5,348	\$72,971				
Percent Diffe	rence: School	9.6	8.6					
Percent Diffe	rence: School	-3.8	5.5					

Cells with ♦ do not require data.

Types of Services Funded

Each district school receives categorical funding to provide supplemental services to assist students in reaching grade level proficiency and above, master grade level content standards and successfully graduate from high school. Services throughout the district include:

Class Size Reduction

Tutoring

Supplemental instructional materials and books in mathematics, reading/language arts and ELD

Extended Day/Year/ Summer school

Enrichment programs

Access to technology

Parent Education/Family Nights

Social Services

Student/Family Primary Language Support

Gifted and Talented Education (GATE)

Special Education

Professional Development

Support Staff, such as nurses, instructional assistants, parent advisors, and counselors

Supplemental Education Services

School Choice

Sacramento START (After school Program)

Computer Lab

Please check with your child's principal to receive information regarding the services that are specific to your child's school.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.