Luther Burbank High School

School Name



2014-15 School Development and Improvement Plan

Sacramento City Unified School District Strategic Plan Framework

Pillar I: Career- and College-Ready Students Pillar II: Family and Community Engagement Pillar III: Organizational Transformation

Vision:

Teachers will engage students with curriculum that is meaningful and compelling.

Principals, teachers and all school employees will believe that every child can learn and have the results to prove it.

Families, colleges, businesses and nonprofit partners will be engaged in our cause and we would be ready to benefit from their collective wisdom.

To get there, Sacramento City Unified School District has established three foundational pillars that intertwine to support a holistic approach to education: College and Career Ready Students, Family and Community Engagement, and Organizational Transformation. Luther Burbank High School will do its part in the following ways:

- Develop instruction and curriculum in language arts, math, science and social studies that captures student interest and motivates and challenges each child regardless of ability level to higher achievement.
- Invest in professional development for teachers and principals that accelerates student learning by giving students ample opportunities to critically think, work with others, solve problems, struggle with difficult tasks and enjoy school.

Mission:

To create community, appreciate diversity, teach civic responsibility and prepare students to work, learn and thrive.

Guide To Success: Site Level Metrics

		GUIDE TO	D SUCCESS FRAMEWORK OVERVIEW			
Area of Interest	Indicator	Metric		Measurement		
				2012-13	2013-14	2014-15 Target
		Schoolwide	26%			
			Black or African American	20%		
		American Indian or Alaska Native	0%			
			Asian	30%		
CAREER AND	1. State Academic		Filipino	45%		
COLLEGE READINESS	Performance	CST "proficiency" in ELA	Hispanic or Latino	27%		
			Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	15%		
			White	37%		
			Two or More Races	15%		
			Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	26%		
			English Learners	5%		
			Students with Disabilities	9%		

				Measurement							
			2012-13	2013-14	2014-15 Targe						
		Schoolwide	13%								
		Black or African American	6%								
		American Indian or Alaska Native	0%								
		Asian	20%								
		Filipino	45%								
CST "proficiency" in	CST "proficiency" in Math	Hispanic or Latino	8%								
		Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	10%								
		White	22%								
		Two or More Races	13%								
		Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	13%								
		English Learners	7%								
		Students with Disabilities	9%								
			2012-13	2013-14	2014-15 Targe						
		Schoolwide	26%								
		Black or African American	10%								
		American Indian or Alaska Native	0%								
		Asian	37%								
		Filipino	83%								
	"Proficiency" in Science	Hispanic or Latino	26%								
		Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	17%								
		White	38%								
		Two or More Races	0%								
		Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	26%								
		English Learners	7%								
			CST "proficiency" in MathFilipinoHispanic or LatinoNative Hawaiian or Pacific IslanderWhiteTwo or More RacesSocioeconomically DisadvantagedEnglish LearnersStudents with DisabilitiesSchoolwideBlack or African AmericanAmerican Indian or Alaska NativeAsianFilipinoHispanic or LatinoNative Hawaiian or Pacific IslanderWhiteTwo or More RacesSchoolwideBlack or African AmericanAmerican Indian or Alaska NativeAsianFilipinoHispanic or LatinoNative Hawaiian or Pacific IslanderWhiteTwo or More RacesSocioeconomically Disadvantaged	CST "proficiency" in MathFilipino45%Hispanic or Latino8%Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander10%White22%Two or More Races13%Socioeconomically Disadvantaged13%English Learners7%Students with Disabilities9%Black or African American10%American Indian or Alaska Native0%Asian37%Filipino83%Hispanic or Latino26%Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander17%White38%Two or More Races0%Asian37%Filipino83%Hispanic or Latino26%Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander17%White38%Two or More Races0%Socioeconomically Disadvantaged26%English Learners7%	Filipino45%Hispanic or Latino8%Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander10%White22%Two or More Races13%Socioeconomically Disadvantaged13%English Learners7%Students with Disabilities9%Black or African American10%Hispanic or Latino83%Hispanic or Latino26%Black or African American10%American Indian or Alaska Native0%Asian37%Filipino83%Hispanic or Latino26%Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander17%White38%Two or More Races0%Socioeconomically Disadvantaged26%English Learners7%						

		GUIDE TO	D SUCCESS FRAMEWORK OVERVIEW							
Area of Interest	Indicator	Metric	Measurement							
				2012-13	2013-14	2014-15 Targe				
			Schoolwide	25%						
			Black or African American	14%						
			American Indian or Alaska Native	0%						
			Asian	28%						
		"Proficiency" in History-	Filipino	33%						
		Social Sciences (pending)	Hispanic or Latino	24%						
			Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	13%						
			White	50%						
			Two or More Races	50%						
			Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	25%						
			English Learners	3%						
			Students with Disabilities	0%						
		8th grade cohort who graduate		72.3%						
	2. Post High School	Enrollment and passing rates in AP/IB/dual- credit/college prep courses*	302			80.87%				
	Preparation	On-track to meeting UC/CSU requirements (a- g)*		N/A						
		K-2 Literacy Assessment (Team desires to move to PK-12)		N/A						
	3. 21st Century Skills	Student Guide to Success (pending)		N/A						

		GUIDE TO	O SUCCESS FRAMEWORK OVERVIEW
Area of Interest	Indicator	Metric	Measurement
		Chronic absenteeism	24.49%
	4. Attendance	Staff attendance	Certificated 92.84%
		Stan attenuance	Non-Certificated 90.63%
		School Environment (staff, students, families)	N/A
ENGAGEMENT	5. School Connectedness	School Communication (staff, students, families)	N/A
		School Achievement (staff, students, families)	N/A
		Information on the five indicators	
	6. Social-Emotional Learning	Suspension Rate	suspension rate per 100 students 12.1
		Suspension Rate	Percent of school year missed (in days) from suspensions 0.15%
		CST "catch-up/keep-up" in ELA	Grd. 9 43.46%
			Grd. 10 34.52%
			Grd. 11 23.49%
			Grd. 9 27.00%
	7. State Academic	CST "catch-up/keep-up" in Math	Grd. 10 21.19%
TRANSFORMATION	Performance of Target		Grd. 11 24.28%
	Demographics	CST "catch-up/keep-up" in Science	
		CST Cohort Performance in ELA (2+ consecutive years	Grd. 10 35.20%
		at site)	Grd. 11 23.34%
		CST Cohort Performance in Math (2+ consecutive years	Grd. 10 20.07%
		at site)	Grd. 11 23.67%

		GUIDE IC	O SUCCESS FRAMEWORK OVER	VIEW						
Area of Interest	Indicator	Metric	Measurement							
		CST Cohort Performance in Science (2+ consecutive years at site)								
				Number Taken	Percent Passed	Gap				
			White	38	36.84%	N/A				
			African-American	240	19.58%	17.26%				
			Asian	340	29.71%	7.14%				
			Hispanic/Latino	463	27.00%	9.84%				
			American Indian	4	0.00%	36.84%				
			Filipino	20	50.00%	-13.16%				
			Pacific Islander	61	14.75%	22.09%				
		Achievement Gap Performance CST ELA	Two or more ethnicities	34	14.71%	22.14%				
			Non-Special Ed	1076	27.79%	N/A				
			Special Ed	124	9.68%	18.11%				
			Non-ELL	476	23.53%	N/A				
			ELL	324	4.63%	18.90%				
			Non-Foster Youth	1251	25.78%	N/A				
			Foster Youth	13	16.67%	9.12%				
			Non-FRPL							
			FRPL	1200	25.92%	N/A				

Area of Interest	Indicator	Metric		Measurement						
				Number Taken	Percent Passed	Gap				
			White	37	21.62%	N/A				
			African-American	218	5.50%	16.12%				
			Asian	339	20.06%	1.56%				
			Hispanic/Latino	443	8.35%	13.27%				
			American Indian	3	0.00%	21.62%				
			Filipino	20	45.00%	-23.38%				
			Pacific Islander	60	10.00%	11.62%				
		Achievement Gap Performance CST Math	Two or more ethnicities	33	12.12%	9.50%				
			Non-Special Ed	1046	12.91%	N/A				
			Special Ed	107	8.41%	4.50%				
			Non-ELL	445	8.76%	N/A				
			ELL	312	6.73%	2.03%				
			Non-Foster Youth	1251	12.15%	N/A				
			Foster Youth	13	7.69%	4.46%				
			Non-FRPL							
			FRPL	1153	12.49%	N/A				
	8. Post High School Performance of Target	8th Grade Graduation "Cohort Performance"								

		GUIDE TO	D SUCCESS FRAMEWORK OVERVIEW
Area of Interest	Indicator	Metric	Measurement
			Number Taken Percent Passed Gap
			White
			African-American
			Asian
			Hispanic/Latino
		F	American Indian
			Filipino
			Pacific Islander
		Grade Graduation	Two or more ethnicities
			Non-Special Ed
			Special Ed
			ELL
			Non-Foster Youth
			Foster Youth
			Non-FRPL
			FRPL
		AP/IB Courses "Cohort Performance"	

		GUIDE TO		RVIEW						
Area of Interest	Indicator	Metric	Measurement							
				Number Taken	Percent Passed	Gap				
			White							
		-	African-American							
			Asian							
			Hispanic/Latino							
			American Indian							
			Filipino							
		Achievement Gap AP/IB	Pacific Islander							
		Enrollment	Two or more ethnicities							
			Non-Special Ed							
			Special Ed							
			ELL							
			Non-Foster Youth							
			Foster Youth							
			Non-FRPL							
		FRPL								

		GUIDE TO	SUCCESS FRAMEWORK OVER	RVIEW						
Area of Interest	Indicator	Metric	Measurement							
				Number Taken	Percent Passed	Gap				
			White							
		Achievement Gap AP/IB Passing	African-American							
			Asian							
			Hispanic/Latino							
			American Indian							
			Filipino							
			Pacific Islander							
			Two or more ethnicities							
			Non-Special Ed							
			Special Ed							
			ELL							
			Non-Foster Youth							
			Foster Youth							
			Non-FRPL							
			FRPL							
		UC/CSU On-Track Catch-up and Keep-Up		N/A						
		UC/CSU On-Track "Cohort Performance"		N/A						
		Achievement GapUC/CSU On Track		N/A						
		Literacy "Catch-up and Keep Up"		N/A						

	GUIDE TO SUCCESS FRAMEWORK OVERVIEW							
Area of Interest	Indicator	Metric	Measurement					
		Literacy Cohort Performance (2+ consecutive years at site)	N/A					
		Achievement Gap Performance Literacy	N/A					

Groups	2011 Base	2012 Growth	2011-12 Growth Target	2011-12 Growth	Met Target	2012 Base	2013 Growth	2012-13 Growth Target	2012-13 Growth	Met Target	2013 Base	2014 Growth	2013-14 Growth Target	2013-14 Growth	Met Target
Schoolwide	677	667	6	-10	No	668	678	7	10	Yes					
Black or African American	607	597	10	-10	No	599	599	10	0	No					
American Indian or Alaska Native															
Asian	719	707	5	-12	No	707	734	5	27	Yes					
Filipino	718	798				803	840								
Hispanic or Latino	658	648	7	-10	No	649	668	8	19	Yes					
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	593	587				588	618								
White	697	755				756	733								
Two or More Races	699	725				725	660								
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	678	665	6	-13	No	666	678	7	12	Yes					
English Learners	659	663	7	4	No	663	652	7	-11	No					
Students with Disabilities	490	433	16	-57	No	436	501	18	65	Yes					

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Components – Luther Burbank High School (PI Status:)

Component 1: Participation Rate

				Englis	h Languag	e Arts				Mathematics								
Category		2012			2013		2014		2012			2013			2014			
	Rate	Met AYP Criteria	Alt. Method	Rate	Met AYP Criteria	Alt. Method	Rate	Met AYP Criteria	Alt. Method	Rate	Met AYP Criteria	Alt. Method	Rate	Met AYP Criteria	Alt. Method	Rate	Met AYP Criteria	Alt. Method
AYP Target	95.0			95.0			95.0			95.0			95.0			95.0		
Schoolwide	99	Yes		99	Yes					99	Yes		99	Yes	SH			
Black or African American	98	Yes	ER	98	Yes	ER				98	Yes	ER	99	Yes	ER			
American Indian or Alaska Native	100			100						100			100					
Asian	100	Yes		100	Yes					99	Yes		100	Yes				
Filipino	100			100						100			100					
Hispanic or Latino	100	Yes		99	Yes					100	Yes		98	Yes				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	95			100						100			100					
White	100			100						100			100					
Two or More Races	100		N/A	100						100		N/A	100					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	100	Yes		99	Yes					100	Yes		99	Yes				
English Learners	100	Yes		100	Yes					100	Yes		99	Yes				
Students with Disabilities	99			99						99			98					

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Components – Luther Burbank High School (PI Status:)

Component 2: Percent Proficient – Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs)

		English Language Arts									Mathematics							
Category		2012			2013			2014			2012			2013			2014	
	Percent Proficient	Met AYP Criteria	Alt. Method	Percent Proficient	Met AYP Criteria	Alt. Method	Percent Proficient	Met AYP Criteria	Alt. Method	Percent Proficient	Met AYP Criteria	Alt. Method	Percent Proficient	Met AYP Criteria	Alt. Method	Percent Proficient	Met AYP Criteria	Alt. Method
AYP Target (ES/MS)	78.4			100						79.0			100					
AYP Target (HS)	77.8			100						77.4			100					
Schoolwide	33.3	No		36.8	No					46.1	No		54.2	Yes	SH			
Black or African American	30.9	No		23.0	No					26.5	No		28.0	No				
American Indian or Alaska Native																		
Asian	32.3	No		42.6	Yes	SH				65.4	No		73.5	Yes	SH			
Filipino																		
Hispanic or Latino	32.9	No		37.1	Yes	SH				39.7	No		49.6	Yes	SH			
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	12.5			26.1						35.3			39.1					
White				57.1									64.3					
Two or More Races	47.4		N/A							42.1		N/A						
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	33.0	No		36.8	No					46.2	No		54.2	Yes	SH			
English Learners	27.8	No		24.9	No					49.6	No		53.1	No				
Students with Disabilities	22.0			21.1						20.0			26.8					

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Components – Luther Burbank High School (PI Status:)

<u>Component 3</u>: Academic Performance Index (API) Additional Indicator for AYP **Component 4: Graduation Rate**

		2012			2013		2014		2012		2013			2014				
Category	2011 Growth API	Met AYP Criteria	Alt. Method	2012 Growth API	Met AYP Criteria	Alt. Method	2013 Growth API	Met AYP Criteria	Alt. Method	2011 Grad. Rate (Class of 2009-10)	Met Grad. Rate Criteria	Alt. Method	2012 Grad. Rate (Class of 2010–11)	Met Grad. Rate Criteria	Alt. Method	2013 Grad. Rate (Class of 2011-12)	Met Grad. Rate Criteria	Alt. Method
AYP Target	710			740			770											
Schoolwide	677	No		668	Yes					73.83	Yes		79.22	Yes				

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Safe Harbor Targets for Luther Burbank High School

Using 2014 Percent Proficient to Determine Minimum Safe Harbor Percent

		English-Language Arts								Mathematics							
GROUPS	Valid Scores	Number At or Above Proficient	Percent At or Above Proficient	Met 2014 AYP Criteria	Alt. Method	Percent Not Proficient	Safe Harbor Percent Growth Goal	Safe Harbor Percent Target	Valid Scores	Number At or Above Proficient	Percent At or Above Proficient	Met 2014 AYP Criteria	Alt. Method	Percent Not Proficient	Safe Harbor Percent Growth Goal	Safe Harbor Percent Target	
Schoolwide																	
Black or African American																	
American Indian or Alaska Native																	
Filipino																	
Hispanic or Latino																	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander																	
Two or More																	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged																	
English Learners																	
Students with Disabilities																	

2014 AYP Percent Proficient Targets

ES: ELA: 100% / Math: 100%

MS: ELA: 100% / Math: 100%

HS: ELA: 100% / Math: 100%

The SDIP Process

Describe the process that the school has gone through in compiling the SDIP – this page is included so that all stakeholders are reminded of the collaborative process and in order that anyone reading the document is aware that school development and improvement is through a collaborative approach.



IMPLEMENTATION

- [X] Gather data
- [X] Analyze, reflect upon & discuss data
- [X] Find Big Messages from Data
- [X] Organize Big Messages by Common themes
- [X] Identify 2-3 priorities from Big Messages
- [X] Plan actions to support prioritiesf
- [X] Merge actions, create time line and identify funding
- [X] Design evaluation process and structures
- [X] Inclusive list and dates of collaborative stakeholder meetings

Big Messages

From our analysis of current school context, the following BIG messages were identified: There is a need to maintain the small learning community structure in order to sustain a supportive and rigorous academic environment. There is a need to establish more consistent time and focus for SLC meetings. There is a need to establish more consistent time and focus for department meetings. There is a need for continued professional development focused on literacy and rigor in the content areas. There is a need to support and expand the IB program. There is a need to foster meaningful parent engagement through Parent University. There is a need to continue and expand the Home Visit program. There is a need to expand the role of the college and career center and insure the inclusion of English Learners. There is a need to maintain a safe and orderly campus environment. There is a need to continue efforts to effectively fully include Special Education students in general education classes. There is a need to develop the learning center and provide greater opportunities for special education students to succeed at high levels. There is a need to continue to support extended day classes and relevant enrichment activities. There is a need to maintain smaller student to counselor ratios. There is a need to maintain smaller student to teacher ratios. There is a need to continue to support and provide interventions for English Learners. There is a need to train teachers in effective strategies for English Learners. There is a need to expand student opportunities to engage with the community through internships and mentoring programs. There is a need to address chronically absent students. There is a need to ensure complete inclusion for college information. There is a need to continue to improve structures in the learning center. There is a need to train all teachers in the Common Core Curriculum and strategies. There is a need to train teachers in social emotional curriculum and strategies to improve student engagement, relationships and motivation.

Priority 1:	Create and improve structures which foster productive collaborative relationships with students, community and among teachers.				
Priority 2:	Maintain and continue to improve campus atmosphere and culture conducive to academic achievement				
Priority 3: Provide learning structures and opportunities for the advancement of all learners with a focus on implementation of Common Cor					

2014-15 Allocations of Categorical Funds

Title I	LCFF – F/R	LCFF - EL	QEIA	Other: QEIA Indirect Cost	Other:
\$268,991	\$390,868	\$102,246	\$1,592,000	(\$68,701)	

Parent Engagement and Partnership Activities To Reach School Priority Goal

To directly support school priority goals (academic, non-academic, climate) in the School Development and Improvement Plan (SDIP), the plan should include a Parent Engagement and Partnership component. The component develops programs, training, and activities in the six Epstein areas below to support the school improvement goal.

Parenting

To reach school priority goal, strategies should be developed to help parents understand how they can assist their children. If the priority goal is to increase reading scores, the school should offer parenting workshops to help instill in children a love of reading, or workshops to help parents understand the content standards in the area of reading and how they can help.

Communication

To reach school priority goal, strategies should be developed to help parents with the information and the vision of the benefits of the improvement goal. If the improvement goal is to increase math scores, a communication strategy may include stories about the importance of learning math in the school's newsletter or an awareness campaign that culminates in recognition awards.

Home Learning

To reach school priority goal, strategies should be developed that improve the teacher-parent relationship, and that helps the parent to know how to more effectively collaborate and support classroom learning.

Volunteering

To reach school priority goal, strategies should be developed that solicit the assistance of parents in areas that will help the school reach their priority goal. If the school improvement goal is to improve safety in the campus, parent volunteers could be solicited to be hall monitors.

Decision Making

To reach school priority goal, strategies should be developed to strengthen and involve parent and community leaders to partner with the school to achieve all goal. Parent leaders should assist the school in encouraging other parents to provide needed support

Community

To reach school priority goal, strategies should be developed to solicit support from community organizations, local businesses, etc. Partnering with a local business, for example, could provide the school with needed money for projects, resources or volunteers with special kinds of expertise.

LCAP Goals and Sub Goals

Goal 1: Increase percent of students who are on track ot graduate college and career ready.

Sub Goal 1:1 Provide standards-aligned curriculum, assessments and high quality instruction to prepare students to graduate college and career ready.

Sub Goal 1.2: Provide a variety of learning supports including differentiated instruction and interventions for all students as needed.

Sub Goal 1.3: Develop an infrastructure for on-going analysis of student performance and progress by providing teacher release time and collaborative learning time.

Goal 2: Schools will provide students with a clean, healthy, physically and emotionally safe learning environment.

Sub Goal 2.1: Students will be provided cleaner, better maintained learning environments.

Sub Goal 2.2: All schools will become safer, more culturally competent environments, where students learn social and emotional skills and receive additional supports to increase their engagement in learning.

Sub Goal 2.3: Schools will provide more varied opportunities for students to become interested in school and learning through technology based activities, project based learning, extended extracurricular, and expanded learning program involvement.

Goal 3: Parents, family and community stakeholders will become more fully engaged as partners in the education of students in SCUSD

Sub Goal 3.1: Stakeholders will have improved opportunities to participate in district/site activities that increase their skills as partners in education.

Sub Goal 3.2 Stakeholders will receive improved district and site communications, including translation/interpretation services.

Whole School Priority #1

Priority Goal 1

Create and improve structures which foster productive collaborative relationships with students, community and among teachers.

Action	Personnel	Budget	LCAP Goal	Success Criteria	Parent Engagement and Partnership Activities	Ongoing Monitoring
Establish dedicated SLC Common Planning Time for 30 minutes each week. Use SLC Common Planning Time: Collaboration among teachers regarding inclusion of students with special needs Analyze student data Review student progress	SLC teachers			Int 1 By January: Have conducted weekly SLC CPT Master Schedule completed. Students in need of intervention identified in first quarter Structures for delivery of SEL established	Communicate with parents and update as appropriate by: Teacher phone calls Parent meetings with counselors and teachers Parent University Home Visits	Reported as implemented
 Identify students in need of interventions Plan SLC parent engagement activities Create CAHSEE and SBAC testing structures Communicate with parents through phone calls Strengthen thematic identity of each SLC 				Int 2 By March: Have conducted weekly SLC CPT College visits by all SLCs Students in need of intervention identified by semester Master schedule revised Curriculum identified and training begun.	Meetings with parents Phone calls Parent University Home Visits	College visit numbers
 Create master schedule for each SLC Plan SLC Recognitions Train teachers in SEL curriculum 				End By June: Have conducted weekly CPT Multiple college visits by all SLCs SEL curriculum established. Training underway. Inititial lessons delivered.		

Action	Personnel	Budget	LCAP Goal	Success Criteria	Parent Engagement and Partnership Activities	Ongoing Monitoring
 SLC Interventions Home Visitation to provide early comprehensive guidance -9th & 10th Support the development of relationships as a critical element of student success Hire 5.0 counselors to provide intervention services and support development of college going culture Hire staff to create SLC purity in core content areas and meet requirements of QEIA Grant Deliver and support SEL curriculum Indirect Cost 	Parent Engagement Counselor 2.4 FTE Counselor 0.6 FTE Teacher 14.5 FTE District	TTL I \$8,322 QEIA \$234,553 Title 1 \$57,022 QEIA \$ 1,116,516 QEIA \$68,701		Int 1 By January train counselors and teachers in preparation of visiting all incoming Freshmen before Sept 2014. Hire all staff Hire all counselors	Meetings with parents Back to School Night Phone calls Infinite Campus grades	Visit numbers
				Int 2 Int 1		
				End Same		

Whole School Priority #2

Priority Goal 2

Maintain and continue to improve campus atmosphere and culture conducive to academic achievement

Action	Personnel	Budget	LCAP Goal	Success Criteria	Parent Engagement and Partnership Activities	Ongoing Monitoring
 Hire Parent Adviser to communicate with parents regarding behavior interventions. Institute school wide behavior expectations and walk-in procedures. Burbank Urban Garden (BUG) 	Parent Advisor 0.3 FTE Administrati on	QEIA \$22,982		Int 1 By January: Parent advisor hired Behavior expectations, walk-in procedures implemented. Procedures for hall monitors implemented Conflict resolution ongoing	Meetings with parents	Data review with Site Council
 ROTC leadership program Continue to develop Ambassador program School spirit activities Seasonal rallies CERT Class Extended Day Leadership Classes Increase participation in Associated Student Body to foster positive school atmosphere. Hire Student Outreach Worker 	Emily Catlett Eunice Roland .875 FTE	QEIA \$61,271		Int 2 By March: Identify and train students for Ambassador Program		Suspension data Attendance data Tardy data Attendance Data
Hire Restorative Practice Coordinator Implement Peer Court Intervention	1.0 FTE	QEIA \$77,728		Int 1 Reduction in gang activity, suspensions and disciplinary referrals . Attendance improvement.		

The Single Plan for Student Achievement Realizing the Vision

Action	Personnel	Budget	LCAP Goal	Success Criteria	Parent Engagement and Partnership Activities	Ongoing Monitoring
				Int 2		
Implement SEL Curriculum				Int 1		
Train all teachers in curriculum during SLC CPT						
Teach curriculum to English 9 and geograpy classes						

Whole School Priority #3

Priority Goal 3

Provide learning structures and opportunities for the advancement of all learners with a focus on implementation of Common Core

Action	Personnel	Budget	LCAP Goal	Success Criteria	Parent Engagement and Partnership Activities	Ongoing Monitoring
 Provide advanced learning opportunities for high achieving students IB training for teachers to continue and expand IB course offerings. 	IB Coordinator Staff Developmen t	TTL I \$10,000		Int 1 By January: Students scheduled Teachers registered for training Fees budget identified Students identified		
 IB Curriculum and Assessments to provide students and teachers with feedback on curriculum and instruction Identify incoming students 				Int 2 By March: Teachers trained Meetings scheduled	Parent University	IB Coordinator Administration
 who could benefit from IB curriculum Train IB teachers Provide for IB registration and testing fees IB teachers meet to collaborate and norm expectations 				End By June: All identified teachers trained		

Action	Personnel	Budget	LCAP Goal	Success Criteria	Parent Engagement and Partnership Activities	Ongoing Monitoring
Create learning structures			1.2	Int 1	ELAC Meetings	Lead teachers, Administration
that supports English Learners				By January: Students scheduled into		
 Create double period classes 				block classes CELDT tests administered by EL		
 Create double period classes for students who score 				Coordinator.		
intermediate or below in	Teacher	LCFF LEP		Parent adviser hired		
CELDT	.8 FTE	\$ 92,018		7th period class scheduled College trips planned		
 Provide bilingual tutors to 				Teachers hired		
 Provide bilingual tutors to support student success 	BIA Intern	LCFF F/R		Cohort 8 meetings held		
		\$20,000		Classroom monitoring scheduled and done		
Field tring to enhance learning						
Field trips to enhance learning achievement		LCFF LEP				
		\$2,000				
Supplementary resource materials, books, software and update		LCFF LEP				
bilingual lab with equipment and		\$8,228				
software purchase		LCFF F/R \$5,409				
		Title I				
		\$14,377				
		QEIA \$10,249				
Consolidate beginner and		\$10,249				
intermediate English Learners into one SLC to ensure quality						
Taabing and Learning	SLC Lead					
Teaching and Learning Staff Development	Teacher					
Provide double period 9th grade						
English classes and smaller class sizes						
	Teachers 3.3 FTE	LCFF F/R \$311,836				
	5.5 TTL	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
 Develop and provide training 	Teachers	Title I				
on engagement and	1.9 FTE	\$162,371				
processing strategies	Admin.					
The Single Dian for Student Achiever	Department			Dago 28		7/8/2014
The Single Plan for Student Achievem Realizing the Vision	ୟ0ordinator			Page 28		//8/2014
	Department	Title 1				
	facilitators	\$16,899				
1						

Action	Personnel	Budget	LCAP Goal	Success Criteria	Parent Engagement and Partnership Activities	Ongoing Monitoring
 School-wide writing assessment and group grading Math curriculum development focused on common core. 	Administrati on			Int 2 By March: Ongoing	Phone calls to parents	Lead teachers Extended day program coordinator
Social Science summer curriculum development for common core				End By June: Process evaluation		
Implementation of EAP math curriculum						
Develop student teacher program with Sacramento State to increase classroom and learning center support						
Weekly department collaboration focused in Common Core strategies						
Provide training opportunities for classified staff and instructional				Int 1 Jan: Training provided		Assistant Principal
aids				Int 2 Mar: Training provided		

Action	Personnel	Budget	LCAP Goal	Success Criteria	Parent Engagement and Partnership Activities	Ongoing Monitoring
 Classified staff receive annual trainings on Title IX, SBAC, and CAHSEE testing procedures. Classified staff participate in multiple home visit trainings throughout the year. Classified staff participate in a CPR training once a year. 				End Training provided		
•Hire Parent Advisor to facilitate non English speaking parent engagement.		LCFF F/R \$53,623	3.2	Int 1 Improve fluency and close assessment scores		
				Int 1		
				Int 1		

School Site Council Membership: Luther Burbank High School

Education Code Section 64001 requires that the SPSA be reviewed and updated at least annually, including proposed expenditures of funds allocated to the through the Consolidated Application, by the school site council. The current make-up of the council is as follows:

Name of Members	Principal	Classroom Teacher	Other School Staff	Parent or Community Member	Secondary Students
Ted Appel	[X]	[]	[]	[]	[]
Delilah Bueno Banks	[]	[]	[X]	[]	[]
Flor Pedraza	[]	[]	[]	[X]	[]
Teresa Hernandez	[]	[]	[]	[X]	[]
Sherry Jackson Curtis	[]	[]	[]	[X]	[]
Izamar Flores	[]	[]	[]	[]	[X]
Sarah Lanning	[]	[X]	[]	[]	[]
William Schmidt	[]	[]	[]	[]	[X]
Kajol Sharma	[]	[]	[]	[]	[X]
Alejandro Gomez	[]	[]	[]	[]	[X]
John Hull	[]	[X]	[]	[]	[]
Nichole Scrivner (Secretary)	[]	[X]	[]	[]	[]
Keoni Chock	[]	[X]	[]	[]	[]
Aaron McClatchy	[]	[X]	[]	[]	[]
Rory Jones (Chair)	[]	[X]	[]	[]	[]
Pam Buric	[]	[X]	[]	[]	[]
Numbers of members of each category	1	7	1	3	4

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

Sacramento City Unified School District School Development and Improvement Plan 2014-15 Recommendations and Assurances

School Luther Burbank High School

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and its related expenditures to the district governing board for approval, and assures the board of the following:

- 1. The Schoolsite Council is correctly constituted, and was formed to accordance with district governing board policy and state law
- 2. The Schoolsite Council reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the school plan requiring board approval.
- 3. The Schoolsite Council sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan (check those that apply)
 - [X] English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC)
 - [] Community Advisory Committee for Special Education Programs
 - [] Gifted and Talented Education Program Advisory Committee
 - [] Other (list)
- 4. This School Development and Improvement Plan is based upon a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance
- 5. This School Development and Improvement Plan was adopted by the Schoolsite Council on: 12-2-2013

Attested:

Ted Appel

Typed Name of School Principal

Rory Jones

Typed Name of SSC Chairperson

6-3-2014 Signature of School Principal Signature of SSC Chairperson

Date

Date

Sacramento City Unified School District School Development and Improvement Plan 2014-15 **Programs and Funding Resources**

Luther Burbank High School School:

Programs and Resources included in the School Development and Improvement Plan:

[X]	Title I (Schoolwide Program) (including carryover)	[X]	QEIA (Quality Education Investment Act)
[]	Title I (Targeted Assistance) (including carryover)	[]	Art, Music and PE Grant (Carryover)
[X]	Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) – Free & Reduced	[]	Arts & Music Grant (Carryover)
[]	Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) – English Learner	[]	Other Grants:
[X]	Program Improvement (PI)	[]	Other Grants:
[]	Discretionary Block Grant (Carryover)	[]	Other Grants:
		[]	Other Grants:

This School Development and Improvement Plan has been reviewed and approved by the school staff and the Schoolsite Council (SSC). It will be submitted to the SCUSD Board of Education for approval and implementation in the 2014-15 school year.

Rory Jones

SSC Chairperson's Name Typed Flor Pedraza

ÉLAC Chairperson's Name Typed

Ted Appel

Principal's Name Typed

6-2-2014

Date

Date

Date

6-3-4

SSC Chairperson's Signature

ELAC Chairperson's Signature Principa Signature