H. W. Harkness Elementary School

2147 54th Avenue • Sacramento, CA 95822 • 916.433.5042 • Grades K-6 Isabel Govea, Principal isabel-govea@scusd.edu

2014-15 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2015-16 School Year



Sacramento City Unified School District

5735 47th Avenue Sacramento, CA 95824 (916) 643-7400 www.scusd.edu

District Governing Board

Darrell Woo, President, Area 6 Christina Pritchett, 1st VP, Area 3 Jay Hansen, 2nd VP, Area 1 Ellen Cochrane, Area 2 Gustavo Arroyo, Area 4 Diana Rodriguez, Area 5 Jessie Ryan, Area 7

District Administration

José L. Banda, Superintendent
Superintendent
José L. Banda
Superintendent
Lisa Allen
Interim Deputy Sup.

School Description

H. W. Harkness Elementary School is located in the south area of Sacramento, a few blocks south of the Executive Airport on the 24th Street side. The school is nestled in the heart of the Golf Course Terrace Estates community. Our goal is to provide an environment in which academic achievement is paramount and where children are empowered to be successful throughout their lives.

Harkness is a place where students feel that school is safe and nurturing. Students learn standards-based concepts within a balanced and culturally responsive construct. Through Project Based Learning and Service Learning, students are provided with a myriad of opportunities to relevantly apply their learning.

Harkness has a diverse population that serves students from the surrounding area. The curriculum is designed to promote total wellness, competency of 21st Century Skills, and a healthy lifestyle. Through our balanced, holistic, culturally responsive, and standards-based educational construct, students will become lifelong learners, prepared to be competitive and successful at all levels of their academic career.

Programs at Harkness include, but are not limited to the following: English Language Development, Parent Education within the Parent Resource Room, Preschool, Extra-curricular athletics, Early Kindergarten, and an after school program through MASTERS (Making After School Time Enriching, Rewarding, and Successful).

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school at 916.433.5042 or the district office.

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Grade Level				
Grade Level	Number of Students			
Kindergarten	72			
Grade 1	58			
Grade 2	51			
Grade 3	62			
Grade 4	53			
Grade 5	33			
Grade 6	60			
Total Enrollment	389			

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Group					
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment				
Black or African American	19.3				
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.5				
Asian	12.6				
Filipino	0.3				
Hispanic or Latino	50.1				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	5.1				
White	4.4				
Two or More Races	7.7				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	76.1				
English Learners	33.4				
Students with Disabilities	20.6				
Foster Youth	1.8				

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials						
H. W. Harkness Elementary School	13-14	14-15	15-16			
With Full Credential	13	13	18			
Without Full Credential	0	0	0			
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0			
Sacramento City Unified School District	13-14	14-15	15-16			
With Full Credential	*	+	1826			
Without Full Credential	*	+	26			
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	•	*	0			

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School						
H. W. Harkness Elementary	13-14	14-15	15-16			
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0			
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0			
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0			

^{* &}quot;Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

2014-15 Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers						
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers				
This School	100.0	0.0				
Districtwide						
All Schools	96.0	4.1				
High-Poverty Schools	95.7	4.3				
Low-Poverty Schools	100.0	0.0				

^{*} High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2015-16)

Textbooks and instructional materials are reviewed and then piloted across the district. Subsequent adoption by the Board of Education is consistent with the cycle of textbook approval by the State, California School Board. Textbooks are chosen for their alignment with California Content Standards, California Frameworks, and appropriateness for Sacramento City Unified School District students. Textbook sufficiency data is collected annually.

Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: November 2014					
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption				
Reading/Language Arts	Open Court Reading, SRA Arts Reading/Language 2002				
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%				
Mathematics	enVisionMATH California Common Core 2015-Pearson-K-6th Mathematics 2014				
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%				
Science	California Science, McMillian McGraw-Hill Science 2008				
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%				
History-Social Science	California Vistas, McMillian McGraw-Hill History/Social Science 2007				
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%				
Foreign Language	Moving Into English, Harcourt English Language Development 2004				
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%				

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

The main campus was built in 1957. This school has 13 permanent classrooms which include a multipurpose room, a library, and an administrative building. The school also has 2 portables. During the 1999 modernization, renovations and upgrades were made in the following areas: health and safety, HVAC, and miscellaneous upgrades.

The district takes great efforts to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority. The district governing board has adopted cleaning standards for all the schools in the district. A summary of these standards is available at the school office, or at the district operations office. The principal works directly with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

The State Legislature established the Deferred Maintenance Fund (DMF) in 1980 to assist districts in maintaining facilities and sites. The District has participated in the Deferred Maintenance Program since its inception. The program requires both the local district and the State of California to share equally in the cost of major deferred maintenance projects, with the maximum contribution from the State limited to approximately one-half of one percent of the District's General Fund and Adult Education Fund operating budgets. For fiscal years 2008-09 through 2012-13, the Deferred Maintenance Program has been included in the State's Tier III Flexibility Program. Therefore, DMF funds received by the District are deposited in the General Fund, and are unrestricted. Due to state budget cuts for 2010-11 these unrestricted funds have not been designated to deferred maintenance purposes. The funds were used as part of the Tier III recommendations approved by the Board on the May 6, 2010 Board Meeting.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 8/28/2015					
Contambon and		Repair Status		Repair Needed and	
System Inspected	Good	Good Fair Poor		Action Taken or Planned	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Х				
Interior: Interior Surfaces			х	Classroom 1: Paint peeling from wall under white board. WO #59462 Classroom 10: Ceiling tiles hanging loose from ceiling. WO #59928 Classroom 11: Intercom speaker cover broken. WO #59931 Classroom 12: Vinyl baseboard floor trim missing along with tiles	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Х				

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 8/28/2015					
Contains Instituted		Repair	Status		Repair Needed and
System Inspected	Good	F	air	Poor	Action Taken or Planned
Electrical: Electrical			x		Boys Restroom By Classroom 8: Classroom 16: Light ballast - 1 out. WO #59953; Floor tiles near door damaged, need replacing WO #59940 Classroom 4: Light ballast - 2 out. WO #59896 Classroom 5: Light ballast - 2 out. WO #59896 Classroom 6: Light balla
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains			х		Boys Restroom By Classroom 3: Hand dryer not working Classroom 3: Toilet pipe leaking water when flush. Hole in wall restroom. WO #59401
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Х				
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Х				new roof installed in summer of 2014
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Х				Classroom 9: Curtain torn. Need replacing. WO #59926
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good X	Fair	Poor	

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards Tests); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2014-15 CAASPP Results for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School District State					
ELA	9	35	44			
Math	9	28	33			

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison									
	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
Subject	School			School District			State		
	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15
Science	31	38	28	49	53	47	59	60	56

^{*} Results are for grades 5, 8, and 10. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade	2014-15 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards					
Level	4 of 6 5 of 6 6 of 6					
5	31.20	9.40				

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2014-15 CAASPP Results by Student Group				
Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)			
All Students in the LEA	47			
All Student at the School	28			
Male	41			
Female	18			
Black or African American				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	25			
Native Hawaiian or Pacific				
White				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged				
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities	29			
Foster Youth				
* Caarra arra rat alaa				

Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

		Number o	f Students		Perco		nts	
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	3	56	56	100.0	63	29	7	2
	4	54	54	100.0	80	17	2	2
	5	34	31	91.2	68	23	6	3
	6	60	60	100.0	45	38	13	2
Male	3		26	46.4	81	12	4	4
	4		25	46.3	68	24	4	4
	5		15	44.1	67	27	7	0
	6		29	48.3	62	38	0	0
Female	3		30	53.6	47	43	10	0
	4		29	53.7	90	10	0	0
	5		16	47.1	69	19	6	6
	6		31	51.7	29	39	26	3
Black or African American	3		8	14.3				
	4		16	29.6	88	13	0	0
	5		7	20.6				
	6		10	16.7				
American Indian or Alaska Native	6		1	1.7				
Asian	3		6	10.7				
	4		7	13.0				
	5		2	5.9				
	6		10	16.7				
Filipino	5		1	2.9				
Hispanic or Latino	3		34	60.7	62	26	9	3
	4		23	42.6	74	22	4	0
	5		18	52.9	67	22	6	6
	6		32	53.3	50	38	9	3
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	3		6	10.7				
	4		3	5.6				
	5		2	5.9				
	6		1	1.7				
White	3		1	1.8				
	4		4	7.4				
	5		1	2.9				
	6		4	6.7				

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

		Number o	f Students		P	ercent of Studen	dents		
Student Group C		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded	
Two or More Races	3		1	1.8					
	4		1	1.9					
	6		2	3.3					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3		47	83.9	66	28	6	0	
	4		49	90.7	78	18	2	2	
	5		28	82.4	68	21	7	4	
	6		53	88.3	47	36	15	2	
English Learners	3		25	44.6	68	32	0	0	
	4		13	24.1	77	15	8	0	
	5		10	29.4					
	6		14	23.3	71	21	0	0	
Students with Disabilities	3		14	25.0	93	7	0	0	
	4		8	14.8					
	5		8	23.5					
	6		18	30.0	61	28	11	0	
Foster Youth	3								
	4								
	5								
	6								

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven								
	Grade	Number of Students			Pe	ercent of Studen	its	
Student Group		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	3	56	56	100.0	75	18	5	2
	4	54	54	100.0	69	31	0	0
	5	34	32	94.1	59	28	13	0
	6	60	60	100.0	52	30	13	5
Male	3		26	46.4	73	19	4	4
	4		25	46.3	56	44	0	0
	5		15	44.1	53	27	20	0
	6		29	48.3	59	24	17	0

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

		Number o	f Students	Percent of Students				
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
Female	3		30	53.6	77	17	7	0
	4		29	53.7	79	21	0	0
	5		17	50.0	65	29	6	0
	6		31	51.7	45	35	10	10
Black or African American	3		8	14.3				
	4		16	29.6	69	31	0	0
	5		7	20.6				
	6		10	16.7				
American Indian or Alaska Native	6		1	1.7				
Asian	3		6	10.7				
	4		7	13.0				
	5		2	5.9				
	6		10	16.7				
Filipino	5		1	2.9				
Hispanic or Latino	3		34	60.7	76	18	3	3
	4		23	42.6	65	35	0	0
	5		19	55.9	58	37	5	0
	6		32	53.3	56	19	22	3
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	3		6	10.7				
	4		3	5.6				
	5		2	5.9				
	6		1	1.7				
White	3		1	1.8				
	4		4	7.4				
	5		1	2.9				
	6		4	6.7				
Two or More Races	3		1	1.8				
	4		1	1.9				
	6		2	3.3				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3		47	83.9	81	15	4	0
	4		49	90.7	69	31	0	0
	5		28	82.4	57	32	11	0
	6		53	88.3	51	28	15	6

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

	Disable chared by stadent Groups, Grades Timee through Light and Eleven									
		Number o	f Students	Percent of Students						
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded		
English Learners	3		25	44.6	88	8	4	0		
	4		13	24.1	62	38	0	0		
	5		10	29.4						
	6		14	23.3	79	21	0	0		
Students with Disabilities	3		14	25.0	100	0	0	0		
	4		8	14.8						
	5		8	23.5						
	6		18	30.0	61	17	11	11		
Foster Youth	3									
	4									
	5									
	6									

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

· Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Organized opportunities for parent involvement include:

After school tutoring

Classroom support

Serving as translators

Monitoring playground and cafeteria during lunch & recess

Serving on various school committees, parent groups, and programs (PTO, Dad's Club, Safety Committee, and coaching)

Parent Resource Room for personnel and professional growth

For more information, contact Isabel Govea, Principal at (916) 433-5042 or Lori Tullgren, Case Manager (916) 433-7311.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

Pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates; and other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

Sacramento City Unified School District
Comprehensive Safe School Plan 2015-2016

Section 1..... Crisis Communication Flow

Section 2.....Emergency Plan: Site Level Drill and Emergency Procedures

(a) Lockdown Response

(b) Fire

(c) Bomb Threat, ATF Bomb Threat Checklist

(d) Active Shooter

(e) Chemical

Section 3.....Emergency Plan: Earthquake Emergency Procedures

Section 4...... Emergency Plan: Site Level Use of Schools as a Community Shelter **
Section 5...........District Policies Related to Safety:

(a) Board Policy 5021: Custodial & Parent Rights

(b) Mandated Child Abuse Reporting

(c) Missing Student Protocol

(d) Suicide Risk Assessment

Section 6.......Bullying Policies and Procedures

Section 7.......Dangerous Student Notification

Section 8.......Wellness Plan

Section 9.......District Handbook

Section 10.......Component I: Social Climate

Component II: Physical Climate/Campus

Section 11....... Emergency Plan: Site Level Incident Command System

Section 12....... Emergency Plan: Site Level Communication Procedures

Section 12..... Emergency Plan: Site Level Communication Procedures

Section 13.....Before and After School Programs - Coordinators and Contact Numbers / Days and Hours of Operation on campus

Section 14.....Emergency Plan: Site Level Safe Ingress & Egress Procedures

Section 15... Emergency Plan: Site Level Family Reunification Procedures

Section 16....... Emergency Plan: Site Level Provisions for Students/Staff with Special Needs

Section 17.....School Site Safety Committee Member List

Section 18.....School Site Safety Committee Records: Public Meeting and Agendas Approval of CSSP

Section 19.....Staff Handbook

Section 20.....Site Specific Documents (option to add documents)

The Comprehensive Safe School Plans for the Sacramento City Unified School District were revised to ensure the contents of the plans were uniform and updated to address the components set forth in Section 3382 of the 2015 California Education Code. This plan is a work in progress as the Safe Schools Office and the Sacramento Police Department continue to seek the most current information and advice from subject matter experts.

The following Table of Contents were discussed and offered to Principals at all sites within the District:

Section 1......Component I: Social Climate, Component II: Physical Climate/Campus

Section 2..... Emergency Plan: Site Level Incident Command System

Section 3..... Emergency Plan: Site Level Communication Procedures

Section 4...... Emergency Plan: Site Level Use of Schools as a Community Shelter **

Section 5...... Emergency Plan: Site Level Provisions for Students/Staff with Special Needs

Section 6... Emergency Plan: Site Level Family Reunification Procedures

Section 7.....Emergency Plan: Site Level Earthquake Emergency Procedures

Section 8...... Emergency Plan: Site Level Drill Procedures: Fire, Chemical, Bomb Threat, ATF Bomb Threat Checklist, Lockdown, Active Shooter

Section 9.....Emergency Plan: Site Level Safe Ingress & Egress Procedures

Section 10.....Before and After School Programs

Section 11.....School Site Safety Committee Member List

Section 12......School Site Safety Committee Records: Public Meeting and Agendas Approval of CSSP

Section 13......District and Site Policies Related to Safety:

(a) Board Policy 5021: Custodial & Parent Rights

(b) Mandated Child Abuse Reporting

(c) Missing Student Protocol

Section 14......Dangerous Student Notification to Teachers' Signature Pages (Spring & Fall)

Section 15.....Bullying Policies and Procedures

Section 16.....Wellness Plan

Section 17......District Handbook

Section 18.....Staff Handbook

Section 19.....Site Specific Documents (option to add documents)

The revised Safety Plan was developed to bring the District up to current standards while addressing each of the components as it relates to a unique school site. This plan is a work in progress as the Safe Schools Office and the Sacramento Police Department continue to seek the most current information and advice from subject matter experts.

^{**} Once designated by the Red Cross or Office of Emergency Services, the school sites will be notified and Documentation will be inserted in this section.

Suspensions and Expulsions							
School	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15				
Suspensions Rate	3.19	2.02	5.57				
Expulsions Rate	0.00	0.00	0.00				
District	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15				
Suspensions Rate	6.57	4.88	6.10				
Expulsions Rate	0.02	0.03	0.02				
State	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15				
Suspensions Rate	5.07	4.36	3.80				
Expulsions Rate	0.13	0.10	0.09				

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2014-15 Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria								
AYP Criteria School District								
English Language Arts								
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes					
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Mathe	matics							
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes					
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Made AYP Overall	Yes	Yes	Yes					
Met Attendance Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes					
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes	Yes					

2015-16 Federal Intervention Program					
Indicator	District				
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI			
First Year of Program Improvement	2012-2013	2008-2009			
Year in Program Improvement	Year 2	Year 3			
Number of Schools Currently in Program Impro	ovement	53			
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Impro	79.1				

	Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)											
	Number of Classrooms* Average Class Size											
	Average Ci	ass size			1-20			21-32			33+	
Grade	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
К	30	27	27			1	2	3	4			
1	30	26	30				1	1	1			
2	31	31	30				1	2	2			
3	32	22	23		1	1	1	1	2			
4	31	35	40				1	1	2		1	1
5	32		33				1					1
6	33	40	102				1		2	1	2	1
Other	10	32		1				1			1	

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School					
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)					
Academic Counselor 0.0000					
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0.0000				
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.0000				
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.3750				
Psychologist	0.2000				
Social Worker	0.0000				
Nurse	0.2000				
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.20000				
Resource Specialist	1.0000				
Other	0.0000				
Average Number of Students per Staff Men	nber				
Academic Counselor 0.0					

One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time;
 one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time

FY 2013-14 Teacher a	nd Administrative	Salaries					
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category					
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$39,885	\$43,165					
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$52,419	\$68,574					
Highest Teacher Salary	\$86,028	\$89,146					
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$100,430	\$111,129					
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$102,617	\$116,569					
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$118,237	\$127,448					
Superintendent Salary	\$245,000	\$234,382					
Percent of District Budget							
Teacher Salaries	33%	38%					
Administrative Salaries	6%	5%					

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Professional Development provided for Teachers

To realize the vision of Sacramento City Unified School District, which is to fully prepare all students for college and career, it is imperative that the district provides numerous opportunities to expand the professional repertoire of its administrators and teachers.

To that end, the district has crafted a high quality professional program that is focused on ELA Common Core State Standards, Math Common Core State Standards, English Language Learners, Balanced Literacy and Instructional Strategies for Addressing the Needs of Diverse Learners. These areas of focus were derived from needs assessments coupled with the analysis of student learning of specific content and that is ongoing with follow-up opportunities, models effective practices, and uses assessments to guide and monitor progress. The learning opportunities afforded through this program deeply align with the needs of our individual schools, as well as our strategic plan, and connect to every aspect of school practice: thinking curriculum, intentional instructional practices, assessment for learning, and lesson design.

These learning experiences, which are offered during the school day and after-school are supported with on-site coaching, are in addition to the required 18-hours of professional development designated for teachers to engage in collaborative professional learning at their respective school sites. Such communities of practices, under the leadership and guidance of site administrators, afford our teachers the opportunity to deepen their content knowledge, strengthen their instructional repertoire, improve their assessment strategies, and foster a shared sense of responsibility. As a result, every teacher will help to promote and nurture a community of learners in which the individual school staff is not only enriched, but the district as a whole is enhanced.

FY 2013-14 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries						
	Average					
Level	Total	Teacher Salary				
School Site	\$5,841	\$1,295.74	\$4,545.03	\$68,741.45		
District	*	•	\$4,556	\$65,695		
State	•	•	\$5,348	\$72,971		
Percent Diffe	rence: School	7.6	7.3			
Percent Difference: School Site/ State -8.3 -3.4						

Cells with ♦ do not require data.

Types of Services Funded

Each school receives categorical funding to provide supplemental services to assist students in reaching grade level proficiency and above, master grade-level content standards and successfully graduate from high school. The services below are funded through this categorical funding or through other sources.

Services at H.W. Harkness include:

Class size reduction

Tutoring (depending on categorical funding)

Supplemental instructional materials for Mathematics, Language Arts, Science, Social Science, and English Language Development

Modern computer lab and highly technological classrooms

Multicultural learning library

Parent education and family nights

Student/Family primary language support

Translation for home-school communication

Home Visitation

Case Manager: supports holistic student and family needs (ie: counseling, social services, child welfare)

Special Education

Staff professional development

Support staff includes: instructional assistants, case manager, psychologist, speech teacher, and resource specialist

Head Start Preschool

Sacramento S.T.A.R.T. after-school program

Primary Intervention Program (P.I.P.) encourages self-esteem, models positive behavior, and increases good feelings through play)

Project Based Learning and Service Learning

Balanced Literacy

Culturally Responsive Instruction

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.