# African American Achievement Taskforce DRAFT 

Meeting \#6

October 30, 2018
Serna Center

## Agenda

1. Welcome and Review
2. Review of Roles, Responsibilities, and Commitments
3. Refined data for Sacramento City Unified School District
4. Sponsor Principal Focus Group
5. Process Focus Group Learnings
6. Design Upcoming Focus Groups - Teachers, Students, Parents
7. Public Comment
8. Plus/Delta
9. Wrap Up

Every system is perfectly designed to get the results that it gets

SCUSD CORE VALUE
We recognize that our system is inequitable by design and we vigilantly work to confront and interrupt inequities that exist to level the playing field and provide opportunities for everyone to learn, grow and reach their greatness.

## SCUSD GUIDING PRINCIPLE

All students are given
an equal opportunity to graduate
with the greatest number of postsecondary choices
from the widest array of options

## Defining Equity, Access and Social Justice

- Equity, Access, and Social Justice requires displaying data in real-time in such a way that data triggers a moral call to action irrespective of parent advocacy or (in)ability to navigate our Sac City Unified School District
- Equity, Access, and Social Justice act as a "check and balance" to ensure that "downdrafting" practices, procedures, processes, and traditions are identified and researched to determine the purpose of their continued use
- Equity, Access, and Social Justice is about respecting the value of data and establishing a continuous improvement and accountability framework that is transparent and looks at data by student, by name, by need, and by inequity and injustice!


## Taskforce Member Expectations

- Commit to consistent and regular attendance and complete required pre-work and homework assignments
- Remain engaged during all work sessions
- Respect the advisory nature of the taskforce
- Be a critical friend but remain hopeful that the taskforce work will accomplish something important
- Other?


## Task Force Members

- Julius Austin
- Benita Ayala
- Dr. Stacey Ault
- Lynn Berkley-Baskin
- Mike Breverly
- Cassandra Jennings
- Gail Johnson
- Michael Lynch
- Dr. Hazel Mahone
- Hasan McWhorter
- Cecile Nunley
- Jackie Rose
- Marcus Strother
- Gavin Veiga
- Nayzak Wali-Ali
- Darryl White
- Kim Williams

Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency SCUSD parent of student with disabilities California State University, Sacramento Sacramento NAACP SEIU

Greater Sacramento Urban League
Father Keith B. Kenny Elementary School Improve Your Tomorrow
Vision 2000
Sacramento City Teachers Association
Retired School District Chief Business Officer Focus on the Family
Youth Development
SCUSD Student Representative
Sacramento Youth Commissioner
Black Parallel School Board
Building Healthy Communities

# Refined Comparative Data 

Graduation Rates
CSU/UC Eligibility
Teacher Demographics
Number of Students Per Grade Level
Suspension Rates
Data excludes independent charter schools

## 2016-17 SCUSD African American Student Graduation by Gender, Disability, and Foster Youth



|  | Cohort Students | Regular HS Diploma Graduates | Cohort Graduation Rate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| African American Male Students | 230 | 146 | $63 \%$ |
| African American Female Students | 225 | 174 | $77 \%$ |
| African American Male Students w/Disability | 59 | 23 | $39 \%$ |
| African American Female Students w/Disability | 40 | 22 | $55 \%$ |

# 2016-17 SCUSD African American Graduates Completing UC/CSU Coursework by Gender, Disability, and Foster Youth 



Source: CDE Cohort Outcome Data via the Tech Services Department. Foster Youth not included to protect student privacy, due to having less than 11 students in a category.
Note: This UC/CSU coursework completion information is based on the 4-year adjusted cohort. Beginning with the 2016-17 school year, the CDE now reports the number of students who graduated who with a regular high school diploma and who also completed coursework that makes them eligible for UC/CSU admission. Prior to 2016-17, the CDE only reported UC/CSU coursework completion rates based on the 1-year graduation rate. Because the 1-year rate includes graduates who took more than 4 years to graduate, the UC/CSU rate based on this data did not reflect the impact of school's efforts to prepare their students for postsecondary choices and options as well as the UC/CSU completion rate based on the 4-year adjusted cohort data.


SBAC ELA
Standard Met or Standard Exceeded
60.0\%


2016-17 SBAC ELA Meets/Exceeds Standards Percentage for Students with 96\% or Greater Attendance, With No Suspensions, and Who Are Not Socioeconomically Disadvantaged


Students in district and dependent charter schools. The "Not Socioeconomically Disadvantaged" group here includes students whose parents have a high school or higher education diploma, and do not participate in the free or reduced lunch program. American Indian or Alaskan Native group not reported due to group size < 11.

2016-17 SBAC Math Meets/Exceeds Standards Percentage for Students with 96\% or Greater Attendance, With No Suspensions, and Who Are Not Socioeconomically Disadvantaged


Students in district and dependent charter schools. The "Not Socioeconomically Disadvantaged" group here includes students whose parents have a high school or higher education diploma, and do not participate in the free or reduced lunch program. American Indian or Alaskan Native, and Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander groups not reported due to group sizes < 11 .

## 2016-17 SBAC ELA Meets/Exceeds Standards Percentage for Students with Less Than 96\% Attendance, At Least One Suspension, and Who Are Socioeconomically Disadvantaged



Students in district and dependent charter schools. The "Not Socioeconomically Disadvantaged" group here includes students whose parents have a high school or higher education diploma, and do not participate in the free or reduced lunch program. American Indian or Alaskan Native, Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, Filipino, and Two or More Races groups not reported due to group sizes < 11 .

2016-17 SBAC Math Meets/Exceeds Standards Percentage for Students with Less Than 96\% Attendance, At Least One Suspension, and Who Are Socioeconomically Disadvantaged


Students in district and dependent charter schools. The "Not Socioeconomically Disadvantaged" group here includes students whose parents have a high school or higher education diploma, and do not participate in the free or reduced lunch program. American Indian or Alaskan Native, Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, Filipino, and Two or More Races groups not reported due to group sizes < 11 .

2017-18 Teacher Demographics by Gender \& Ethnicity


|  | Native <br> Hawailan <br> or Pacific <br> Islander | American <br> Indian or <br> Alaska <br> Native | Two or <br> More <br> Races | Black or <br> African <br> American | Hispanic | Asian | White |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 3 | 10 | 22 | 31 | 83 | 74 | 399 |
| Female | 4 | 14 | 47 | 78 | 165 | 236 | 778 |

Percentage of 2017-18 SCUSD Teachers
by Ethnicity and Race


## 2017-18 African American Census Day Enrollment



Students in district and dependent charter schools

SCUSD Suspension Rate - African American Students
2016-17


[^0]SCUSD Suspension Rate - African American Students
2016-17



2016-17 SCUSD African American Student Suspension by Gender, Disability, and Foster Youth


| Demographic or Program Catego | Ethnicity | Cumulative <br> Enrollment | Total Suspensions | Unduplicated <br> Count of <br> Students <br> Suspended | Suspension <br> Rate | \% of Students with One Suspension | \% of Students with Multiple Suspensions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male Students | African American | 3581 | 1914 | 690 | 19\% | 47\% | 53\% |
| Male Students with Disability | African American | 4638 | 761 | 570 | 12\% | 39\% | 61\% |
| Male Foster Youth | African American | 146 | 76 | 43 | 29\% | 25\% | 75\% |
| Female Students | African American | 3374 | 639 | 306 | 9\% | 57\% | 43\% |
| Female Students with Disability | African American | 2269 | 152 | 144 | 6\% | 61\% | 39\% |
| Female Foster Youth | African American | 131 | 29 | 15 | 11\% | 38\% | 63\% |

## Development of Problem Statement

- What does the analysis of our District's data say relative to the needs of African American students?

1. Consistent Underperformance (academic achievement indicators)
2. Disproportionate Discipline, Suspension, Expulsion Rates
3. Under preparation for college and career opportunities

- What is the data telling us about how effective our past efforts are in helping achieve this Initiative's objectives?


## Culture and Climate

1. Suspension rate is..
2. Chronic Absenteeism rate is $\qquad$ for AA students.
3. Staffing - need to make sure that teachers are competent, present

- Hiring of AA teachers

4. Teacher preparation responsibility

- More professional learning with new teachers

5. Cultural proficiency, growth mindset, adult SEL
6. Reassess teacher roles to ensure opportunity for PL, relationship building, classroom management, etc.
7. Leadership capacity building on relationship building
8. Mentoring - MLA and others that provide focus,
9. Mentoring- for girls WLA
10. Opportunities for hands on learning, ROP, and opportunities for CTE opportunities
11. Professional development (for teachers and principals)

- Implicit/explicit bias training
- Facilitating groups/staff
- Knowledge and awareness of SWD's and with IEPs


## Culture and Climate

12. Student's sense of safety and belonging (e.g. in rigorous academic courses)
13. Lack of cultural appreciation
14. Coordination of practices across schools/segments (e.g. zero tolerance,)
15. Restorative practices as a process not an action - Additional work
16. Resource inventory (who are the content experts that we know and have access to,)
17. Outreach and engagement of our AA families

## Potential Next Steps

- Rank odder these
- Apply these root causes to problem statements
- May need to see where a root cause applies to multiple areas
- Place items in a google doc for task force to make additions/revisions
- Consider the gamut of AA students (those who are not being suspended, chronically absent, but who are underperforming)

Academic Achievement

## "Homework"

1. Study the provided resources
2. Identify, list and be prepared to share possible "root causes" of both the Academic Achievement and Culture and Climate problem statements

## "Homework" Resources

## Culture \& Climate

- Suspensions Rates by Districts in Sacramento County*
- https://www.newsreview.com/sacramento/why-is-sacramento-failing-its/content?oid=26402481
- Why is Sacramento Failing its Black Students
- https://www.newsreview.com/sacramento/why-is-sacramento-failing-its/content?oid=26402481
- Racial Disproportionality in School Discipline: Implicit Bias is Heavily Implicated
- http://kirwaninstitute.osu.edu/racial-disproportionality-in-school-discipline-implicit-bias-is-heavily-implicated/
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services: Policy Statement on Expulsion and Suspension Policies in Early Childhood Settings
- https://www2.ed.gov/policy/gen/guid/school-discipline/policy-statement-ece-expulsions-suspensions.pdf


## Academic Achievement

- The Trouble with Black Boys: The Role and Influence of Environment and Cultural Factors on the Academic Performance of African American Males by Dr. Pedro Noguera
- http://www.inmotionmagazine.com/er/pntroub1.html
- Black Minds Matter: Supporting the Educational Success of Black Children in California - Full Report (Supports both Problem Statements)
- https://29v0kg31gs803wndhe1sj1hd-wpengine.netdna-ssl.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/3/2015/10/Ed-Trust-West-Black-Minds-Matter-FINAL-PDF.pdf
- Black Minds Matter: Supporting the Educational Success of Black Children in California Recommendations
- https://29v0kg31gs803wndhe1sj1hd-wpengine.netdna-ssl.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/3/2015/10/Black-Minds-Matter-Report-REC.pdf


## Link to Google Doc

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1BNh00 wbHUXadUzPZ6AGAF3MtceskrPk0ME7X5Y75cF Q/edit?ts=5bc9779b

## Focus Group Development

1. Create the profile of appropriate focus group targets:
a. who do we want to attend the focus groups
b. do we want people who are deeply passionate about this type of work or others who might be the opposition
c. we need to think about how do we frame the focus group process
d. do we want them to respond to specific questions or do we want their feedback on preliminary recommendations
2. Types of Focus Groups
a. Teachers and Principals - Consider sites with the greatest achievement gaps/lowest achievement and sites with high student achievement for all student groups/smallest achievement gaps
b. Chris Chatmon - content expert in this work (Oakland Unified office of Equity) both male and female perspectives - invite district staff from Oakland/Hayward
c. A focus group on leaders with a successful track record of improving achievement (e.g. Gail Johnson)
d. A group of leaders/schools who have struggled to achieve success - can they help us better understand the barriers to success or can we enlist them differently
e. Students
f. Teachers
g. Families

Focus Group

## Key Learnings from Focus Group

# Design Upcoming Focus Groups 1. Teacher <br> 2. Student <br> 3. Parents 

Public Comment

## PLUS/DELTA

## Wrap Up

- Next Meeting
- Wednesday, November $7^{\text {th }}-6-8 P M$ Serna
- Meeting day will be Tuesdays into December except for:
- Skip the Tuesday of Thanksgiving Week (No meeting on November $20^{\text {th }}$ )
- Board updates will occur during the process
- November $15^{\text {th }}$ Board Meeting
- Task Force recommendations will be presented to the board of education sometime in the winter

THANK YOU

## Start With Previous Recommendations

- Develop an Equity Policy
- Develop a multi-tiered system of support
- Developing grading policy/practice and structures to support student mastery
- Develop high quality communities of practice
- Create a systematic process for recruitment and hiring
- Teacher acknowledgement system
- Teacher/Principal evaluation system
- Expand existing family and community engagement programs and practices


## Academic Achievement Draft Problem Statements

1. In SCUSD, the SWD graduation rate - including all charter schools - is $60 \%$ ( 245 of 408 ). AA SWD's are graduating at a rate of $50.5 \%$ ( 56 of 111). AA female SWD's are graduating at a higher rate ( $58.1 \% ; 25$ of 43 ) than AA males w/disabilities (45.6\%; 31 of 68)
2. In SCUSD the student graduation rate - including all charter schools - is $82.5 \%(2,450$ of 2,969$)$. For AA, the rate is $74.8 \%$ (409 of 547); 81.8\% (229 of 280) of AA females and 67.4\% (180 of 267) of AA males are graduating within four years
3. In SCUSD the four-year adjusted cohort A-G completion rate - including all charter schools - is $47.5 \%(1,164$ of 2450$)$. For AA students the rate is $43.5 \%$ (178 of 409); for AA females the A-G rate is $51.1 \%$ ( 117 of 229); and for AA males the rate is $33.9 \%$ (61 of 180)

## Data from the 2016-2017 SBAC performance show the following:

4. In English Language Arts, (ELA), of the African American students in $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade, only 15.3 percent met or exceeded standards (District = 31.7\%). In grade 8, only $28.4 \%$ of AA students met/exceeded standards (District = 46.2\%). In grade 11 , only $35.9 \% \mathrm{met} /$ exceeded standard ( District $=53 \%$ ).
5. In Math, of the African American students in $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade only 17.51 percent met or exceeded standards (District = $37.3 \%)$. In grade 8, only 13.6\% of AA students met/exceeded standards (District = 32.5\%) In grade 11, only 9.5\% met/exceeded standard ( District $=27.5 \%$ ).

## Climate \& Culture Draft Problem Statements

- According to the most recent data from the U.S. Department of Education, 47 percent of the Pre-K $-3^{\text {rd }}$ grade students who received suspensions or expulsions in the 2016-17 school year were African American, even though they made up only 19 percent of Pre-K-3rd grade enrollment. In total, nearly 7,0004 - and 6 -year-olds were suspended or expelled from public schools during the same school year.


## Per the California Department of Education:

- 47 percent of the K-3rd grade SCUSD students who received suspensions or expulsions in the 2016-17 school year were African American, even though they made up only 15 percent of $K-3^{\text {rd }}$ grade enrollment.
- 46 percent of the $7^{\text {th }}-8^{\text {th }}$ grade students who received suspensions or expulsions in the 2016-17 school year were African American, even though they made up only 17 percent of $7^{\text {th }}-8^{\text {th }}$ grade enrollment.
- 43 percent of the $6^{\text {th }}-8^{\text {th }}$ grade students who received suspensions or expulsions in the 2016-17 school year were African American, even though they made up only 19 percent of $6^{\text {th }}-8^{\text {th }}$ grade enrollment.


## Prioritizing Problem Statements Culture \& Climate

Step 1: Identify and go to the area with the Problem Statement that you believe should be the Task Force's highest priority.

Step 2: Discuss with your group and develop rationale for choosing this problem statement.

Step 3: Share your group's rationale with the larger group.

Step 4: After hearing all groups' rationale, make a final choice of the Problem Statement that warrants the highest priority.

## Potential PS Rationale Culture \& Climate

- A child's early years set the trajectory for the relationships and successes they will experience for the rest of their lives, making it crucial that children's earliest experiences truly foster - and never harm - their development. As such, expulsion and suspension practices in early childhood settings, two stressful and negative experiences young children and their families may encounter in early childhood programs, should be prevented, severely limited, and eventually eliminated. High-quality early childhood programs provide the positive experiences that nurture positive learning and development.


## Prioritizing Problem Statements Academic Achievement

Step 1: Identify and go to the area with the Problem Statement that you believe should be the Task Force's highest priority.

Step 2: Discuss with your group and develop rationale for choosing this problem statement.

Step 3: Share your group's rationale with the larger group.

Step 4: After hearing all groups' rationale, make a final choice of the Problem Statement that warrants the highest priority.


[^0]:    Source: 2016-17 CALPADS 8.1 (EOY3) and CALPADS 7.2 Student Lists

