From:

Sent:

Monday, January 23, 2023 8:16 AM

To:

SCUSD Public Comment

Subject:

Public Comment for Board of Education Meeting



WEBFORM SUBMISSION

Submitted by user

Name:

vera

Email:

Phone Number:

Student Name (if applicable):

School (if applicable): pacific elementary

Comment or Question:

When children show up early they are asked to wait outside. It is very cold but its fair because it applies to everyone. Ow wait it dose not apply to everyone. There is a girl in my child's class that did not need to wait outside. In fact she was let in to be with the teachers. There were 3 other children outside but this girl was let it. I would said that is showing favoriting one child over others. It is not fair for the other children to wait in the cold. When that other child gets to wait in a warm classroom. And what makes that child special is her grandmother works for the schools office how is that fair. Maybe the other parents can't report that cause they do not speak english I on the other hand have not issue with reporting that.

From:

Sent:

Wednesday, February 1, 2023 11:17 AM

To:

SCUSD Public Comment

Subject:

Public Comment for 2/2/2023 SCUSD Board Meeting

This message originates from OUTSIDE the District's email system. Please verify the sender and contents before opening attachments or clicking any links. Contact the Technology Services Help Desk at 916-643-9445 with any questions.

Hello,

I would like to submit the following public comment for the 2/2/2023 SCUSD Board Meeting:

My name is Kendra Nickel-Nguy and I am an SCUSD parent. I have a child who will turn four in mid-April of 2023. He misses the cut-off for TK in the 23-24 school year by two weeks. He has an IEP through the school district and receives services. I have another child who met the cutoff for TK in the 2021-22 school year and had an excellent experience. A quality SCUSD TK education was immensely helpful in kindergarten-readiness.

I would like my second child to attend TK at the school my older child goes to, so he can start working with the special-needs providers that he will have in the following grades. If that is not possible, I would like him to be admitted to another TK program that is under enrolled.

I understand that each year, TK programs in the district operate below capacity. I also understand there is currently no cut-off waiver process, even though state law allows for one. I strongly urge the board to adopt a plan that allows students who miss the cut-off, but who would make the cut-off if the universal TK program were already fully implemented, to get access to a TK education. Such a plan would have a minimal financial impact on the district and a huge educational pay-off for students.

Thank you.

Kendra H. Nickel-Nguy

Danielle Driscoll

From:

Sent:

Wednesday, February 1, 2023 11:50 AM

To:

SCUSD Public Comment

Subject:

Public Comment - 2/2/23 - TK Waiver

This message originates from OUTSIDE the District's email system. Please verify the sender and contents before opening attachments or clicking any links. Contact the Technology Services Help Desk at 916-643-9445 with any questions.

To the School Board:

This public comment is for the February 2, 2023 SCUSD Board Meeting:

My name is Ari Asher and my child turns 4 in mid-April of 2023, missing the TK cut off by mere days. My understanding is that the TK programs operate below capacity. I am submitting this comment in hopes that the Board considers a plan to allow students who miss the current cut-off, but would otherwise have made the cut-off once the TK program is fully established, to access TK classrooms. Adoption of this plan would not bring additional costs to the District, and would instead allow many students the opportunity to access early education.

Moreover, time is of the essence. As a parent working hard to plan for my child's educational future, it would be helpful to know sooner, rather than later, whether SCUSD will maximize the impact of the state's universal TK initiative.

From:

Sent:

Wednesday, February 1, 2023 3:19 PM

To:

SCUSD Public Comment

Subject:

Public Comment for Board of Education Meeting

This message originates from OUTSIDE the District's email system. Please verify the sender and contents before opening attachments or clicking any links. Contact the Technology Services Help Desk at 916-643-9445 with any questions.



02/01/2023 - 4 18pm

Putting Children First

WEBFORM SUBMISSION

Submitted by user

Name:

Deborah J Wilson

Email:

Phone Number:

Student Name (if applicable):

School (if applicable):

Rosemont

Comment or Question:

What are the members of the school board doing about the bullying and harassment that is going on at Rosemont High against black and brown people? Why is the principal still there? She is the supporting cast member in all of this.

WEBFORM SUBMISSION

Submitted by user

Name:
Jean M Shanley
Email:
Phone Number:
Student Name (if applicable):
School (if applicable):
Comment or Question:
Good morning.

I am writing to update you on recent public communications from the California Dept of Public Health (CDPH) regarding California covid injection mandates for school. Essentially, CDPH has sent email communications stating they have no intention of pursuing a regulatory channel for school covid injection mandates after the state of emergency ends on February 28, 2023. They have stated that the proper avenue for this mandate would be the CA legislature. Since no such bills have been introduced this legislative session, and last year's effort on Senator Pan's SB871 failed so miserably, and this year there are even more people opposed to this mandate than last year, it is time for SCUSD to update it's policies and website accordingly.

Articles discussing this new disclosure from CDPH can be found here:

California ends plans for kids' Covid vaccine mandate https://edsource.org/2023/california-ends-plans-for-kids-covid-vaccine-mandate/685077

COVID-19 vaccine mandate for California schools face uncertainty https://news.yahoo.com/covid-19-vaccine-mandate-california-181957399.html?fr=yhssrp_catchall

Therefore, it is time for SCUSD to (1) rescind SCUSD's October 12, 2021 resolution illegally mandating COVID injections for students and (2) correct all the misrepresentations on the SCUSD website indicating these injections are mandated for students, staff, teachers, volunteers or substitutes.

As you know, the Governor illegally declared in the fall of 2021 that all

kids were going to be mandated to get the covid injections for school. Several school districts were selected to "test drive" these illegal mandates, spawning lawsuits to be filed in various courts, and those lawsuits were all ultimately successful in de-activating these mandates in those districts. SCUSD was never sued in court, so their illegal mandate imposed by way of a Board Resolution dated October 12, 2021, remains on the books. In addition, the SCUSD website is saturated with numerous erroneous and illegal statements of covid injection mandates for students and staff.

Recently, in November 2022, one of these lawsuits out of San Diego was appealed to the 4th District Appellate Court, and the panel struck down the school district mandates, finding that they are preempted by state law and local school districts have no legal authority to push these mandates. See this article from the San Diego Union-Tribune citing the Appellate court's ruling. The appellate ruling is binding law on all lower courts in California:

San Diego Unified's COVID-19 vaccine mandate gets struck down in court —...

https://www.sandiegouniontribune.com/news/education/story/2022-11-22/san-diego-unified-covid-vaccine-mandate-appeals-court

Since the FDA has not, and is reportedly not planning to approve the covid injections for kids given the lack of effectiveness of the injections in studies, as well as increasing documented adverse events showing shocking lack of safety, efforts to mandate the vaccine in the legislature fell flat last year, and opposition is increasing all the time, with more and more experts opposing these vaccines for young people every day. Here are a few by way of example:

17,000 Physicians Declaration | Global Covid Summit | May 2022 https://rumble.com/v14t2yf-17000-physicians-declaration.html

Dr Malhotra repeats urgent call to halt COVID-19 vaccination as data continues to show devastating harm https://www.biznews.com/health/2023/01/04/malhotra-vaccination

MIT Professor, Retsef Levi, Expert On Drug Safety Analytics From Cambridge Ma, Calls For An Immediate End To All mRNA COVID Vaccines

https://twitter.com/healthbyjames/status/1620037751776362496

Denmark becomes the first country to halt its Covid vaccination program

https://www.cnbc.com/2022/04/28/denmark-the-first-country-to-halt-its-covid-vaccination-program.html

British regulators limit covid vaccines https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sidjKoHS6NE

Accordingly, I hereby DEMAND that you IMMEDIATELY:

- 1. Rescind the illegal October 12, 2021 Board Resolution demanding covid injections for students and
- 2. Correct all of the misinformation on the SCUSD website about any covid injection mandates for anybody: students, teachers, staff, volunteers and substitutes.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jean M. Shanley SCUSD parent



You are receiving this e-mail because you have requested to receive district updates or signed up on our website. If you are receiving this message in error, please <u>contact us</u> so we can promptly resolve the problem.

WEBFORM SUBMISSION

Submitted by user

Name:

Karla Faucett

Email:

Phone Number:

Student Name (if applicable):

School (if applicable):

Comment or Question:

Another week has passed and the district has not returned to the bargaining table since SEIU provided a counter proposal over 2 weeks ago. We have now lost 3- and potentially 2 more bus drivers. Why is the district not taking this issue seriously? Drivers are working multiple routes. Students are waiting long periods of time to be picked up and dropped off. This is unacceptable.

We are suffering serious other staffing issues- our instructional aides are massively short - there are over 50 vacancies in the district. They are \$15 an hour- while district has no problem contracting out aides for \$2200 -a week. Why not fix the the pay scale and make this a come to district instead of a who wants to work for less than McDonalds pays District? SCUSD doesn't take care of its employees - but has no problem providing upper management and the superintendent liveable wages.

District has more funding and money now than its entire history- even if Aguilar tossed 47 million out the window like a piece of paper, how about you invest in the workers on the ground- who works daily for the students we love and come to for every day.



You are receiving this e-mail because you have requested to receive district updates or signed up on our website. If you are receiving this message in error, please <u>contact us</u> so we can promptly resolve the problem.