

# Abraham Lincoln Elementary School

3324 Glenmoor Drive • Sacramento, CA 95827 • 916.228.5830 • Grades K-6

Laura Butler, Principal  
laura-butler@scusd.edu

## 2014-15 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2015-16 School Year



### Sacramento City Unified School District

5735 47th Avenue  
Sacramento, CA 95824  
(916) 643-7400  
www.scusd.edu

#### District Governing Board

Darrell Woo, President, Area 6  
Christina Pritchett, 1st VP, Area 3  
Jay Hansen, 2nd VP, Area 1  
Ellen Cochrane, Area 2  
Gustavo Arroyo, Area 4  
Diana Rodriguez, Area 5  
Jessie Ryan, Area 7

#### District Administration

José L. Banda, Superintendent  
**Superintendent**  
José L. Banda  
**Superintendent**  
Lisa Allen  
**Interim Deputy Sup.**

### School Description

Abraham Lincoln Elementary School is committed to the development of the whole child by embracing our students' cultures, providing a classroom community conducive to learning and creating a standards-based, data-driven environment in which each student will achieve success and become a productive individual in our global community.

At Abraham Lincoln we will:

- provide high quality standards-based rigorous first instruction in Language Arts, Mathematics, Social Studies, Science, Art, Music, and Physical Education
- make classroom instruction relevant for the students
- provide intervention and extension when necessary
- have high expectations of all students
- encourage critical thinking and problem solving
- continue to participate in professional development opportunities
- participate in Instructional Rounds
- collaboratively plan and analyze student work
- give all students access to the school library and technological resources
- provide students with the necessary materials to learn at high levels
- provide students with opportunities to build background knowledge and connections to the curriculum
- provide a safe and inviting learning environment for all students to which they feel connected
- encourage respect, responsibility and personal growth
- provide opportunities for family members to be engaged in the school

## About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school at 916.228.5830 or the district office.

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	60
Grade 1	61
Grade 2	70
Grade 3	74
Grade 4	65
Grade 5	59
Grade 6	65
<b>Total Enrollment</b>	<b>454</b>

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	14.1
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.7
Asian	4.4
Filipino	2
Hispanic or Latino	38.3
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1.1
White	32.8
Two or More Races	6.6
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	88.5
English Learners	31.3
Students with Disabilities	11.7
Foster Youth	0.4

## A. Conditions of Learning

### State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials			
Abraham Lincoln Elementary School	13-14	14-15	15-16
With Full Credential	15	16	16
Without Full Credential	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0
Sacramento City Unified School District	13-14	14-15	15-16
With Full Credential	♦	♦	1826
Without Full Credential	♦	♦	26
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	♦	♦	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
Abraham Lincoln Elementary	13-14	14-15	15-16
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	1	0	3

\* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

### Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

2014-15 Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers		
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100.0	0.0
Districtwide		
All Schools	96.0	4.1
High-Poverty Schools	95.7	4.3
Low-Poverty Schools	100.0	0.0

\* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2015-16)

Textbooks and instructional materials are reviewed and then piloted across the district. Subsequent adoption by the Board of Education is consistent with the cycle of textbook approval by the State, California School Board. Textbooks are chosen for their alignment with California Content Standards, California Frameworks, and appropriateness for Sacramento City Unified School District students. Textbook sufficiency data is collected annually.

Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: November 2014	
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts	Open Court Reading, SRA Arts Reading/Language 2002  The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%
Mathematics	enVisionMATH California Common Core 2015-Pearson-K-6th Mathematics 2014  The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%
Science	California Science, McMillian McGraw-Hill Science 2008  The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%
History-Social Science	California Vistas, McMillian McGraw-Hill History/Social Science 2007  The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%
Foreign Language	Moving Into English, Harcourt English Language Development 2004  Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%

### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

The main campus was built in 1978. This school has 12 permanent classrooms which include a multipurpose room, a library, and an administrative building. The school also has 11 portables. During the 2004/2005 modernization renovations and upgrades were made in the following areas: health and safety, exterior, and roofing.

The district takes great efforts to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority. The district governing board has adopted cleaning standards for all the schools in the district. A summary of these standards is available at the school office, or at the district operations office. The principal works directly with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

The State Legislature established the Deferred Maintenance Fund (DMF) in 1980 to assist districts in maintaining facilities and sites. The District has participated in the Deferred Maintenance Program since its inception. The program requires both the local district and the State of California to share equally in the cost of major deferred maintenance projects, with the maximum contribution from the State limited to approximately one-half of one percent of the District's General Fund and Adult Education Fund operating budgets. For fiscal years 2008-09 through 2012-13, the Deferred Maintenance Program has been included in the State's Tier III Flexibility Program. Therefore, DMF funds received by the District are deposited in the General Fund, and are unrestricted. Due to state budget cuts for 2010-11 these unrestricted funds have not been designated to deferred maintenance purposes. The funds were used as part of the Tier III recommendations approved by the Board on the May 6, 2010 Board Meeting.

**School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)**  
Year and month in which data were collected: September 14, 2015

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor		
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X				
<b>Interior:</b> Interior Surfaces	X				
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	X				
<b>Electrical:</b> Electrical	X				
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X				
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X				
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs	X				
<b>External:</b> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X				
<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>Exemplary</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Fair</b>	<b>Poor</b>	All repairs noted on the inspection have had work orders submitted for repair.
	X				

**B. Pupil Outcomes**

**State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards Tests); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

Grade Level	2014-15 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
<b>5</b>	17.60	33.30	35.30

\* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2014-15 CAASPP Results for All Students			
Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)		
	School	District	State
<b>ELA</b>	23	35	44
<b>Math</b>	21	28	33

\* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison									
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15
<b>Science</b>	27	40	47	49	53	47	59	60	56

\* Results are for grades 5, 8, and 10. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2014-15 CAASPP Results by Student Group	
Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced
	Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)
<b>All Students in the LEA</b>	47
<b>All Student at the School</b>	47
<b>Male</b>	51
<b>Female</b>	42
<b>Black or African American</b>	--
<b>Asian</b>	--
<b>Filipino</b>	--
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	38
<b>Native Hawaiian or Pacific</b>	--
<b>White</b>	61
<b>Two or More Races</b>	--
<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>	--
<b>English Learners</b>	33
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	46
<b>Foster Youth</b>	--

\* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)  
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
<b>All Students</b>	3	73	70	95.9	44	34	11	10
	4	67	65	97.0	71	17	11	2
	5	61	61	100.0	44	26	21	8
	6	63	63	100.0	35	37	27	2
<b>Male</b>	3		33	45.2	48	21	21	9
	4		32	47.8	72	16	13	0
	5		30	49.2	50	23	20	7
	6		32	50.8	41	31	25	3
<b>Female</b>	3		37	50.7	41	46	3	11
	4		33	49.3	70	18	9	3
	5		31	50.8	39	29	23	10
	6		31	49.2	29	42	29	0
<b>Black or African American</b>	3		8	11.0	--	--	--	--
	4		14	20.9	64	21	14	0
	5		10	16.4	--	--	--	--
	6		10	15.9	--	--	--	--
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	3		1	1.4	--	--	--	--
<b>Asian</b>	3		4	5.5	--	--	--	--
	4		5	7.5	--	--	--	--
	5		2	3.3	--	--	--	--
	6		3	4.8	--	--	--	--
<b>Filipino</b>	3		2	2.7	--	--	--	--
	4		1	1.5	--	--	--	--
	5		4	6.6	--	--	--	--
	6		1	1.6	--	--	--	--
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	3		28	38.4	39	36	14	11
	4		22	32.8	68	18	9	5
	5		18	29.5	28	33	39	0
	6		25	39.7	32	48	20	0
<b>Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander</b>	3		1	1.4	--	--	--	--
	4		2	3.0	--	--	--	--
	5		1	1.6	--	--	--	--
	6		1	1.6	--	--	--	--
<b>White</b>	3		21	28.8	43	48	10	0
	4		19	28.4	79	16	5	0
	5		23	37.7	48	22	22	9
	6		20	31.7	25	35	40	0

**School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)**  
**Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
<b>Two or More Races</b>	<b>3</b>		5	6.8	--	--	--	--
	<b>4</b>		2	3.0	--	--	--	--
	<b>5</b>		2	3.3	--	--	--	--
	<b>6</b>		3	4.8	--	--	--	--
<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>	<b>3</b>		62	84.9	47	32	11	10
	<b>4</b>		59	88.1	76	15	7	2
	<b>5</b>		53	86.9	43	26	23	8
	<b>6</b>		56	88.9	34	41	23	2
<b>English Learners</b>	<b>3</b>		25	34.2	48	48	4	0
	<b>4</b>		17	25.4	88	12	0	0
	<b>5</b>		15	24.6	60	33	7	0
	<b>6</b>		16	25.4	44	38	19	0
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	<b>3</b>		6	8.2	--	--	--	--
	<b>4</b>		9	13.4	--	--	--	--
	<b>5</b>		6	9.8	--	--	--	--
	<b>6</b>		13	20.6	69	23	8	0
<b>Foster Youth</b>	<b>3</b>		--	--	--	--	--	--
	<b>4</b>		--	--	--	--	--	--
	<b>5</b>		--	--	--	--	--	--
	<b>6</b>		--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

**School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics**  
**Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
<b>All Students</b>	<b>3</b>	73	69	94.5	35	30	26	9
	<b>4</b>	67	66	98.5	48	42	8	0
	<b>5</b>	61	61	100.0	46	33	13	8
	<b>6</b>	63	63	100.0	43	37	10	10
<b>Male</b>	<b>3</b>		33	45.2	36	24	30	9
	<b>4</b>		32	47.8	50	44	3	0
	<b>5</b>		30	49.2	33	37	17	13
	<b>6</b>		32	50.8	41	34	9	16

**School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics**  
**Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
Female	3		36	49.3	33	36	22	8
	4		34	50.7	47	41	12	0
	5		31	50.8	58	29	10	3
	6		31	49.2	45	39	10	3
Black or African American	3		8	11.0	--	--	--	--
	4		13	19.4	54	31	15	0
	5		10	16.4	--	--	--	--
	6		10	15.9	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	3		1	1.4	--	--	--	--
Asian	3		4	5.5	--	--	--	--
	4		5	7.5	--	--	--	--
	5		2	3.3	--	--	--	--
	6		3	4.8	--	--	--	--
Filipino	3		2	2.7	--	--	--	--
	4		1	1.5	--	--	--	--
	5		4	6.6	--	--	--	--
	6		1	1.6	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	3		27	37.0	30	33	26	11
	4		22	32.8	45	50	5	0
	5		18	29.5	44	39	6	11
	6		25	39.7	60	32	8	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	3		1	1.4	--	--	--	--
	4		2	3.0	--	--	--	--
	5		1	1.6	--	--	--	--
	6		1	1.6	--	--	--	--
White	3		21	28.8	24	43	24	10
	4		21	31.3	48	43	10	0
	5		23	37.7	39	35	17	9
	6		20	31.7	20	45	15	15
Two or More Races	3		5	6.8	--	--	--	--
	4		2	3.0	--	--	--	--
	5		2	3.3	--	--	--	--
	6		3	4.8	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3		61	83.6	34	34	23	8
	4		59	88.1	49	42	8	0
	5		53	86.9	47	34	9	9
	6		56	88.9	45	36	9	9

**School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics**  
**Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
English Learners	3		25	34.2	40	36	24	0
	4		19	28.4	58	42	0	0
	5		15	24.6	73	20	7	0
	6		16	25.4	63	31	0	6
Students with Disabilities	3		6	8.2	--	--	--	--
	4		9	13.4	--	--	--	--
	5		6	9.8	--	--	--	--
	6		13	20.6	54	31	8	8
Foster Youth	3		--	--	--	--	--	--
	4		--	--	--	--	--	--
	5		--	--	--	--	--	--
	6		--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

**C. Engagement**

**State Priority: Parental Involvement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

**Opportunities for Parental Involvement**

- Parent Teacher Organization (PTA)
- School Site Council (SSC)
- English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC)
- Monthly family activities
- Classroom Volunteers

For more information, contact Jessica Monroe or Laura Butler at (916) 228-5830.

**State Priority: School Climate**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates; and other local measures on the sense of safety.

**School Safety Plan**

Sacramento City Unified School District  
 Comprehensive Safe School Plan 2015-2016

- Section 1..... Crisis Communication Flow
- Section 2.....Emergency Plan: Site Level Drill and Emergency Procedures
  - (a) Lockdown Response
  - (b) Fire
  - (c) Bomb Threat, ATF Bomb Threat Checklist
  - (d) Active Shooter
  - (e) Chemical
- Section 3.....Emergency Plan: Earthquake Emergency Procedures
- Section 4..... Emergency Plan: Site Level Use of Schools as a Community Shelter \*\*
- Section 5.....District Policies Related to Safety:
  - (a) Board Policy 5021: Custodial & Parent Rights
  - (b) Mandated Child Abuse Reporting
  - (c) Missing Student Protocol



(d) Suicide Risk Assessment

Section 6.....Bullying Policies and Procedures

Section 7.....Dangerous Student Notification

Section 8.....Wellness Plan

Section 9.....District Handbook

Section 10.....Component I: Social Climate

Component II: Physical Climate/Campus

Section 11..... Emergency Plan: Site Level Incident Command System

Section 12..... Emergency Plan: Site Level Communication Procedures

Section 13.....Before and After School Programs - Coordinators and Contact Numbers / Days and Hours of Operation on campus

Section 14.....Emergency Plan: Site Level Safe Ingress & Egress Procedures

Section 15... Emergency Plan: Site Level Family Reunification Procedures

Section 16..... Emergency Plan: Site Level Provisions for Students/Staff with Special Needs

Section 17.....School Site Safety Committee Member List

Section 18.....School Site Safety Committee Records: Public Meeting and Agendas Approval of CSSP

Section 19.....Staff Handbook

Section 20.....Site Specific Documents (option to add documents)

\*\* Once designated by the Red Cross or Office of Emergency Services, the school sites will be notified and Documentation will be inserted in this section.

The Comprehensive Safe School Plans for the Sacramento City Unified School District were revised to ensure the contents of the plans were uniform and updated to address the components set forth in Section 3382 of the 2015 California Education Code. This plan is a work in progress as the Safe Schools Office and the Sacramento Police Department continue to seek the most current information and advice from subject matter experts.

The following Table of Contents were discussed and offered to Principals at all sites within the District:

Section 1.....Component I: Social Climate, Component II: Physical Climate/Campus

Section 2..... Emergency Plan: Site Level Incident Command System

Section 3..... Emergency Plan: Site Level Communication Procedures

Section 4..... Emergency Plan: Site Level Use of Schools as a Community Shelter \*\*

Section 5..... Emergency Plan: Site Level Provisions for Students/Staff with Special Needs

Section 6... Emergency Plan: Site Level Family Reunification Procedures

Section 7.....Emergency Plan: Site Level Earthquake Emergency Procedures

Section 8..... Emergency Plan: Site Level Drill Procedures: Fire, Chemical, Bomb Threat, ATF Bomb Threat Checklist, Lockdown, Active Shooter

Section 9.....Emergency Plan: Site Level Safe Ingress & Egress Procedures

Section 10.....Before and After School Programs

Section 11.....School Site Safety Committee Member List

Section 12.....School Site Safety Committee Records: Public Meeting and Agendas Approval of CSSP

Section 13.....District and Site Policies Related to Safety:

(a) Board Policy 5021: Custodial & Parent Rights

(b) Mandated Child Abuse Reporting

(c) Missing Student Protocol

Section 14.....Dangerous Student Notification to Teachers' Signature Pages (Spring & Fall)

Section 15.....Bullying Policies and Procedures

Section 16.....Wellness Plan

Section 17.....District Handbook

Section 18.....Staff Handbook

Section 19.....Site Specific Documents (option to add documents)

The revised Safety Plan was developed to bring the District up to current standards while addressing each of the components as it relates to a unique school site. This plan is a work in progress as the Safe Schools Office and the Sacramento Police Department continue to seek the most current information and advice from subject matter experts.

### Suspensions and Expulsions

School	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Suspensions Rate	3.80	1.75	6.37
Expulsions Rate	0.00	0.00	0.00
District	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Suspensions Rate	6.57	4.88	6.10
Expulsions Rate	0.02	0.03	0.02
State	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Suspensions Rate	5.07	4.36	3.80
Expulsions Rate	0.13	0.10	0.09

#### D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2014-15 Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria			
AYP Criteria	School	District	State
<b>English Language Arts</b>			
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Mathematics</b>			
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A
Made AYP Overall	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Attendance Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes	Yes

2015-16 Federal Intervention Program		
Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2012-2013	2008-2009
Year in Program Improvement	Year 2	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	53	
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	79.1	

### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade	Average Class Size			Number of Classrooms*								
				1-20			21-32			33+		
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
K	33	42	30						4	2	3	
1	30	33	31				2	1	2		1	
2	31	31	29				3	2	2			
3	33	29	29				1	3	3	1		
4	32	29	33				1	2	1	1		1
5	31	32	30				2	2	2			
6	33	30	153				1	2	1	1		2

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School	
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	
Academic Counselor	0.0000
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0.0000
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.7500
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	1.5625
Psychologist	0.0000
Social Worker	0.0000
Nurse	0.0000
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.0000
Resource Specialist	0.0000
Other	0.0000
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	0.0

\* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

### Professional Development provided for Teachers

To realize the vision of Sacramento City Unified School District, which is to fully prepare all students for college and career, it is imperative that the district provides numerous opportunities to expand the professional repertoire of its administrators and teachers.

To that end, the district has crafted a high quality professional program that is focused on ELA Common Core State Standards, Math Common Core State Standards, English Language Learners, Balanced Literacy and Instructional Strategies for Addressing the Needs of Diverse Learners. These areas of focus were derived from needs assessments coupled with the analysis of student learning of specific content and that is ongoing with follow-up opportunities, models effective practices, and uses assessments to guide and monitor progress. The learning opportunities afforded through this program deeply align with the needs of our individual schools, as well as our strategic plan, and connect to every aspect of school practice: thinking curriculum, intentional instructional practices, assessment for learning, and lesson design.

These learning experiences, which are offered during the school day and after-school are supported with on-site coaching, are in addition to the required 18-hours of professional development designated for teachers to engage in collaborative professional learning at their respective school sites. Such communities of practices, under the leadership and guidance of site administrators, afford our teachers the opportunity to deepen their content knowledge, strengthen their instructional repertoire, improve their assessment strategies, and foster a shared sense of responsibility. As a result, every teacher will help to promote and nurture a community of learners in which the individual school staff is not only enriched, but the district as a whole is enhanced.

FY 2013-14 Teacher and Administrative Salaries		
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$39,885	\$43,165
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$52,419	\$68,574
Highest Teacher Salary	\$86,028	\$89,146
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$100,430	\$111,129
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$102,617	\$116,569
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$118,237	\$127,448
Superintendent Salary	\$245,000	\$234,382
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	33%	38%
Administrative Salaries	6%	5%

\* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at [www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/](http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/).

### Types of Services Funded

Each district school receives categorical funding to provide supplemental services to assist students reach grade level proficiency and above, master grade level content standards and successfully graduate from high school. Services throughout the district include:

- Class Size Reduction
- Tutoring
- Supplemental instructional materials and books in mathematics, reading/language arts and ELD
- Extended Day/Year/ Summer school
- Enrichment programs
- Access to technology
- AVID/MESA Programs
- Parent Education/Family Nights
- Social Services (Healthy Start)
- Student/Family Primary Language Support
- Translation for Home-School Communication

FY 2013-14 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries				
Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	\$5,674	\$779.54	\$4,894.33	\$74,194.09
District	♦	♦	\$4,556	\$65,695
State	♦	♦	\$5,348	\$72,971
Percent Difference: School Site/District			-4.2	-4.8
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			-15.9	-7.6

\* Cells with ♦ do not require data.

Counseling (academic and career)  
Gifted and Talented Education (GATE)  
Special Education  
Professional Development  
Support Staff, such as nurses, instructional assistants, parent advisors, and counselors  
Supplemental Education Services  
School Choice

Please check with your child's principal to receive information regarding the services that are specific to your child's school.

**DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

**Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.